



# North Dakota Irrigation Association

P.O. Box 2254  
Bismarck, ND 58502  
701-223-4615  
701-223-4645 (fax)

Dedicated to strengthening and expanding irrigation to build and diversify our economy

## **Senate Agriculture Committee Hearing for HB 1531**

**March 20, 2025**

### **Testimony of Dani Quissell, Executive Director, ND Irrigation Association**

Chairman Luick and Members of the Committee, my name is Dani Quissell, and I serve as the Executive Director of the North Dakota Irrigation Association (NDIA). Thank you for the opportunity to offer testimony in support of House Bill 1531.

HB 1531 would provide an appropriation to the Ag Commissioner to support an economic study looking at the impact that irrigation and drainage practices can have on agricultural productivity and in turn on state and local economies. When I talk to individual producers who use irrigation and drain tile to maximize yields on their farm, they point to the significant economic impact those practices have for their operation. However, as Executive Director of the Irrigation Association, I can't tell you what the impact of those practices are on the state as a whole. Having that information would be helpful as we look at future development of these practices and state investment in that development.

One of the questions specifically called out in this bill that I am especially excited to get an answer on is this:

What is the lost economic activity incurred by the state of North Dakota due to the federal government not building out irrigation infrastructure as promised through the Pick Sloan plan?

When the Garrison and Oahe dams were built by the federal government, 584,000 acres in North Dakota were inundated. In exchange for the loss of that land, the federal government promised to develop more than 1 million acres of irrigation throughout the state. Due to changes in federal government policy shortly after the dams were completed, that development was, in large part, unrealized. Over the last few decades, the state and the federal government have negotiated alternative compensation for the state. Having a number of what the state has lost because of the loss of land and lack of irrigation development would be very beneficial as we advocate for federal funding in DC.

In discussions with the Ag Commissioner's office, it was suggested that a simple amendment be made to the bill to clarify the procurement process for this study. This would require the addition of the following language:

The commissioner is not subject to chapter 54-44.4 when contraction for services under this section.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this testimony, Mr. Chairman. I'd be happy to stand for any questions you may have.