

TESTIMONY OF

David Glatt, Director of North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality

Chairman Larson and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, my name is David Glatt, Director for the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The DEQ is responsible for the implementation of many of the environmental protection programs in the state. I am here today to provide testimony in support of HB 1079.

Historically the DEQ has provided technical and regulatory oversight relating to the cleanup and ongoing use of contaminated properties. The success of cleanup actions is dependent in part by the type of contaminant, site location and local geology. When cleanup of a property to background conditions is not achievable or would far exceed the property value, residual contamination may be left in place. Leaving contamination in place can result in the land being designated as a Brownfield where the land is typically left vacant, abandoned or underused. However, we note that some Brownfield properties can be safely used for certain activities with appropriate environmental controls. The environmental or institutional controls are also referred to as environmental covenants. These environmental covenants identify controls that ensure that the contamination does not spread or adversely impact human health.

North Dakota law has provided for environmental covenants since 2005. They are necessary to ensure environmental or institutional controls remain in place even if the property is transferred to new ownership. Although environmental covenants have been a useful tool in North Dakota for many years, there are concerns with the current law (NDCC 23.1-04-04). It provides little detail on how environmental covenants interact with other law areas, including real estate and environmental law. The need for law clarification recently became evident when working on a large cleanup project involving several environmental covenants.

The Uniform Environmental Covenant Act, which HB 1079 was modeled after, was drafted with input from various stakeholders, including regulators, landowners, and bankers. It has been adopted by 24 states and provides certainty for investors across state lines. Adopting the Uniform Environmental Covenant Act would ensure no conflicts or gaps in existing state law.

(continued)