

FISCAL NOTE
Requested by Legislative Council
02/07/2011

Amendment to: SB 2308

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

| | 2009-2011 Biennium | | 2011-2013 Biennium | | 2013-2015 Biennium | |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | General Fund | Other Funds | General Fund | Other Funds | General Fund | Other Funds |
| Revenues | | | | \$2,640,000 | | \$2,640,000 |
| Expenditures | | | | \$1,760,000 | | \$548,000 |
| Appropriations | | | | \$2,640,000 | | \$2,640,000 |

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

| 2009-2011 Biennium | | | 2011-2013 Biennium | | | 2013-2015 Biennium | | |
|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|
| Counties | Cities | School Districts | Counties | Cities | School Districts | Counties | Cities | School Districts |
| | | | | | | | | |

2A. Bill and fiscal impact summary: *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

Although the NDHP currently operates an online electronic permit system, it does not have automated routing capabilities. The \$15 fee assessment for each permit transaction would be used to maintain the current application and to prepare it for procurement of an automated routing module.

B. Fiscal impact sections: *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

2/10/11 - The amendment is not expected to change the fiscal impact.

Section 1 of the measure requires assessment of a \$15 fee for every online permit issued. Each permit is considered a separate transaction. Section 2 creates the motor carrier electronic permit transaction fund. Our current permit system would be enhanced with additional features to provide for procurement of a module for automated routing. Costs for the 2011-2013 biennium are estimated to range from \$1,194,000 to \$1,760,000.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

An estimate of 176,000 permits are expected to be sold during the 2011-2013 biennium. Transaction fees collected for each permit issued would be deposited in the motor carrier electronic permit transaction fund. Collections for the 2011-2013 biennium at a transaction fee of \$15 for each permit are estimated at \$2,640,000. Due to the estimated excess revenues created at \$15 for each permit, the transaction fee could be reduced to \$10 which should provide for the estimated expenditures of \$1,760,000. These estimated collections are not included in the executive budget.

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Expenditures may range from \$1,194,000 to \$1,760,000 depending on procurement results. Based on information available at this time, a breakdown of the \$1,194,000 estimate for enhancement of the current NDHP permits system to include the procurement of a module for automated routing is as follows: \$300,000 Core System; \$140,000 Permit Administration; \$350,000 Software for Routing, Bridge Analysis, Restriction Management; \$230,000 Convert Receipt

System from Powerbuilder to .Net; \$100,000 Interfaces to Existing E-Permits System; \$74,000 1 year of Routing Software Maintenance. Estimated ongoing maintenance of all other components of the permits system is \$400,000 biennially.

C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

The measure creates a motor carrier electronic permit transaction fund and provides for a continuing appropriation.

| | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Name: | James Prochniak | Agency: | Highway Patrol |
| Phone Number: | 328-2455 | Date Prepared: | 02/10/2011 |