

FISCAL NOTE

(Return in triplicate)

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1249 Amendment to: _____

Requested by Legislative Council Date of Request: 1-13-93

1. Please estimate the fiscal impact (in dollar amounts) of the above measure for state general or special funds, counties, and cities.

Narrative:

This bill removes the requirement that 250 cases be disposed of before the Supreme Court can assign cases to the Court of Appeals. In his State of the Judiciary address, Chief Justice Vandewalle stated the 250 case limitation has little to do with the need to use this court and if the limitation is removed the court will not abuse its use. The line item in the 1991-93 Judicial Branch Budget for the Court of Appeals is \$22,000. That same figure is requested in the 1993-95 biennium. This bill has no fiscal impact other than the budget figures noted above, which remain the same.

2. State fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

<u>1991-93</u>		<u>1993-95</u>		<u>1995-97</u>	
<u>Biennium</u>		<u>Biennium</u>		<u>Biennium</u>	
<u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>
<u>Fund</u>	<u>Funds</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Funds</u>	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Funds</u>

Revenues:

Expenditures: \$22,000 (included in budget)	\$22,000 (included in budget)	\$23,000
---	-------------------------------	----------

3. What, if any, is the effect of this measure on the appropriation for your agency or department:

- a. For rest of 1991-93 biennium: None
- b. For the 1993-95 biennium: None
- c. For the 1995-97 biennium: None

4. County and City fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

<u>1991-93</u>		<u>1993-95</u>		<u>1995-97</u>	
<u>Biennium</u>		<u>Biennium</u>		<u>Biennium</u>	
<u>Counties</u>	<u>Cities</u>	<u>Counties</u>	<u>Cities</u>	<u>Counties</u>	<u>Cities</u>
N/A		N/A		N/A	

If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.

Signed Keith E. Nelson

Typed Name Keith E. Nelson

Department Admin. Off. - Supreme Court

Phone Number 224-4216

Date Prepared: 01/13/93