Sixty-eighth Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

## **HOUSE BILL NO. 1423**

Introduced by

Representatives Thomas, Beltz, Fegley, Grueneich, Kempenich Senators Elkin, Kessel, Luick, Myrdal

- 1 A BILL for an Act to amend and reenact sections 11-33-02.1, 23.1-01-04, and 58-03-11.1 of the
- 2 North Dakota Century Code, relating to a model zoning ordinance for animal feeding operations
- 3 and the North Dakota insurance reserve fund.

## 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

- 5 **SECTION 1. AMENDMENT.** Section 11-33-02.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is amended and reenacted as follows:
- 7 11-33-02.1. Farming and ranching regulations Requirements Limitations -
- 8 Definitions.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1. For purposes of this section:
  - a. "Animal feeding operation" means a lot or facility, other than normal wintering operations for cattle and an aquatic animal production facility, where the following conditions are met:
    - (1) Animals, other than aquatic animals, have been, are, or will be stabled or confined and fed or maintained for at least forty-five days in a twelve-month period; and
    - (2) Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or postharvest residues are not sustained in the normal growing season over any portion of the lot or facility.
    - b. "Farming or ranching" means cultivating land for the production of agricultural crops or livestock, or raising, feeding, or producing livestock, poultry, milk, or fruit.
       The term does not include:
      - (1) The production of timber or forest products; or
      - (2) The provision of grain harvesting or other farm services by a processor or distributor of farm products or supplies in accordance with the terms of a contract.

- c. "Livestock" includes beef cattle, dairy cattle, sheep, swine, poultry, horses, bison,
  elk, fur animals raised for their pelts, and any other animals that are raised, fed,
  or produced as a part of farming or ranching activities.
  - d. "Location" means the setback distance between a structure, fence, or other boundary enclosing an animal feeding operation, including its animal waste collection system, and the nearest occupied residence, the nearest buildings used for nonfarm or nonranch purposes, or the nearest land zoned for residential, recreational, or commercial purposes. The term does not include the setback distance for the application of manure or for the application of other recycled agricultural material under a nutrient management plan approved by the department of environmental quality.
  - e. "Model zoning ordinance" means the most current model zoning ordinance
    related to animal feeding operations published by the agriculture commissioner.
    The setbacks provided in subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15
    must be identical to the setbacks provided in the model zoning ordinance.
  - 2. For purposes of this section, animal units are determined as as provided in subdivision c of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15.
  - A board of county commissioners may not prohibit or prevent the use of land or buildings for farming or ranching and may not prohibit or prevent any of the normal incidents of farming or ranching.
  - 4. A board of county commissioners may not preclude the development of an animal feeding operation in the county.
  - 5. A board of county commissioners may not prohibit the reasonable diversification or expansion of a farming or ranching operation.
  - A board of county commissioners may adopt regulations that establish different standards for the location of animal feeding operations based on the size of the operation and the species and type being fed.
  - 7. If a regulation would impose a substantial economic burden on an animal feeding operation in existence before the effective date of the regulation, the board of county commissioners shall declare that the regulation is ineffective with respect to any animal feeding operation in existence before the effective date of the regulation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 8. a. A board of county commissioners may establish high-density agricultural production districts in which setback distances for animal feeding operations and related agricultural operations are less than those in other districts.
  - b. A board of county commissioners may establish, around areas zoned for residential, recreational, or nonagricultural commercial uses, low-density agricultural production districts in which setback distances for animal feeding operations and related agricultural operations are greater than those in other districts; provided, the low-density agricultural production districts may not extend more than one and one-half miles [2.40 kilometers] from the edge of the area zoned for residential, recreational, or nonagricultural commercial uses.
  - C. The setbacks provided for in this subsection may not exceed those established in subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15 unless the county can demonstrate compelling, objective evidence specific to the county created by an independent third party which requires a greater setback within the county, in which case the setbacks may exceed those established in subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15 by no more than fifty percent. If a setback under this subsection is greater than the corresponding setback established in subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15, aany person whose animalfeeding operation will be or has been affected by the applicable county ordinance or party may request the agriculture commissioner review the ordinance. After the review, the agriculture commissioner shall provide a summary of the review to the attorney general and request an opinion from the attorney general regarding whether the ordinance and setback are lawful. An opinion from the attorney general issued under this subsection governs the actions of public officials until the opinion is superseded by a court of this state.
  - d. If the attorney general issues an opinion that concludes an ordinance or setback is unlawful, and the county fails to formally revoke the ordinance or setback before a lawsuit challenging the validity of the ordinance or setback is initiated, the county may not utilize the North Dakota insurance reserve fund in connection with defending the lawsuit. If a party successfully challenges an ordinance or setback that previously has been determined to be unlawful by the attorney.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- general and the county has failed to revoke the ordinance or setback, the court must award the challenging party's actual attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.
  - d.e. For purposes of this subsection, a "related agricultural operation" means a facility that produces a product or byproduct used by an animal feeding operation.
  - 9. A person intending to construct an animal feeding operation may petition the board of county commissioners for a determination whether the animal feeding operation would comply with zoning regulations adopted under this section and filed with the department of environmental quality under section 11-33-22 before the date the petition was received by the county. The petition must contain a description of the nature, scope, and location of the proposed animal feeding operation and a site map showing road access, the location of any structure, and the distance from each structure to the nearest section line. If the board of county commissioners does not validly object to the petition within sixty days of receipt, the animal feeding operation is deemed in compliance with the county zoning regulations. If the county allows animal feeding operations as a conditional use, the conditional use regulations must be limited to the board's authority under this section, and the approval process must comply with this section. The county shall make a decision valid determination on the application within sixty days of the receipt of a complete conditional use permit application. If the board of county commissioners determines the animal feeding operation would comply with zoning regulations or fails to object under this section, the county may not impose additional zoning regulations relating to the nature, scope, or location of the animal feeding operation later, provided an application is submitted promptly to the department of environmental equality, the department issues a final permit, and construction of the animal feeding operation commences within three years from the date the department issues its final permit and any permit appeals are exhausted. Any objection or determination that subsequently is reversed, set aside, or invalidated by a court of this state, is not a valid objection or decision for the purpose of calculating a procedural timeline under this section. A procedural timeline imposed by this section continues to be in effect during the pendency of any appeal of a county action or determination. A board of county commissioners may not:

1 Regulate or impose zoning restrictions or requirements on animal feeding 2 operations or other agricultural operations except as expressly permitted under 3 this section; or 4 Impose water quality, closure, site security, lagoon, or nutrient plan regulations or b. 5 requirements on animal feeding operations; or 6 Charge fees or expenses of any kind totaling, in the aggregate, more than five <u>C.</u> 7 hundred dollars in connection with any permit, petition, application, or other 8 request relating to animal feeding operations. 9 A county may rely on the North Dakota insurance reserve fund for the defense of any <u>10.</u> 10 litigation regarding the validity of county ordinances, determinations, decisions, or 11 objections relating to animal feeding operations, if the county's ordinances regulating 12 animal feeding operations are identical to the model zoning ordinance. A county 13 ordinance relating to animal feeding operations which deviates from, supplements, 14 adds to, or fails to adopt the model zoning ordinance in its entirety may not utilize the 15 North Dakota insurance reserve fund for any litigation arising from or relating to county 16 ordinances, determinations, decisions, or objections relating to animal feeding 17 operations. If a party successfully challenges the validity of a county ordinance, 18 determination, decision, or objection related to animal feeding operations, the court 19 shall award the reimbursement of actual attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. 20 For each new animal feeding operation located within a county, the county must <u>11.</u> 21 receive two percent of the cost of developing the animal feeding operation, paid from 22 the innovation loan fund under chapter 6-09.18, when: 23 The date construction of the animal feeding operation is complete; and <u>a.</u> 24 <u>b.</u> The animal feeding operation receives its final permit or regulatory approval to 25 operate. If a single animal feeding operation is located in more than one county, 26 the county within which a majority of the animal feeding operation, as measured 27 by square feet, is located must receive the cost-share from the innovation loan 28 fund. 29 SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 23.1-01-04 of the North Dakota Century Code is 30 amended and reenacted as follows:

## 1 23.1-01-04. Rulemaking authority - Limitations.

- 1. Except as provided in subsection 2, the department of environmental quality may not adopt any rule for the purpose of the state administering a program under the federal Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.]; federal Clean Water Act [33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.]; federal Safe Drinking Water Act [42 U.S.C. 300 et seq.]; federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act [42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.]; federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act [42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.]; federal Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act of 1986 [42 U.S.C. 11001 et seq.]; federal Toxic Substances Control Act [42 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.]; or federal Atomic Energy Act of 1954 [42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.]; which is more stringent than corresponding federal regulations that address the same circumstances. In adopting the rules, the department may incorporate by reference corresponding federal regulations.
- 2. The department may adopt rules more stringent than corresponding federal regulations or adopt rules where there are no corresponding federal regulations, for the purposes described in subsection 1, only if the department makes a written finding after public comment and hearing and based upon evidence in the record, that corresponding federal regulations are not adequate to protect the public health and the environment of the state. Those findings must be supported by an opinion of the department referring to and evaluating the public health and environmental information and studies contained in the record which form the basis for the department's conclusions.
- 3. If the department, upon petition by any person affected by a rule of the department, identifies rules more stringent than federal regulations or rules where there are no corresponding federal regulations, the department shall review and revise those rules to comply with this section within nine months of the filing of the petition.
- 4. Any person issued a notice of violation, or a denial of a permit or other approval, based upon a rule of the department which is more stringent than a corresponding federal regulation or where there is no corresponding federal regulation, may assert a partial defense to that notice, or a partial challenge to that denial, on the basis and to the extent the department's rule violates this section by imposing requirements more

1		stringent than corresponding federal regulations, unless the more stringent rule of the			
2		department has been adopted in compliance with this section.			
3	<u>5.</u>	The department may not approve, review, or deny any permit application contingent			
4		<u>on</u>	wheth	ner an applicant is in compliance with applicable county or township zoning	
5		<u>ord</u>	inanc	<u>es.</u>	
6	SEC	SECTION 3. AMENDMENT. Section 58-03-11.1 of the North Dakota Century Code is			
7	amende	ed and reenacted as follows:			
8	58-	03-11.1. Farming and ranching regulations - Requirements - Limitations -			
9	Definiti	ons.			
10	1.	For purposes of this section:			
11		a.	"Ani	imal feeding operation" means a lot or facility, other than normal wintering	
12			ope	rations for cattle and an aquatic animal production facility, where the following	
13			con	ditions are met:	
14			(1)	Animals, other than aquatic animals, have been, are, or will be stabled or	
15				confined and fed or maintained for a total of forty-five days or more in any	
16				twelve-month period; and	
17			(2)	Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or postharvest residues are not sustained	
18				in the normal growing season over any portion of the lot or facility.	
19		b.	"Fai	rming or ranching" means cultivating land for the production of agricultural	
20			crop	os or livestock, or raising, feeding, or producing livestock, poultry, milk, or fruit.	
21			The	term does not include:	
22			(1)	The production of timber or forest products; or	
23			(2)	The provision of grain harvesting or other farm services by a processor or	
24				distributor of farm products or supplies in accordance with the terms of a	
25				contract.	
26		C.	"Liv	estock" includes beef cattle, dairy cattle, sheep, swine, poultry, horses, bison,	
27			elk,	fur animals raised for their pelts, and any other animals that are raised, fed,	
28			or p	produced as a part of farming or ranching activities.	
29		d.	"Loc	cation" means the setback distance between a structure, fence, or other	
30			bou	ndary enclosing an animal feeding operation, including its animal waste	
31			colle	ection system, and the nearest occupied residence, the nearest buildings	

1 used for nonfarm or nonranch purposes, or the nearest land zoned as a 2 residential, recreational, or commercial zoning district. The term does not include 3 the setback distance for the application of manure or for the application of other 4 recycled agricultural material under a nutrient management plan approved by the 5 department of environmental quality. 6 "Model zoning ordinance" means the most current model zoning ordinance <u>e.</u> 7 related to animal feeding operations published by the agriculture commissioner. 8 The setbacks provided in subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15 9 shall be identical to the setbacks provided in the model zoning ordinance. The 10 setbacks provided in subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15 shall be 11 identical to the setbacks provided in the model zoning ordinance. 12 2. For purposes of this section, animal units are determined as provided under 13 subdivision c of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15. 14 3. A board of township supervisors may not prohibit or prevent the use of land or 15 buildings for farming or ranching or any of the normal incidents of farming or ranching. 16 4. A regulation may not preclude the development of an animal feeding operation in the 17 township. 18 5. A board of township supervisors may not prohibit the reasonable diversification or 19 expansion of a farming or ranching operation. 20 6. A board of township supervisors may adopt regulations that establish different 21 standards for the location of animal feeding operations based on the size of the 22 operation and the species and type being fed. 23 If a regulation would impose a substantial economic burden on an animal feeding 7. 24 operation in existence before the effective date of the regulation, the board of township 25 supervisors shall declare that the regulation is ineffective with respect to any animal 26 feeding operation in existence before the effective date of the regulation. 27 8. a. A board of township supervisors may establish high-density agricultural 28 production districts in which setback distances for animal feeding operations and 29 related agricultural operations are less than those in other districts. 30 b. A board of township supervisors may establish, around areas zoned for

residential, recreational, or nonagricultural commercial uses, low-density

Sixty-eighth Legislative Assembly 1 agricultural production districts in which setback distances for animal feeding 2 operations and related agricultural operations are greater than those in other 3 districts; provided, the low-density agricultural production districts may not extend 4 more than one-half mile [0.80 kilometer] from the edge of the area zoned for 5 residential, recreational, or nonagricultural commercial uses. 6 The setbacks provided for in this subsection may not exceed those established in C. 7 subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15 unless the township can 8 demonstrate compelling, objective evidence specific to the township created by 9 an independent third party, which requires a greater setback within the township, 10 in which case the setbacks may exceed those established in subdivision a of 11 subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15 by no more than fifty percent. If a setback 12 under this subsection is greater than the corresponding setback established in 13 subdivision a of subsection 7 of section 23.1-06-15, a person whose animal-14 feeding operation will be or has been affected by the applicable township-15 ordinanceany person or party may request the agriculture commissioner to 16 review the ordinance. After the review, the agriculture commissioner shall provide 17 a summary of the review to the attorney general and request an opinion from the 18 attorney general regarding whether the ordinance and setback are lawful. An

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

d. If the attorney general issues an opinion that concludes an ordinance or setback is unlawful, and the township fails to formally revoke the ordinance or setback before a lawsuit challenging the validity of the ordinance or setback is initiated, the township may not utilize the North Dakota insurance reserve fund in connection with defending the lawsuit. If a party successfully challenges an ordinance or setback that previously has been determined to be unlawful by the attorney general and the township has failed to revoke the ordinance or setback, the court shall award the challenging party's actual attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

opinion from the attorney general issued under this subsection governs the

actions of public officials until the opinion is superseded by a court of this state.

d.e. For purposes of this subsection, a "related agricultural operation" means a facility that produces a product or byproduct used by an animal feeding operation.

29

30

- 1 A person intending to construct an animal feeding operation may petition the board of 2 township supervisors for a determination whether the animal feeding operation would 3 comply with zoning regulations adopted under this section and filed with the 4 department of environmental quality under section 58-03-17 before the date the 5 petition was received by the township. The petition must contain a description of the 6 nature, scope, and location of the proposed animal feeding operation and a site map 7 showing road access, the location of any structure, and the distance from each 8 structure to the nearest section line. If the board of township supervisors does not 9 validly object to the petition within sixty days of receipt, the animal feeding operation is 10 deemed in compliance with the township zoning regulations. If the township allows 11 animal feeding operations as a conditional use, the conditional use regulations must 12 be limited to the board's authority under this section, and the approval process must 13 comply with this section. The township shall make a decision valid determination on the 14 application within sixty days of the receipt of a complete conditional use permit 15 application. If the board of township supervisors determines the animal feeding 16 operation would comply with zoning regulations or fails to object under this section, the 17 township may not impose additional zoning regulations relating to the nature, scope, 18 or location of the animal feeding operation later, provided an application is submitted 19 promptly to the department of environmental quality, the department issues a final 20 permit, and construction of the animal feeding operation commences within three 21 years from the date the department issues its final permit and any permit appeals are 22 exhausted. Any objection or determination that subsequently is reversed, set aside, or 23 invalidated by a court of this state, is not a valid objection or decision for the purpose 24 of calculating a procedural timeline under this section. A procedural timeline imposed 25 by this section continues to be in effect during the pendency of any appeal of a 26 township action or determination. A board of township supervisors may not: 27
  - Regulate or impose zoning restrictions or requirements on animal feeding operations or other agricultural operations except as expressly permitted under this section; or
  - b. Impose water quality, closure, site security, lagoon, or nutrient plan regulations or requirements on animal feeding operations; or

1 Charge fees or expenses of any kind totaling, in the aggregate, more than five 2 hundred dollars in connection with any permit, petition, application, or other 3 request relating to animal feeding operations. 4 A township may rely on the North Dakota insurance reserve fund for the defense of 10. 5 any litigation regarding the validity of township ordinances, determinations, decisions, 6 or objections relating to animal feeding operations, if the township's ordinances 7 regulating animal feeding operations are identical to the model zoning ordinance. A 8 township ordinance relating to animal feeding operations which deviates from, 9 supplements, adds to, or fails to adopt the model zoning ordinance in its entirety may 10 not utilize the North Dakota insurance reserve fund for any litigation arising from or 11 relating to township ordinances, determinations, decisions, or objections relating to 12 animal feeding operations. If a party successfully challenges the validity of a township 13 ordinance, determination, decision, or objection related to animal feeding operations, 14 the court shall award the reimbursement of actual attorneys' fees, costs, and 15 expenses. 16 For each new animal feeding operation located within a township, the township must <u>11.</u> 17 receive one percent of the cost of developing the animal feeding operation, paid from 18 the innovation loan fund under chapter 6-09.18, when: 19 The date construction of the animal feeding operation is complete; and <u>a.</u> 20 The animal feeding operation receives its final permit or regulatory approval to <u>b.</u> 21 operate. If a single animal feeding operation is located in more than 22 one township, the township within which a majority of the animal feeding 23 operation, as measured by square feet, is located must receive the cost-share

from the innovation loan fund.