

**FISCAL NOTE**  
**Requested by Legislative Council**  
03/12/2007

Amendment to: Engrossed  
HB 1166

**1A. State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2005-2007 Biennium		2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

**1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2005-2007 Biennium			2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

**2A. Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

This bill, as amended, provides for the 4--laning of US 52 from Reference Point 52-101.638 to Reference Point 52-122.789 (approximately 21.151 miles) at an estimated cost of \$29 million.

**B. Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

The Senate amendment to the engrossed version does not change the fiscal impact as reported for the engrossed version.

This project is estimated to cost \$29 million in today's dollars and is not anticipated to be funded until a future biennium, as the bill provides for four-laning at the time of the next reconstruction. The environmental process normally takes about five years to complete, however, adding a four lane facility greatly increases the complexity and time to complete the document. The construction of this project would likely be broken into three years at best...grading one year and paving the next year, with the second grading project starting the second year and being paved the third year.

**3. State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

**A. Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

Presently, the department does not have a revenue source for this project.

**B. Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The following items have been estimated to complete this Project:

1. Environmental Document \$1.5 million 0 FTE
2. Right-of way \$.8 million 0 FTE
3. Wetlands \$.7 million 0 FTE
4. Design/Construction 4-Lane \$26 million 0 FTE

There are a number of smaller communities along the US 52 corridor which will also be impacted. However, this estimate does not include any relocation costs for these communities. Also any community along the US 52 corridor

with a population greater than or equal to 750 will have a 10% cost share for their portion of the project.

- C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

The costs related to this construction project are not in the department's budget submittal. There are no federal appropriations associated with this project.

<b>Name:</b>	Shannon L. Sauer	<b>Agency:</b>	NDDOT
<b>Phone Number:</b>	328-4375	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	03/12/2007