Chairmen and Committee Members

I would like to voice my support of HB 1259.

Testing is good and useful and is not used to study the population and its behaviors. Surveillance signifies something quite different. The terminology of surveillance is being used to enter humans into a research

experiment with out informed consent. This act of surveillance crosses over into the area of experimental research on humans rather than the water. This is not only illegal, it is unethical, as established by the Nuremberg Code, Belmont Report, and the legal requirements of ethical research outlined in the Research act of 1974.

Testing: Studies the water.

And is not used to enter the human populations into research experiments.

Surveillance: Gathers information about the humans from the waste water. It is an ongoing and repeated testing which is then entered into an artificial intelligence software that then begins to research the humans being used as a research population. This

data is then used to market to and or scrutinize disease or genetic markers of the population being surveilled. Industry leaders are already gearing up to surveil humans through their waste for many other initiatives and purposes other than to monitor Covid-19.

Surveillance of humans, even in the context of a population is federally mandated that it

may not be done with out informed consent by the participating humans.

During a pandemic we recognize that sometimes laws get broken and federal offenses happen. But this practice of surveillance clearly needs to be scrutinized and recognized for what it is. Information being used within the towns where it is gathered, causes

populations to be entered into studies for which they neither gave consent nor were they informed. Informing the city council should be the first step and then the next legally required step is to get informed consent of each individual being used for the experimental research study. Sincerely, Margo Knorr