

FISCAL NOTE

(Return original and 10 copies)

Bill/Resolution No.: _____ Amendment to: Reeng.HB 1131

Requested by Legislative Council Date of Request: 03/14/95

1. Please estimate the fiscal impact (in dollar amounts) of the above measure for state general or special funds, counties, and cities.

Narrative:

See Attached Sheets

2. State fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

	<u>1993-95</u> <u>Biennium</u>		<u>1995-97</u> <u>Biennium</u>		<u>1997-99</u> <u>Biennium</u>	
	<u>General</u> <u>Fund</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Funds</u>	<u>General</u> <u>Fund</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Funds</u>	<u>General</u> <u>Fund</u>	<u>Special</u> <u>Funds</u>
Revenues:	0	0	4,910,429.44	0	5,831,134.90	0
Expenditures:	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. What, if any, is the effect of this measure on the appropriation for your agency or department:

- a. For rest of 1993-95 biennium: 0
- b. For the 1995-97 biennium: 4,910,429.44
- c. For the 1997-99 biennium: 5,831,134.90

4. County and City fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

<u>1993-95</u> <u>Biennium</u>		<u>1995-97</u> <u>Biennium</u>		<u>1997-99</u> <u>Biennium</u>	
<u>Counties</u>	<u>Cities</u>	<u>Counties</u>	<u>Cities</u>	<u>Counties</u>	<u>Cities</u>
0	0	(4,910,429.44)	0	(5,831,134.90)	0

If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.

Signed *Keith E. Nelson*

Typed Name Keith E. Nelson

Date Prepared: 03/15/95

Department Supreme Court

Phone Number 328-4216

Narrative: Reengrossed HB 1131 (as amended)

Reengrossed HB No. 1131, as amended, would increase the administration fee presently permitted in criminal cases from up to 25% to up to 30% of the maximum allowable fine and would transfer 80% of county revenue derived from bond forfeitures, court administration fees, and court costs to the state general fund through December 31, 1997. The bill would transfer 100% of such revenue to the state general fund beginning January 1, 1998. Estimates concerning revenue generated from court costs, increased court administration fees, and bond forfeitures are based upon a 1991 survey of county revenues. While dated, these are the only available statistics upon which to make estimates. An additional limitation concerning revenue generated from court costs is noted below.

Totals; 1995/97, 1997/99 Biennium

Total estimated biennial revenues resulting from assessment of court costs, the increased administration fee, and bond forfeitures would be as follows: \$2,666,536 (bond forfeitures) + \$433,132 (court costs) + \$3,038,368.80 (increased administration fees) = \$6,138,036.80. Under Reengrossed HB No. 1131, as amended, this total figure would be divided 80/20 between the state general fund and the counties through December 31, 1997. State general fund revenues would amount to approximately \$4,910,429.44 ($\$6,138,036.80 \times .80$) for the 1995/97 biennium. The counties would retain the remainder - \$1,227,607.36 ($\$6,138,036.80 \times .20$). Under Reengrossed HB No. 1131, as amended, beginning January 1, 1998, 100% of the above revenues would be transferred to the state general fund. Consequently, the 80/20 division would continue for the first six months or quarter of the 1997/99 biennium and 100% of the revenues would be transferred for the remainder of the biennium. Estimated first quarter revenues would be approximately \$1,534,509.20 ($\$6,138,036.80 \div 4$). Eighty percent, or \$1,227,607.30, would be transferred to the state general fund and 20%, or \$306,901.90, would be retained by the county. Thereafter, 100% of estimated revenues for the remainder of the 1997/99 biennium, \$4,603,527.60, would be deposited in the state general fund - for a total during the 1997/99 biennium of \$5,831,134.90.

Estimated revenues from court costs, administration fees and bond forfeitures are detailed below.

Court Costs

Court costs assessed in county court in 1991 amounted to approximately \$216,566. Assuming this figure has remained relatively constant and assuming that county judges elected to district judge offices in 1994 continue the practice of imposing court costs, total revenue from court costs would amount to \$433,132 ($\$216,566 \times 2$) per biennium.

*Note: The historical practice in district court has been to de-emphasize the assessment of court costs in criminal cases, while relying more on imposition of fines.

Increased Court Administration Fee

Reengrossed HB No. 1131 would increase the administration fee from up to 25% to up to 30%. The approximate total fees assessed in 1991 amounted to \$1,265,987. If it is assumed that all administrative fees were assessed at 25% of the maximum allowable fine, then the \$1,265,987 figure would represent approximately 25% of the total fines subject to assessment. Therefore, total fines subject to assessment would be $\$1,265,987 \times 4$ or \$5,063,948. If the administration fee is increased to up to 30% and assuming that all administrative fees are assessed at 30% of maximum fines, then total revenue generated would be approximately \$1,519,184.40 per year ($\$5,063,948 \times .30$) or \$3,038,368.80 per biennium.

Bond Forfeitures

Bond forfeitures received by the counties in 1991 amounted to approximately \$1,333,268. Assuming this figure remains constant, total revenue from bond forfeitures would amount to \$2,666,536 per biennium.