

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council
03/09/2001

Bill/Resolution No.:

Amendment to: HB 1218

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expenditures	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$23,446	\$0	\$23,446
Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

1999-2001 Biennium			2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

The Supreme Court indicates that the additional judge time required for the Burleigh/Morton pilot drug court program can be absorbed by the current assigned judges; thus there is no fiscal impact as far as the judiciary is concerned. However, the Court indicates that if drug court programs are expanded to other judicial districts, additional judge staffing may be required; appropriations could be necessary to fund this additional judge staffing. Drug Courts are labor-intensive as far as judge time is concerned.

The Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation estimates that the pilot Burleigh/Morton drug court program will require one probation officer to supervise the offenders placed in the drug court program. The Executive Budget recommendation for the DOCR Field Services Division includes funding for one FTE probation officer position and associated operating expenses for the pilot drug court program. The DOCR estimates that approximately one tenth of the officer's time will be required to supervise the DUI offenders placed in the program. The amounts listed for expenditures above reflect the costs associated with the DUI offenders.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

No fiscal impact.

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Please refer to narrative above.

- C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

As stated in the narrative above, the Executive Recommendation for the DOCR includes funding for the pilot drug court program.

Name: Elaine Little
Phone Number: 328-6390

Agency: DOCR
Date 03/12/2001
Prepared: