## **FISCAL NOTE**

## Requested by Legislative Council 01/17/2001

## **REVISION**

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1117

Amendment to:

1A. **State fiscal effect:** Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-2001	Biennium	2001-2003	Biennium	2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$769,220		
Expenditures	\$0	\$0	(\$429,229)	\$769,220		
<b>Appropriations</b>	\$0	\$0	\$16,203	\$307,585		

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

1999-2001 Biennium

2001-2003 Biennium

2003-2005 Biennium

Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$16,203)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2. **Narrative:** Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This bill allows the Department to add an optional Targeted Case Management Service to the Medicaid State Plan for Medicaid eligible recipients who are elderly or persons with physical disabilities at risk of long-term care services including but not limited to Service Payments to Elderly and Disabled (SPED) and Expanded SPED eligible recipients.

The counties currently are required to provide 5% county match on the SPED program, they would realize a decrease in expenditures of \$16,203, as SPED case management services would now be provided in the Medicaid program.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
  - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

The cost of providing targeted case management services is a federally allowable expenditure and therefore federal revenues would be available in the amounts reflected above.

B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

The cost of a targeted case management services as indicated on pages 27 and 28 of the Long Term Care Task Force Report is estimated to be \$1,107,750, with \$338,530 in general funds. Targeted case management services would be included in the medicaid grants line item. There will be a subsequent decrease primarily in the SPED / Expanded SPED programs for the net savings indicated above.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

The Executive Budget for the Department of Human Services would require an additional \$16,203 in general funds and \$307,585 in other funds to implement a targeted case management program. Should the bill fail to pass \$445,432 in general funds would need to be added to the Department's budget to maintain the current services in accordance with NDCC.

The \$445,432 savings noted in the Long Term Care Task Force Report didn't take into consideration the effect of the 5% county match and therefore the overall savings to the state for implementing targeted case management is \$429,229.

Name:Brenda M. WeiszAgency:Human ServicesPhone Number:328-2397Date01/12/2001

Prepared: