This bill is not asking for anything dramatic; only to put a few more tags into your average hunters hands.

Landowners will still enjoy their more than fair share considering that THEY DO NOT OWN THE ANIMALS IN QUESTION.

Some are making this bill out to be infringing on landowners rights. I ask, which rights are those?

The animals subject to this bill ARE OWNED BY EVERYONE.

Landowners will still have first dibs on tags. I understand that landowners often have to "deal" with the wildlife on their property. They will still enjoy a great benefit.

Lastly, the attention seems to be on angering landowners; what about North Dakota hunters? Do no legislators here care about them or are willing to fight their rights? They have just as much of a right to harvest these animals as landowners do.

There's a reason we use the North American Model of Wildlife Management; because it works for EVERYONE. Striking out the relevant language in this bill is a step closer to that model, and AWAY from the European Model, where landowners own the wildlife, and access to these animals requires a fat stack of cash. We're better than that.

Support your average Joe North Dakota hunter by putting a few more pronghorn tags in their pockets.