

FISCAL NOTE
Requested by Legislative Council
04/11/2017

Amendment to: SB 2273

- 1 A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2015-2017 Biennium		2017-2019 Biennium		2019-2021 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues			\$879,688			
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

- 1 B. **County, city, school district and township fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

	2015-2017 Biennium	2017-2019 Biennium	2019-2021 Biennium
Counties		\$(879,688)	
Cities			
School Districts			
Townships			

- 2 A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

The House amendments to Engrossed Senate Bill 2273 place a cap on the annual senior services matching funds paid to the counties by the state.

- B. **Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

Section 1 of the amended bill places an annual limit on the matching funds to be provided to counties at the lessor of 87.5% of the amount appropriated in dollars for senior citizen services (limited to the first one mill's worth) or the county's proportional share of the maximum state-wide amount of grants that may be awarded (set at \$3,500,000 per year).

According to taxable valuation estimates provided by the Tax Commissioner's Office, the \$3,500,000 cap would reduce the anticipated state expenditures for the senior services match program by \$439,844 in the first year of the 2017-2019 biennium. Assuming that these valuations remain constant into the second year of the biennium, the anticipated reduction in state expenditures for the second year of the biennium would stay at the same \$439,844. Combining these two amounts generates the total anticipated increase in the amount going to the general fund of \$879,688 as shown above.

Taking into account this cap, the counties would receive approximately \$879,688 less during the 2017-2019 biennium than what they would receive under current law.

Section 2 of the amended bill adjusts the continuing appropriation language in NDCC to appropriately account for the cap as discussed above.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

- A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

- B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*
- C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation or a part of the appropriation is included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

Name: Ryan Skor

Agency: Office of State Treasurer

Telephone: 701-328-2643

Date Prepared: 04/12/2017