Sixty-second Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

## **HOUSE BILL NO. 1452**

Introduced by

Representatives Thoreson, Boehning, Koppelman, Schatz Senator Krebsbach

1 A BILL for an Act to provide landowner immunity for injuries to trespassers.

## 2 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1.						
Duty of care to trespasser.						
A possessor of land, including an owner, lessee, or other occupant, does not owe a duty of						
care to a trespasser and is not subject to liability for any injury to a trespasser.						
SEC	OIT	N 2.				
Exc	eptio	ns to	o land possessor immunity.			
<u>1.</u>	Not	withstanding section 1 of this Act, a possessor of land may be subject to liability for				
	phy	ysical injury or death to a trespasser in the following situations:				
	<u>a.</u>	<u>A la</u>	nd possessor has a duty not to harm the trespasser in a willful and wanton			
		mar	nner, except as permitted under section 12.1-05-06, 12.1-05-07, 12.1-05-07.1,			
		<u>or 1</u>	<u>2.1-05-07.2;</u>			
	<u>b.</u>	<u>A la</u>	nd possessor that knows of the trespasser's presence on the premises has a			
		<u>duty</u>	to exercise ordinary care to avoid injuring that trespasser; and			
	<u>C.</u>	<u>A la</u>	nd possessor may be subject to liability for physical injury or death to a child			
		tres	passer resulting from an artificial condition on the land if:			
		<u>(1)</u>	The possessor knew or had reason to know that children were likely to			
			trespass at the location of the condition;			
		<u>(2)</u>	The condition is one the possessor knew or reasonably should have known			
			involved an unreasonable risk of death or serious bodily harm to children;			
		<u>(3)</u>	The injured child did not discover the condition or realize the risk involved in			
			the condition or coming within the area made dangerous by it;			
	Dut A po	Duty of control A possess care to a tress SECTION Exception 1. Not phy a.	Duty of care to A possessor of care to a trespassor of sections to 1. Notwithst physical in a. A laimar or 1. b. A laimar duty.  c. A laimar (1).			

## Sixty-second Legislative Assembly

1		<u>(4)</u>	The utility to the possessor of maintaining the condition and the burden of
2			eliminating the danger were slight as compared with the risk to the child
3			involved; and
4		<u>(5)</u>	The land possessor failed to exercise reasonable care to eliminate the
5			danger or otherwise protect the injured child.
6	<u>2.</u>	This sect	ion does not affect chapter 53-08.
7	<u>3.</u>	This sect	ion does not create or increase the liability of any person or entity.