(Return in triplicate) FISCAL NOTE

Bill/Resolution No.: _____ Amendment to: House Bill No. 1349

Requested by: Legislative Council Date of Receipt: _____

Please estimate the fiscal impact of the above measure for:

[X] State general or special funds [ ] Counties [ ] Cities

In the following space note the fiscal effect in dollars of this measure:

Narrative:

H.B. 1349

The fee schedule proposed in this bill is in conflict with S.B. 2205 as introduced by this office and amended by the senate. Under section 2 of H.B. 1349, the fees for central notice would not be changed and we would like the fee schedule to be the same in both bills.

Our primary concern regarding fees are found in sections d. and e. of this bill. In section d. we have found the expense for producing crop microfiche monthly and supplemental lists to exceed $23.00 a month. With our proposed amendments in S.B. 2205, we could charge up to (but not exceed) $25.00. The livestock microfiche list is considerably less and we could charge less for that list according to H.B. 1349.

Concerning section e., S.B. 2205 has been amended to allow actual cost to be charged for furnishing a printed list. If a subscriber wanted (they could demand according to Federal Government Regulations) a computer generated paper list, it could cost over $1200 for our office to produce that list one time per subscriber according to cost estimates from Central Data Processing.

State Fiscal Effect:

1987-88		1988-89		Biennium Total	
Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund
If H.B. 1349 is approved with no fee schedule change					
	(40,000)		(40,000)		(40,000)
If H.B. 1349 is amended so the fees are the same as found in S.B. 2205					
	40,000		40,000		40,000

County and City Fiscal Effect:

1987-88		1988-89		Biennium Total	
Counties	Cities	Counties	Cities	Counties	Cities

If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.

Signed Ben Meier

Typed Name BEN MEIER

Date Prepared: February 20, 1987

Department SECRETARY OF STATE