

**FISCAL NOTE**  
**Requested by Legislative Council**  
**01/23/2017**

Revised  
 Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2310

- 1 A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2015-2017 Biennium		2017-2019 Biennium		2019-2021 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
<b>Revenues</b>	\$0	\$(214,000)	\$0	\$(224,700)	\$0	\$(235,000)
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Appropriations</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

- 1 B. **County, city, school district and township fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

	2015-2017 Biennium	2017-2019 Biennium	2019-2021 Biennium
<b>Counties</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Cities</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>School Districts</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Townships</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

- 2 A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

The original bill will negatively impacts this office revenue by the amounts listed above. Agreed that the minimum should be raised, but I believe no more from the current \$300 to \$500

- B. **Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

The bill in its original form will reduce the amount of inspections/wiring certificates by 7,142 for the 2015-2017 fiscal years. We realize the minimum of \$300 should be raised and has been discussed several times, but a more reasonable increased amount would be no more than to \$500 minimum. The analysis we did was based on the \$800 minimum called out by this senate bill. I assumed a 5% increase in work load for the fiscal periods are listed in 1A above. I suggest to raise the minimum only to \$500 as it can always be reviewed again in 2 years or the legislature could amend this bill turning the adjustment of this dollar amount to the ND Electrical Board to be adjusted by a fee schedule set up in the NDSEB's administrative rules.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

- A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

See 2B

- B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

See 2B

- C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation or a part of the appropriation is included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

NA

**Name:** James Schmidt

**Agency:** ND State Electrical Board

**Telephone:** 701-328-9522

**Date Prepared:** 01/30/2017