

North Dakota Small Organized Schools

Mr. Michael Heilman Executive Director 3144 Hampton Street Bismarck, ND 58504 mheilmanndsos@gmail.com 701-527-4621 Mr. Brandt Dick President 1929 N. Washington Steet. Ste.A Bismarck, ND 58501 Brandt.Dick@k12.nd.us 701-415-0441 Mr. Steven Heim Vice-President PO Box 256 Drake, ND 58736 Steve.heim@k12.nd.us 701-465-3732

1

9

21

- 2 Testimony in Opposition to HB 1333
- 3 Chairman Heinert and members of the House Education Committee,
- 4 My name is Michael Heilman, Executive Director of North Dakota Small Organized Schools and I am here
- 5 to express my opposition to HB 1333 mandating a 30-minute lunch break for all schools. While I
- 6 appreciate the intent to ensure students have adequate time to eat and recharge, I believe this
- 7 legislation undermines the principle of local control and the ability of school boards and administrators
- 8 to make decisions that best meet the unique needs of their communities.

# Importance of Local Control

- 10 Local school boards and administrators are best positioned to make decisions regarding the daily
- schedules of their schools. They work closely with educators, parents, and community stakeholders to
- develop schedules that reflect the specific needs and priorities of their districts. Mandating a uniform
- 13 30-minute lunch period at the state level removes the flexibility necessary to tailor school schedules to
- 14 diverse student populations and local circumstances.
- 15 For example, in rural districts, long bus routes often dictate earlier start times and later end times.
- Adding a mandated 30-minute lunch break could force these schools to extend the school day further,
- 17 creating challenges for families and students involved in after-school activities or those who rely on
- 18 transportation services. Conversely, in urban districts, where schools often operate on staggered
- 19 schedules to accommodate limited facilities, a rigid mandate could lead to logistical challenges that
- 20 disrupt the flow of the school day.

# **Balancing Academic Priorities**

- 22 Mandating a 30-minute lunch period could inadvertently impact instructional time, particularly in
- 23 schools that already struggle to fit all necessary subjects and activities into the day. Local administrators
- 24 are tasked with balancing state-mandated instructional requirements with the need to provide
- 25 enrichment opportunities, intervention services, and extracurricular programs. Imposing a uniform
- 26 lunch break may force schools to reduce time allocated to these critical areas, ultimately hindering
- 27 student success.

Region 1

Mr. Kris Kuehn, Supt. Ray

Region 4

Mr. Tim Holte, Supt. Stanley

## **Board of Directors**

### Region 2

Dr. Kelly Peters, Supt. Lakota Mr. Steven Heim, Anamoose & Drake

### Re

Mr. Brian Christopherson, Supt. New Salem Mr. Russ Ziegler, Supt. Elgin-New Leipzig

### Region 5

Mr. Rick Diegel, Supt. Kidder Co. Mr. Brandt Dick, Supt. Burleigh County

### Region 3

Dr. Frank Schill, Supt. Edmore Mr. David Wheeler, Supt. Manvel

### Region 6

Mr. Mitch Carlson, Supt. LaMoure Dr. Steven Johnson, Supt. Ft. Ranson

## **Existing Efforts to Address Student Needs**

- 29 Many districts already prioritize sufficient lunch periods through locally developed procedures, policies
- 30 and schedules. These policies take into account factors such as cafeteria capacity, staffing levels, travel
- 31 to career academies and student schedules. Furthermore, local administrators are responsive to
- 32 concerns from parents and students about lunch breaks, making adjustments as needed without the
- 33 need for a one-size-fits-all mandate.

28

38

42

43

44

45 46

- 34 For instance, some districts incorporate flexible scheduling that allows students to engage in
- unstructured time during lunch or provide grab-and-go options for those involved in concurrent
- 36 activities or needing to travel to a different facility for a class. These creative solutions are possible
- 37 because of the autonomy granted to local decision-makers.

## **Potential Unintended Consequences**

- 39 State-mandated schedules may lead to unintended consequences, such as:
- Increased Operational Costs: Extending lunch periods could require additional staffing or facilities adjustments, particularly in schools with limited cafeteria capacity.
  - **Reduced Elective Opportunities:** Schools may need to cut back on electives, arts, or physical education to comply with the mandate.
  - Logistical Challenges: Implementing a standardized lunch period could complicate scheduling for students who participate in dual enrollment programs, internships, or work-study opportunities.
- 47 In conclusion, while the goal of ensuring students have adequate time for lunch is commendable, this
- 48 legislation undermines the principle of local control and imposes unnecessary rigidity on schools. Local
- 49 school boards and administrators are uniquely qualified to design schedules that reflect the needs and
- 50 priorities of their communities.
- 51 I urge you to respect the autonomy of local districts and allow them to continue making decisions about
- 52 school schedules, including lunch periods, in collaboration with their stakeholders. A more effective
- approach would be to provide guidance and support to districts, rather than imposing a statewide
- 54 mandate.
- 55 Thank you,
- 56
- 57 Mr. Michael Heilman Executive Director
- 58 North Dakota Small Organized Schools
- 59 mheilmanndsos@gmail.com
- 60 701.527.4621