FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 12/22/2014

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2107

1 A. **State fiscal effect**: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2013-2015 Biennium		2015-2017 Biennium		2017-2019 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures			\$120,000		\$120,000	
Appropriations			\$120,000		\$120,000	

1 B. County, city, school district and township fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

	2013-2015 Biennium	2015-2017 Biennium	2017-2019 Biennium
Counties			
Cities			
School Districts			
Townships			

2 A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).

Measure relates to prevention of and remedies for human trafficking, repeals NDCC 12.1-40, expands definitions and creates five subsections with various felony levels, allows the DOCR to grant or contract with victim service organizations to benefit human trafficking victims.

B. **Fiscal impact sections**: *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

The financial impact of this measure is difficult to estimate. The fiscal impact of this measure is dependent on the resulting change in the number of individuals being charged with and convicted of human trafficking. If the change is not substantial the DOCR will not experience a significant fiscal impact and vice versa. Due to the lack of historical data regarding the potential number of individuals this measure would apply to and other unknown factors, the DOCR can not estimate the fiscal impact of this measure as related to the cost of incarceration and supervision.

This measure makes reference to the DOCR administering a human trafficking victim service grant program, however does not provide for an appropriation to implement such a program. If such a program is funded the DOCR would require one additional FTE to provide necessary administration of grant program. The estimated biennial cost of such an FTE is \$120,000. The cost of the grants provided to eligible entities is not reflected in this fiscal note.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

n/a

B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

Additional estimated expenditures related to cost of incarceration and supervision, if any, are not determinable.

The cost of grants provided to eligible entities is not reflected in this fiscal note. The \$120,000 noted above is the estimated salary, fringe and related operating costs of one FTE needed to administer the referenced grant program.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation or a part of the appropriation is included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.

Additional estimated appropriation related to cost of incarceration and supervision, if any, are not determinable.

The appropriation necessary to provide eligible entities with funding is not reflected in this fiscal note. the \$120,000 appropriation noted above is the estimated salary, fringe, and related operating costs of one FTE needed to administer the referenced grant program.

Name: Dave Krabbenhoft

Agency: DOCR
Telephone: 328-6135
Date Prepared: 01/02/2015