North Dakota Legislative Management Meeting Minutes 25,5078,03000

EDUCATION COMMITTEE

Wednesday, December 20, 2023 Roughrider Room, State Capitol Bismarck, North Dakota

Senator Michelle Axtman, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Members present: Senators Michelle Axtman, Todd Beard, Cole Conley, Jay Elkin, Judy Estenson, Justin Gerhardt, Kent Weston; Representatives LaurieBeth Hager, Patrick Hatlestad, Dori Hauck, Pat D. Heinert, Donald W. Longmuir, Andrew Marschall, David Monson, Eric James Murphy, Mitch Ostlie, David Richter, Cynthia Schreiber-Beck, Kelby Timmons

Members absent: Representatives Jim Jonas

Others present: Senator Donald Schaible, Mott, member of the Legislative Management

;sSee Appendix A (hard copy) for additional persons present.

It was moved by Representative Marschall, seconded by Representative Schreiber-Beck, and carried on a voice vote that the minutes of the August 29, 2023, meeting be approved as distributed.

REQUIRED REPORTS Education Standards and Practices Board

Satisfaction Survey Results

Dr. Rebecca Pitkin, Executive Director, Education Standards and Practices Board, presented provided a report (Appendix B - LINK) as required under North Dakota Century Code Section 15.1-13-36 regarding satisfaction survey results of individuals seeking information or services from the board as required under North Dakota Century Code Section 15.1-13-36. In response to questions from committee members, she noted:

- 546 teachers applied for an initial teaching license in 2023.
- There are- approximately 11,000 -to 13,000 total licensed teachers in the state.
- The board does not support a lifetime teaching license because of the importance of LThe issuance of lifetime teaching licenses would undermine the board's support of continuing education and periodic background checks.

Department of Public Instruction

School Safety and Security Spending

Mr. Adam Tescher, School Finance Officer, Department of Public Instruction, presented provided a report (Appendix C - LINK) as required under Section 15.1-07-36 regarding the amount spentiding on school safety and security measures during the previous school year-as required under Section 15.1-07-36. He noted:

- <u>As introduced</u>, House Bill No. 1337 (2023), which was amended to require this report, <u>as introduced</u> would have required schools to allocate a <u>certain</u> percentage of funding <u>tofor</u> safety and security <u>measures</u>.
- The purpose of the report is to determine anthe appropriate percentage for schools to spend on of funding to spend on allocate to school safety and security.
- Due to the recent enactment of this reporting requirement, spending for the 2022-23 school year may might

be under-reported <u>assince because</u> schools were <u>already in session and not tracking this the</u> spending <u>separately during the school year.</u>

Statewide safety and security spending for 2022-23 totaledwas \$23.4 million.

In response to questions from committee members, Mr. Tescher noted:

- School districts did not report they are lacking funding a lack of funds for safety and security for safety and security measures.
- School districts reported they spent significant amounts of Elementary and Secondary School Emergency
 Relief Fund grants being spent on safety and security prior tobefore the 2022-23 school year.
- AFor a more accurate representation of a school's safety and security readiness, it may might be beneficial to include accounting for past expenditures, adjustments to costs of remodeling or maintenance remodeling and maintenance costs based on building age, and expenditure trends over a longer time-span.

Professional Development and Improved Educational Outcomes Related to Direct Literacy Instruction

Ms. Ann Ellefson, Director, Office of Academic Support, Department of Public Instruction, presented provided a report regarding reading curriculum and professional development (Appendix D - LINK) and a summary of Science of Reading activities (Appendix E - LINK) to demonstrate the implementation and effectiveness of the appropriation provided to the department to support or professional learning and improve educational outcomes improvement as required under Section 18 of Senate Bill No. 2284 (2023). She noted:

- Reading curriculum professional development is commonly_known as the Science of Reading.
- Section 15.1-21-12.1, enacted in 2021, requires professional development for all Kindergarten through
 grade three3 principals and teachers, curriculum supported by science, and assessments to diagnose and
 monitor student needs. All teachers The requirement also applies to includes special content area teachers
 in addition to and classroom teachers.
- Public and nonpublic school districts educating Kindergarten through grade three3 students are required to demonstrate to the Superintendent of Public Instruction that they are in compliance with Section 15.1-21-12.1. Districts receive are approved from one approvals appropriate in 1- to three3-years intervals at a time based on how well they are implementing implement the requirements.
- The Department of Public Instruction and North Dakota Regional Education Associations (NDREAs) are
 working together collaborating to provide North Dakota Science of Reading, which is low-cost and no
 _cost professional development and curriculum for educators.

In response to a question from a committee member, Ms. Ellefson noted some larger school districts obtain initial reading curriculum training through from an REA to begin curriculum development while many rural school districts rely permanently on REAs to provide for training permanently.

Ms. Ellefson presented provided testimony regarding required dyslexia screening requirements under Section 15.1-32-26, which was enacted in 2023. She noted:

- Professional development is available to school districts at no cost.
- School districts are screeningscreen for but do not diagnosingdiagnose dyslexia.
- A dyslexia credential is available for educators interested in specializing in dyslexia.

Ms. Ellefson presented provided testimony regarding Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (<u>LETRS</u>) training. She noted 226 public elementary schools opted to receive received funding for LETRS training and the department also is offering LETRS training for higher education faculty. She also presented testimony regarding Amira Learning, which is an artificial intelligence reading toolelectronic device that for tutorings students

to reading on read aloud an electronic device. She noted 102 districts have committed to using Amira Learning.

School Choice Models Study

Mr. Russ Simnick, Senior Director of State Advocacy, National Alliance for Public Charter Schools, presented provided testimony (Appendix F - LINK) supporting public charter schools. He noted:

- Charter schools are tuition free, available to all students, accountable to education standards, and innovative.
- North Dakota is one of four states that do not have without charter school laws. Model laws are the
 guidelines and principles for operating a charter school and authorizers are entities that can start a charter
 school, set academic and operational expectations, and oversee school performance.
- Charter schools are free, open to all students, accountable to education standards, and innovative.
- Model laws should ensure strong authorizers exist, provide autonomy, require schools to demonstrate high student achievement, provide equitable funding for new and existing charter schools, and ensure student accessibility to facilities. <u>Autonomy is crucial for charter schools.</u>

In response to questions from committee members, Mr. Simnick noted:

- Charter schools have zero fiscal impact on the state because the same amount of state funding follows the student from a public school to a charter school. State funds are not impacted by charter schools because Since the state's per pupil payment transfers with the student from a public school to a charter school—with the student, there is no impact on state funds.
- Charter schools <u>traditionally</u> are <u>traditionally</u> organized as a 501(c)(3) with a founding board and a governing board. Most boards are self-perpetuating although <u>some states</u>' board members <u>in some states</u> are elected by the teachers and parents of the school.
- Charter schools are responsible for providing <u>buildings</u>education facilities for the schools. <u>School buildings</u>
- <u>The facilities could may</u> be <u>established in vacant buildings</u>, church basements, or <u>as new construction funded with private loans</u>.
- Depending on how the funding formula directs funds to charter schools, state funding may not might not include revenue from local property taxes.

In response to a question from a committee member, Ms. Kirstin Baesler, Superintendent of Public Instruction, noted:

- The waivers currently available permit schools to operate with the same autonomy charter schools do while maintaining a high level of accountability. Available waivers allow public schools to operate with the same autonomy as charter schools while maintaining a high level of accountability.
- Charter schools are held to have the same accountability standards as public schools. An advantage a One advantage of charter schools has is its the ability to implement innovative instructional methods, unlike without modifying a traditional instructional system the, which has the challenges of modifying a traditional instructional system with of requiring the support of thean entire community.

Dr. Aimee Copas, Executive Director, North Dakota Council of Educational Leaders (NDCEL), presented survey results (Appendix G - LINK) and data (Appendix H - LINK) related to the Center for Distance Education (CDE). She noted:

- Survey results indicated 2,106 students enrolled in CDE to take for a course also offered by the school the student attends participating schools. Because schools are required to must pay thea student's CDE course fee for CDE on behalf of the student, enrollments have cost schools between approximately have expended an estimated \$482,000 and to \$545,000 in enrollment costs.
- Students enrolled in CDE courses for a variety of reasons: 391 to avoid a teacher, 325 because of due to

mental health <u>factors</u>, 317 <u>because of due to</u> scheduling conflicts, 189 for an alternative setting, 105 <u>because of due to</u> physical health <u>limitations</u>, and 58 <u>because of due to</u> peer issues.

Parental approval is not required for schedule changes, including enrolling in CDE.

In response to a question from a committee member, Dr. Jeff Fastnacht, Superintendent, Bismarck Public Schools, noted:

- Some students are avoiding teacher expectations by enrolling in CDE and bypassing the social emotional
 learning that comes with learningenrolling in CDE to avoid traditional classroom teacher expectations,
 bypassing the social and emotional development that comes from learning to meet expectations or deal
 withhandle related consequences.
- Students should be responsible for paying pay for CDE courses if the student is they enrolling enroll to avoid teacher expectations or disciplinary action.

Mr. Mike Heilman, Small Organized Schools, <u>presented provided</u> testimony regarding open enrollment. He noted when students <u>attend CDE to opt-out of a rigorous teacher's rigorous class to attend CDE</u>, it <u>putsplaces a schools</u> in a difficult position, <u>especially</u> because the school is required to pay for CDE courses.

In response to a question from a committee member, Mr. Tescher noted some communities, such as oil-rich communities with abundant oil, solely rely on local contributions for school funding. Therefore, charter schools would create a cost to the state if the state allocates a per pupil amount for each student attending a charter school regardless of local contributions for public school students.

SCHOOL DISTRICT ENDING FUND BALANCE STUDY

Mr. Brandt Dick, President, North Dakota Small Organized Schools, presented provided testimony (Appendix I - hard copy) regarding the school district ending fund balance limitation. He noted small schools have appreciated appreciate the moratorium on the ending fund balance and hope to see the limitation on a school's ending fund balance repealed permanently.

In response to a question from a committee member, Mr. Dick noted <u>hasty</u> spending decisions <u>tend to beare</u> made <u>hastily</u> in June before the ending fund balance limitations go into effect.

REPLACEMENT OF STORM MAKE UP DAYS WITH VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION DAYS STUDY

Mr. Dewitt Mack, <u>Cchemistry <u>Tteacher</u>, Mandan High School, <u>presented provided</u> testimony (Appendix J - LINK) regarding his use of Mandan Public Schools' virtual learning model, Canvas, on virtual days. He noted:</u>

- Lesson plans for a typical virtual instruction day closely follow lesson plans for a typical classroom day.
- A typical <u>virtual</u> day begins with reviewing the day's agenda <u>onin</u> Canvas, <u>then_transitions to</u> student instruction, and <u>finallyends with</u> an assessment, which is completed on the student's <u>school-issued_iPad</u> and submitted <u>onusing</u> Canvas.

In response to questions from committee members, Mr. Mack noted:

- Administrators are able to can seeview in Canvas whether if a student is viewing using the module on Canvas.
- Students are encouraged to complete and turn in assignments. However, like in the Like a classroom, some students are reluctant to engage in student participation opportunities.
- Students can access the module at any time at an individualized pace throughout the day.
- Students are encouraged to complete and remit assignments.
- Students can review instructional materials on Canvas for <u>learning</u> reinforcement.

Ms. Lauren Lingen, Kkindergarten Fteacher, Red Trail Elementary School, presented testimony

regarding her use of Canvas on virtual days. She noted she teaches Kkindergarten students to navigateuse an iPad and Canvas, beginning with very basic iPad use instructions when the school year starts at the start of the school year.

In response to questions from committee members, Ms. Lingen noted:

- She <u>watches</u>monitors the weather and <u>sendissues</u> iPads <u>home withto</u> students if she anticipates a virtual learning day is possible the next day. <u>Because of the classroom training on iPads</u>, <u>The classroom iPad training enables</u> students <u>know how</u> to complete their lessons at home on <u>a-virtual learning days</u>.
- During the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, she created manyreusable virtual learning content
 consisting of lessons and instructional videosfor virtual learning, which include instructional videos of
 herself, and the content is reusable.
- Classroom and virtual instruction provide equivalent learning experiences. For example, she instructs students to read for 10-to 15 minutes in the classroom and instructs students to read for ten10 minutes virtually.

In response to questions from committee members, Mr. Mike Bitz, Superintendent, Mandan Public Schools¹, noted:

- Students can access Canvas without internet access and from any electronic device.
- The district pays for Canvas out of rom its budget.
- Teachers can share instructional videos with each other.
- Students have the opportunity tocan connect with their teacher on a virtual learning days.

Dr. Perry Just, Director of Curriculum and Assessment, presented provided testimony regarding educator use of Canvas. He noted:

- Specialists and interventionists provide 15-minute <u>one-on-one</u> online services on a one-to-one basis on virtual days.
- A learning management system like Canvas provides more study resources than the traditional textbooks and student notes.
- 59 percent of students in higher education <u>nationwide</u> are enrolled in online learning <u>nationwide</u>.
- Dr. Copas presented provided testimony (Appendix K LINK) regarding virtual instruction survey results. She noted:
 - 75 of 89 reporting school districts reporting currently have a policy to use make_up days before implementing virtual instruction days.
 - The North Dakota Council of Educational Leaders NDCEL is working with the Department of Transportation to better define "No Travel Advised" as it pertains to school attendance.

In response to a question from a committee member, Dr. Copas noted:

- School districts are continuously gaining experience to improving improve their use of learning management systems as they gain experience.
- 98 percent of school leaders support the ability to usea virtual instruction option.
- School districts agree that a statewide learning management system would be beneficial.

Ms. Alexis Baxley, Executive Director, North Dakota School Boards Association, presented provided testimony (Appendix L - LINK) regarding school board policy-making and involvement in virtual instruction and make_up days.

She noted school board policies should not change often but should allow for flexibility in implementation, and NDSBA provides a model policy for virtual learning.

Mr. Joe Kolosky, Director of the Office of School Approval and Opportunity, Department of Public Instruction, and Ms. Baesler presented-provided testimony (Appendix M - LINK) regarding constituent feedback related to virtual instruction and make-up days. Ms. Baesler noted:

- Communication between from schools and to the parents and students; educator training; teacher accountability; and student feedback are vital to successful virtual instruction.
- Schools should limit the number of virtual instruction days-used and use by using built-in-make-up days first
 before choosing virtual instruction.

No further business appearing, Senator Michelle Chairman Axtman adjourned the meeting at 3:12 p.m.

Liz Fordahl Counsel	 	
ATTACH:		