

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council
04/24/2007

Amendment to: Engrossed
SB 2008

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2005-2007 Biennium		2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	(\$96,789)	\$0	(\$96,789)	\$0
Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$32,235	\$0	\$32,235	\$0
Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$32,235	\$0	\$32,235	\$0

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2005-2007 Biennium			2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2A. Bill and fiscal impact summary: *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

This fiscal note is limited to the revenue impact of the conf committee changes. The changes eliminated an FTE and reduced the mandatory frequency of device testing. Revenue impact will vary depending on how the changes are implemented. (see previous fiscal note for impact of original measure)

B. Fiscal impact sections: *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

Sections 6, 7 and 8 of the bill reduce the frequency of mandatory weighing and measuring device testing.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

Estimated revenue per biennium per light duty inspector is \$96,789, so reducing frequency to that which can be tested by 2 rather than 3 light duty inspectors could reduce revenue by \$96,789. A different option would be to eliminate state testing of a certain type of device, for example, bulk fuel meters. Estimated revenue loss due to not testing bulk fuel meters (truck and stationary) is \$55,740.

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

(see previous fiscal note)

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

(see previous fiscal note)

Name:	Illona Jeffcoat-Sacco	Agency:	PSC
Phone Number:	701-328-2407	Date Prepared:	04/24/2007

