

# FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council  
03/16/2009

Amendment to: SB 2131

**1A. State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium		2011-2013 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
<b>Revenues</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$4,500
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$4,500
<b>Appropriations</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium			2011-2013 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**2A. Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

This bill gives OMB authority to establish a program for recycling and disposing of unsaleable surplus property and to collect services charges from the department, agency, institution, or political subdivision to cover direct and reasonable expenses.

**B. Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

Section 1, page 1 line 24 and page 2, lines 1-4.

**3. State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

**A. Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

Revenues are estimated. State Surplus will bill agencies and political subdivisions to cover direct and reasonable costs for recycle/disposal of unsalable property. (Disposal rate estimated at approximately \$500/truckload, plus handling and administrative costs.)

**B. Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The expenditure estimates are based upon estimated volumes of electronic waste received by State Surplus Property, from state agencies and political subdivisions. E-waste disposal is currently approximately 25 cents per pound based upon rates currently paid by other government entities in ND. Under this program, costs are estimated at approximately \$500/truckload, plus handling and administrative costs.

2009-11 Est. 80,000 lbs = \$4,000  
2011-13 Est. 90,000 lbs = \$4,500

OMB will seek to establish a statewide contract that state agencies, higher education, and political subdivisions could use to achieve a reduced e-waste disposal rate.

**C. Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a*

*continuing appropriation.*

No additional appropriations are required; however, agencies could be billed for the disposal of their unsalable property to be paid from existing appropriations.

<b>Name:</b>	Sherry Neas	<b>Agency:</b>	OMB
<b>Phone Number:</b>	328-1726	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	03/16/2009