

FISCAL NOTE

(Return original and 10 copies)

Bill/Resolution No.: SB2205 Amendment to: \_\_\_\_\_

Requested by Legislative Council Date of Request: \_\_\_\_\_

- 1. Please estimate the fiscal impact (in dollar amounts) of the above measure for state general or special funds, counties, and cities.

Narrative:

See attached sheet.

- 2. State fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

	1993-95 Biennium		1995-97 Biennium		1997-99 Biennium	
	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds	General Fund	Special Funds
Revenues:	-0-	-0-	-0-	\$7,500	-0-	\$7,500
Expenditures:	-0-	-0-	\$2,650	\$7,500	\$2,650	\$7,500

- 3. What, if any, is the effect of this measure on the appropriation for your agency or department:

- a. For rest of 1993-95 biennium: -0-
- b. For the 1995-97 biennium: -0-
- c. For the 1997-99 biennium: -0-

- 4. County and City fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

	1993-95 Biennium		1995-97 Biennium		1997-99 Biennium	
	Counties	Cities	Counties	Cities	Counties	Cities
	-0-	-0-	\$1,925	\$1,925	\$1,925	\$1,925

If additional space is needed, attach a supplemental sheet.

Signed *James E. Sperry*  
 Typed Name James E. Sperry  
Superintendent

Date Prepared: 2/2/95

Department State Historical Society of ND

Phone Number 328-2666

1. Narrative: The bill will increase fees for 1) issuing cultural resources investigation permits, and 2) filing of cultural resource survey and evaluation reports. These fees are deposited in a special revolving fund and must be used to investigate the professional qualifications of permit applicants and to catalogue, store and manage the cultural resources reports filed with the agency.

The permit fee is paid by private cultural resources contracting firms that wish to work in the state. The fee is paid annually. SB2205 will increase the permit fee from \$50.00 to \$100.00 dollars. The increased permit fee will generate \$1,000.00 more in special revolving fund revenues during each of the 1995-97 and 1997-99 biennia than will be generated during the present biennium.

The report filing fee is paid to the State Historical Society by sponsors of federally funded and licensed projects who conduct cultural resource studies in accordance with federal regulatory requirements. The reports resulting from those studies are filed with the State Historical Society. The society charges a report filing fee to help defray the costs of cataloging, storing and managing the reports. SB2205 will increase the report filing fee from \$25.00 per report to \$50.00 per report. The increased report filing fee will generate \$6,500 more in special revolving fund revenues during each of the 1995-97 and 1997-99 biennia than will be generated during the present biennium.

General fund expenditures will increase by a maximum of \$2,650.00. This increase will result because state agencies using federal funds must sometimes conduct cultural resources investigations in accordance with federal regulatory requirements. The reports of those investigations are filed with the State Historical Society and the society charges them the report filing fee. [Because the society does not know if the fees charged state agencies are paid from general funds, federal funds or other funds, we have chosen to assume in this fiscal note that they are general funds, thus presenting a "worse case" scenario.] The fees are deposited in the special revolving fund. Likewise, counties and cities must sometimes conduct cultural resources investigations in accordance with federal regulatory requirements, and file reports resulting from those investigations with the State Historical Society. SB2205 will increase filing fees charged to counties and cities by a maximum of \$3,850.00 (\$1,925.00 to counties/ \$1,925.00 to cities) more during each of the 1995-97 and 1997-99 biennia than will be generated during the present biennium.

Special funds expenditures will increase by \$7,500.00 during each of the 1995-97 and 1997-99 biennia over special funds expenditures for the present biennium. These increased expenditures will assist the State Historical Society in defraying the costs of investigating permit applicants and managing the data generated by federally required cultural resources investigations.