## **FISCAL NOTE**

## Requested by Legislative Council 01/15/2001

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1218

Amendment to:

1A. **State fiscal effect:** Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-2001	Biennium	2001-2003	Biennium	2003-2005 Biennium		
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Expenditures	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$23,446	\$0	\$23,446	
<b>Appropriations</b>	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$23,446	\$0	\$23,446	

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1999-2001 Biennium

2001-2003 Biennium

2003-2005 Biennium

Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2. **Narrative:** Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

The Supreme Court indicates that the additional judge time required for the Burleigh/Morton pilot drug court program can be absorbed by the current assigned judges; thus there is no fiscal impact as far as the judiciary is concerned. However, the Court indicates that if drug court programs are expanded to other judicial districts, additional judge staffing may be required; appropriations could be necessary to fund this additional judge staffing. Drug Courts are labor-intensive as far as judge time is concerned.

The Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation estimates that the pilot Burleigh/Morton drug court program will require one probation officer to supervise the offenders placed in the drug court program. The Executive Budget recommendation for the DOCR Field Services Division includes funding for one FTE probation officer position and associated operating expenses for the this pilot drug court program. The DOCR estimates that approximately one tenth of the officer's time will be required to supervise the DUI offenders placed in the program. The amounts listed for expenditures and appropriations above reflect the costs associated with the DUI offenders.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
  - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund

affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

## N/A

B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

## Please refer to narrative above.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Please refer to the narrative above.

Name:Elaine LittleAgency:DOCRPhone Number:328-6390Date01/18/2001

Prepared: