

2007 HOUSE TRANSPORTATION
HB 1069

2007 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1069

House Transportation Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 01-12-2007

Recorder Job Number: 1010

Committee Clerk Signature DUA M JUDMA

Minutes:

Chairman Weisz opened the hearing on HB 1069. All Representatives were present. HB 1069 relates to unlawful use of a license, points entered against a driving record, addiction evaluation for a temporary chemical test, and an advisory given before a screening test.

Keith Magnusson, Deputy Director for Driver and Vehicle Services at the North Dakota Department of Transportation spoke in support of the bill. See written testimony. Also, please see attached amendment that was given to the committee by Keith.

Rep. Delmore: Has the words criminal and non criminal been there before?

Magnusson: Yes.

There were no other questions for Keith.

There was no other support given for HB 1069.

Dwayne Wahl, spoke on behalf of himself, in opposition.

Wahl: I just want to share some insight on what should be done here. My employees sometimes wonder why I do things a certain way and I say it's in my book of things that happened to me in Chapter 10, page 2. If something happened to one of boys, and he was a minor, so he was at four points.

Page 2 House Transportation Committee Bill/Resolution No. HB 1069 Hearing Date: 01-12-2007

Chairman Weisz: This bill doesn't change anything.

Wahl: I know that but it relates to the possibility of tacking something on to this that directly could affect what we are talking about. I'll make it short. What should happen here is there's a problem when you take these driver's safety courses and reduce your points the entity that does this is not required by law to notify motor vehicle that the course has been completed and a certificate has been issued. What happened to us is, we didn't know we had to turn something in. We found out after he lost his license basically that we had to send this in, in order to get his points reduced. Well, I think there should be something to where by law these agencies are mandated to turn or notify motor vehicle that a class has been completed by this person. Say for instance we have a situation where I can run copies of this program and put it on Ebay. How does motor vehicle know that that certificate is official, because they didn't get contacted by the people who did the safety course? There should be mandated communication that that happens.

There were no questions from the committee.

There was no further opposition. Chairman Weisz closed the hearing on HB 1069. No action was taken at this time.

2007 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1069

House Transportation Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 01-19-2007

Recorder Job Number: 1436

Committee Clerk Signature

Minutes:

Chairman Weisz allowed for committee discussion on HB 1069. All Representatives were present. HB 1069 is a bill relating to seizure of an operator's license.

Chairman Weisz: There was a question on page four having to do with a fiscal note on the ability to be able to subpoen the individual. Rep. Ruby did check with the indigent defense commission and we have an email here from her that says, "Any fiscal effect would be negligible", so they are not concerned. I don't believe they were aware of this.

Rep. Ruby: They were aware.

Rep. Sukut moved a DO PASS. Rep. Owens seconded.

The motion was withdrawn, as was the second.

Chairman Weisz: There was some amendments does everyone have a copy?

Rep. Delmore moved to adopt the amendments. Rep. Kelsch seconded.

Voice vote: 13 yes. 0 no. 0 absent. Motion carried.

Rep. Vigesaa moved a DO PASS AS AMENDED. Rep. Owens seconded the motion.

Roll Call Vote: 13 yes. 0 no. 0 absent.

Carrier: Rep. Myxter

2007 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1069

House Transportation Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 02-01-2007

Recorder Job Number: 2552

Committee Clerk Signature

Minutes:

Chairman Weisz presented HB 1037 as returned to the committee. Rep. Price was absent. HB 1037 relates to the seizure of an operator's license.

Chairman Weisz: HB 1069, was a housekeeping bill to start with and they had made some changes to a subsection and we amended it and sent it out, LC sent it back and said it was right to start with, that it was referencing the right subsections, so we don't need the amended and sent out.

Rep. Ruby moved to reconsider the committee's actions. Rep. Gruchalla seconded.

Voice vote: 12 yes. 0 no. 1 absent.

Rep. Ruby moved to remove the amendments. Rep. Kelsch seconded.

Voice vote: 12 yes. 0 no. 1 absent.

Rep. Kelsch moved a DO PASS. Rep. Sukut seconded.

Roll Call Vote: 12 yes. 0 no. 1 absent.

Carrier: Rep. Myxter

Date:	1-	18-6	ブ	
Roll Call Vo	te #:	HB	1069	

2007 BILL/RESOLI	HOUSE STA	NDING	COMN	IITTEE ROLI	CALL VOTES	,	
House Transpor	tation					Com	mittee
Check here for C	Conference C	ommitt	tee				
Legislative Council Am	nendment Nur	nber	-				
Action Taken Do	o not p	ass					
Motion Made By Re	p. Ruk	١٧	Se	econded By	RepV	liges	aa
Representat	ives	Yes	No	Repre	sentatives	Yes	No

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Weisz	V		Rep. Delmore	103	140
Vice Chairman Ruby	1		Rep. Gruchalla	+-	
Rep. Dosch	V		Rep. Myxter	1 2	
Rep. Kelsch	V		Rep. Schmidt		
Rep. Owens	V		Rep. Thorpe	1	
Rep. Price	V				
Rep. Sukut	V				
Rep. Vigesaa	~				
		<u> </u>			
					

Total	Yes _	13	No	0	
Absent					
Floor Ass	signment	Rep.	Dosch		•

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1069

Page 2, line 19, before "Subsection" insert "subdivision a of"

Page 2, line 29, replace "2" with "4"

Renumber accordingly

Date: <i> </i> / °	7-0	フ	
Roll Call Vote #:	HB	1069	

2007 HOUSE STANDING	COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES	
BILL/RESOLUTION NO.	TE WOLF CYFF AOIES	7

Legislative Council Amendme	nt Number				
		T <	Q 22 - 1		
Motion Made By Suk	ut	S	econded By Owens	ŝ	
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	T
Chairman Weisz	V		Rep. Delmore	108	t
Vice Chairman Ruby Rep. Dosch	- V		Rep. Gruchalla	1	t
Rep. Kelsch	V		Rep. Myxter	V	t
Rep. Owens	V		Rep. Schmidt	10	t
Rep. Price	_ v		Rep. Thorpe	V	t
Rep. Sukut	V				r
Rep. Vigesaa	V				Γ
	V				
					
				 	_
				 	_
				 	_
otal Yes _ <i>/3</i>				<u> </u>	_
		No			
bsent					_

			Date: 0-1-0	1	
			Roll Call Vote #:		
2007 HOUSE ST BILL/RESOLUTION NO.	ANDING	E COMM	MITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES	,	
House Transportation	<u> </u>	·		Com	nmittee
☐ Check here for Conference	Commit				
Legislative Council Amendment Ny	mber	<u></u>	ice vote		
Action Taken VCONSID	er_	Ad	10n		
Motion Made By Ruby		Se	econded By Grucho	Ma	<u> </u>
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Weisz			Rep. Delmore	1	
Vice Chairman Ruby			Rep. Gruchalla	1	
Rep. Dosch	12		Rep. Myxter		
Rep. Kelsch			Rep. Schmidt		
Rep. Owens			Rep. Thorpe	15	
Rep. Price					
Rep. Sukut	<u> </u>				
Rep. Vigesaa					
	<u> </u>				
	 				
Total Yes	12	No	0		
Absent					
Floor Assignment NA					

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

			Date:		
			Roll Call Vote #:	#2	
2007 HOUSE S BILL/RESOLUTION NO	STANDING	COM	MITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES	106	9
House Transportation				•	nmittee
☐ Check here for Conference	e Commit	tee			
Legislative Council Amendment I	Number				
Action Taken	Amer	<u>ndn</u>	rents - Voice V	ote	
Motion Made By Runy	}		econded By Kelsch?		
Representatives	Yes	No			
Chairman Weisz	103	NO	Representatives	Yes	No
Vice Chairman Ruby		<u> </u>	Rep. Delmore	1_	 #
Rep. Dosch	1/		Rep. Gruchalla	4(
Rep. Kelsch	1		Rep. Myxter		
Rep. Owens			Rep. Schmidt		
Rep. Price	- - /- 		Rep. Thorpe		
Rep. Sukut	- / - 				
Rep. Vigesaa	- 			<u> </u>	
	- 			ļ <u> </u>	
					
	- 				
				l	
					
	+				
otal Yes 12		No	0		
Toor Assignment N/A				·	

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

			Date:2- -	27
			Roll Call Vote #: #3	, ———————
2007 HOUSE STA BILL/RESOLUTION NO	ANDING	COMI	MITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES	1069
House Transportation				Committee
☐ Check here for Conference (Commit	tee		_
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	mber			
Action Taken	MAS	<u>sS</u>		
Motion Made By	<u> </u>	S	econded By SWW	L-
Representatives	Yes	No	Pagragantativa	
Chairman Weisz	1/	140	Representatives Rep. Delmore	Yes No
Vice Chairman Ruby			Rep. Gruchalla	
Rep. Dosch			Rep. Myxter	
Rep. Kelsch			Rep. Schmidt	
Rep. Owens		<u></u>	Rep. Thorpe	
Rep. Price	A-		rop. Thorpe	+
Rep. Sukut	1			
Rep. Vigesaa	1/			
		<u> </u>		
Total Yes 12		No	_0	
Absent				
Floor Assignment	XKR			
If the vote is on an amendment, briefly	indicate	intent		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 1, 2007 1:27 p.m.

Module No: HR-22-1818
Carrier: Myxter
Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1069: Transportation Committee (Rep. Weisz, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (12 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1069 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2007 SENATE TRANSPORTATION

HB 1069

2007 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1069

Senate Transportation Committee

☐ Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: March 1, 2007

Recorder Job Number: 4181

Committee Clerk Signature (

Minutes:

Senator Gary Lee opened the hearing on HB 1069 relating to unlawful use of a license, points

entered against a driving record, addiction evaluation for a temporary restricted license,

witnesses for interpretation of a chemical test, and an advisory given before a screening test;

and; relating to seizure of an operator's license.

Keith Magnusson, Deputy Director for Driver and Vehicle Services at the NDDOT testified in

favor of HB 1069. Testimony is enclosed.

Senator Fiebiger asked that in Section 5 he talked about the States Attorneys asking for this

provision that an indigent defendant may subpoena a person who drew the defendant's blood

for use in a criminal DUI proceeding. He asked, can't they already do this.

Mr. Magnusson said that the States Attorneys asked for this clarification because they were

anticipating problems because they had a problem in a similar case and they wanted to make

it clear.

Senator Fiebiger asked if they didn't run the risk of being less clear if you are signaling out

one specific person that they can subpoena. What if there is someone else involved. His

question is that if it is already in the law why do anything more.

Page 2 Senate Transportation Committee Bill/Resolution No. 1069 Hearing Date: March 1, 2007

Keith Magnusson said that they put the bill in at the request of the States Attorneys. They wanted to make sure in a criminal proceeding that this was clear.

Senator Lee closed the hearing on HB 1069.

Senator Andrist moved a Do Pass on HB 1069.

Senator Bakke seconded the motion.

The clerk called the roll 6-0-0.

Senator Fiebiger will carry the bill.

Date: 3-1 Roll Call Vote #:

2007 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 10 69

Senate Transportation			,	Com	mittee
☐ Check here for Conference C	ommitte	ee			
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				
Action Taken Do	مم				
Motion Made By Sanaton and	drot	Se	econded By <u>Senator</u>	Ba	khe,
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Chairman Gary Lee	L		Senator JoNell Bakke	·	
V Ch John Andrist	7		Senator Tom Fiebiger	L	
Senator Dave Nething	1_		Senator Tracy Potter	سا	
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
		**			
	-				
	<u> </u>				
				_	
Total (Yes)		No	0		
Absent				•	
Floor Assignment	F	<u> </u>	aigu		
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indica	te inter	nt:		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 1, 2007 2:20 p.m.

Module No: SR-39-4239 Carrier: Fieblger Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1069: Transportation Committee (Sen. G. Lee, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1069 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2007 TESTIMONY

нв 1069

HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE January 12, 2007

North Dakota Department of Transportation Keith C. Magnusson, Deputy Director For Driver and Vehicle Services

HB 1069

Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. I'm Keith Magnusson, Deputy Director for Driver and Vehicle Services at the North Dakota Department of Transportation. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to present information to you today.

The North Dakota Department of Transportation has pre-filed House Bill 1069 as an agency bill. This bill cleans up and clarifies a number of driver's license issues.

Section 1 clarifies that the possession of a suspended license by a driver is not a criminal offense. The 2005 legislature deleted the requirement that a driver turn in their license before a suspension would start. This reference to the suspended license was missed at that time. This change will clear up confusion and unintended consequences for licensed drivers.

Section 2 and Section 3 remove an outdated reference in two paragraphs. In the provisions providing for points against a driving record for a violation, one paragraph deals with non-criminal violations and the other with criminal violations for driving with defective or unsafe equipment. The particular subdivisions referenced had been deleted during a revision to the underlying law, Section 39-21-46, and this cross-reference was missed at that time. In its processing of our pre-filed bill, the Legislative Council omitted three words in Section 2 and inserted an incorrect number in Section 3. I have prepared amendments to correct this oversight.

Section 4 updates a reference that was not changed when "addiction facility" was changed to "appropriate licensed addiction treatment program" in the chapter definitions. This particular reference was not changed at that time.

Section 5 specifically provides that an indigent defendant may subpoen a person who drew the defendant's blood for use in a criminal DUI proceeding. An indigent defendant already has the right to subpoen the state toxicologist in the same type of proceeding. State attorneys asked for this to be included in our cleanup bill in order to cease problems with future cases.

Section 6 continues the cleanup, from HB 1539 from the 2003 session, by harmonizing the required information on refusal to submit to a screening test, with a substantive statute. This reference was missed when we did some language cleanup last session. The substantive statute already allows for up to a four-year revocation for refusal and it should also be made clear in this reference to the advisory for screening tests.

Section 7 repeals NDCC 39-16.1-20, which requires that a driver return their driver's license to the NDDOT director if their driving privileges are suspended due to an insurance policy or bond being cancelled. With the change in statute last session that no longer requires the surrender of the driver's license for general suspensions, this section of the law should be repealed to prevent confusion.

Mr. Chairman, I would be happy to answer any questions at this time. Thank you.

SENATE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE March 1, 2007

North Dakota Department of Transportation Keith C. Magnusson, Deputy Director For Driver and Vehicle Services

HB 1069

Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. I'm Keith Magnusson, Deputy Director for Driver and Vehicle Services at the North Dakota Department of Transportation. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to present information to you today.

The North Dakota Department of Transportation has pre-filed House Bill 1069 as an agency bill. This bill cleans up and clarifies a number of driver's license issues.

Section 1 clarifies that the possession of a suspended license by a driver is not a criminal offense. The 2005 legislature deleted the requirement that a driver turn in their license before a suspension would start. This reference to the suspended license was missed at that time. This change will clear up confusion and unintended consequences for licensed drivers.

Section 2 and Section 3 remove an outdated reference in two paragraphs. In the provisions providing for points against a driving record for a violation, one paragraph deals with non-criminal violations and the other with criminal violations for driving with defective or unsafe equipment. The particular subdivisions referenced had been deleted during a revision to the underlying law, Section 39-21-46, and this cross-reference was missed at that time.

Section 4 updates a reference that was not changed when "addiction facility" was changed to "appropriate licensed addiction treatment program" in the chapter definitions. This particular reference was not changed at that time.

Section 5 specifically provides that an indigent defendant may subpoen a person who drew the defendant's blood for use in a criminal DUI proceeding. An indigent defendant already has the right to subpoen the state toxicologist in the same type of proceeding. State's attorneys asked for this to be included in our cleanup bill in order to prevent problems with future cases.

Section 6 continues the cleanup, from HB 1539 from the 2003 session, by harmonizing the required information on refusal to submit to a screening test, with a substantive statute. This reference was missed when we did some language cleanup last session. The substantive statute already allows for up to a four-year revocation for refusal and it should also be made clear in this reference to the advisory for screening tests.

Section 7 repeals NDCC 39-16.1-20, which requires that a driver return their driver's license to the NDDOT director if their driving privileges are suspended due to an insurance policy or bond being cancelled. With the change in statute last session that no longer requires the surrender of

the driver's license for general suspensions, this section of the law should be repealed to prevent confusion.

Mr. Chairman, I would be happy to answer any questions at this time. Thank you.