Fifty-seventh Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

SENATE BILL NO. 2288

Introduced by

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Senators Fischer, Wardner

Representatives Devlin, Kasper

- 1 A BILL for an Act to provide for a motor vehicle insurance personal injury protection
- 2 examination program; and to amend and reenact section 26.1-41-11 of the North Dakota
- 3 Century Code, relating to motor vehicle insurance independent medical examinations.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. Personal injury protection examination program.

- 1. The insurance commissioner shall adopt rules establishing a personal injury protection examination program for the purpose of timely investigation and resolution of disputed personal injury protection claims submitted to an insurance company under chapters 26.1-40 and 26.1-41. The commissioner may contract with any person to develop the rules and for the administration of the personal injury protection examination program. The personal injury protection examination program is the exclusive method for obtaining an independent medical examination from a health care practitioner other than a treating provider relating to a disputed personal injury protection claim, except as provided under subsection 3.
- 2. The personal injury protection examination program must provide for the personal injury protection examination review panel, which is a group of licensed health care practitioners. The commissioner shall use public and private resources in determining standards and qualifications for the personal injury protection review panel members. A health care practitioner participating in the personal injury protection review panel must be actively engaged in the practice of health care and no more than forty-nine percent of the practitioner's practice and income may be derived from witness fees and examinations of persons who are not under the practitioner's care and treatment. The personal injury protection examination

- review panel shall perform personal injury protection examinations at the request of the commissioner.
 - 3. Any insurer, insured, or injured individual entitled to benefits has the right to obtain a personal injury protection examination with a health care practitioner from the personal injury protection examination review panel regarding each type of treatment involved in the disputed portion of the personal injury protection claim. The requesting party, when submitting a request for a personal injury protection examination, shall specify the professional specialty of the health care practitioner who will perform the personal injury protection examination. If practical, the professional specialty requested must be the same as that of the treating health care practitioner whose treatment and opinion are intended to be reviewed by the member of the personal injury protection review panel; except that psychiatrists, psychologists, and neuropsychologists may review one practitioner's treatment and opinions to the extent that the reviewing expert is qualified to address the specific issues that arise in a particular case. This section does not preclude a managed care organization from using its usual and customary review procedures.
 - 4. Through a revolving selection process established by rule, the commissioner shall prepare a list of five health care practitioners qualified to perform the personal injury protection examination and shall submit the list to the requesting party.
 Within five days of receipt of the list, the requesting party shall strike two names from the list and submit the list to the opposing party. Within five days of receipt of the list, the opposing party shall strike two names from the list. The opposing party shall immediately return the list to the commissioner. The insurer and insured or the injured individual entitled to benefits may agree upon a health care practitioner to perform the personal injury protection examination without using the revolving selection process. Upon the selection of the health care practitioner, the personal injury protection examination must proceed and the requesting party shall pay the costs of the examination.
 - The chosen health care practitioner shall determine whether the treatment that has been rendered to the insured or injured individual entitled to benefits is reasonable,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- if the treatment is necessary, and if the claimed injury or condition arises out of the use of a motor vehicle.
 - 6. A health care practitioner who performs a personal injury protection examination pursuant to this section is immune from civil liability in any claim for relief brought by any person based upon the practitioner's findings, opinions, and conclusions, absent the showing of malice or bad faith on the part of the practitioner.
 - 7. In the event the findings, opinions, and conclusion of the chosen health care practitioner are contrary to the statement of causation, diagnosis, prognosis, plan of treatment, opinions, or recommendations of the treating practitioner whose actions have been reviewed, any party dissatisfied with such findings, opinions, and conclusions may seek and pay for a second personal injury protection examination under the procedures set forth under subsections 3 and 4.
 - In any arbitration or judicial proceeding commenced by the insurer, insured, or the 8. injured individual entitled to benefits, the findings, opinions, and conclusions of the personal injury protection examination are presumed to be correct, but the presumption may be rebutted by a preponderance of the evidence. If there has been a second personal injury protection examination under subsection 7, the agreed upon findings, opinions, and conclusions of two of the three health care practitioners is binding unless rebutted by clear and convincing evidence in any arbitration or judicial proceeding commended by the insurer, the insured, or injured individual entitled to benefits. A claim for relief, including a proceeding alleging any cause of action under the tort of bad faith breach of the insurance contract, arising out of any action taken by the insurer which is consistent with the agreed upon findings, opinions, and conclusions of two of the three health care practitioners may not be brought or maintained against the insurer; except that the insured or injured individual entitled to benefits may bring a civil proceeding alleging that clear and convincing evidence rebuts the findings, opinions, and conclusions of two of the three health care practitioners. If the insured or injured individual entitled to benefits is successful, the no-fault insurer is obligated to pay only the no-fault benefits that had been denied and that were the subject of the proceeding.

amended and reenacted as follows:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 1 Before adoption of the personal injury protection examination review panel rule, the 2 commissioner shall appoint a personal injury protection examination review panel 3 advisory committee to assist the commissioner. The advisory committee must 4 consist of appropriate representation from North Dakota licensed physicians, North 5 Dakota licensed chiropractors, the North Dakota health care association, insurers 6 licensed to do business in the state, the North Dakota defense research institute, 7 the North Dakota trial lawyers association, consumers of automobile insurance, 8 and any others the commissioner deems necessary. 9 SECTION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 26.1-41-11 of the North Dakota Century Code is
 - **26.1-41-11. Mental and physical examinations.** Whenever If the mental or physical condition of a person is material to any claim that has been or may be made for past or future basic or optional excess no-fault benefits, the person shall submit to mental or physical examination by a physician designated by the basic no-fault insurer at a reasonably convenient location, subject to section 1 of this Act. Basic no-fault insurers are authorized to include reasonable provisions of this nature in policies providing basic or excess no-fault benefits.