

# FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council  
12/26/2006

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1054

**1A. State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2005-2007 Biennium		2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
<b>Revenues</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$140,000	\$0	\$140,000
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$133,000	\$0	\$133,000
<b>Appropriations</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2005-2007 Biennium			2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**2A. Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

Adds definitions. Includes technicians in unprofessional conduct. Increases cap allowed for pharmacist license fees and dues to the ND Pharmacists' Association. Changes the amount Board board members get for per diem. Requires reporting to the board of things that may warrant investigations.

**B. Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

No appropriated funds are affected by this bill. Rule making costs will amount to \$4000. \$175 to \$350 in license fees may be generated by The ADS category of license. The total amount of money spent on board members will not change, just the way it has been calculated. License fee increases are subject to rule making and at most would generate \$140000 per year, one-half of which would go to the Pharmacists Association. We know the Association is interested in an increase, but the amount and timing will be determined by rule. The Board would spend it's additional funds on Professional Practice initiatives to benefit the public.

**3. State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

**A. Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

None of these funds are included in the executive budget or any appropriated funds. The maximum revenue the increase could generate would be \$280000 per biennium, one half of which is allocated by NDCC 43-15-13.4 to the North Dakota Pharmacists Association.

**B. Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Fifty percent of any revenue generated by increasing the license fee through a rule making process is automatically

allocated to the Pharmacists Association according to NDCC 43-15-13.4. The Board spends it's money for inforcement and inspection activities, as well as funding pharmacy practice research and improvements which can benefit the public who are the patients of our pharmacists. No FTE increases are anticipated.

**C. Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

No appropriated money is involved.

<b>Name:</b>	Howard C. Anderson Jr.	<b>Agency:</b>	Board of Pharmacy
<b>Phone Number:</b>	7013289535	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	12/26/2006