

Dear Chairman and House
committee members,

It is an honor and privilege to
write to you today knowing
that we here in the United
States and specifically the
State of North Dakota
consider all people equal,
which allows for me to share
with you, the research I have
been doing for many months.

I would like to voice my support of HB 1348 . The only clarification to this bill that would be beneficial for all of us, would be differentiating between “testing” and “surveillance.” It is important to recognize the difference between testing and surveillance with regards to sewage maintenance and safety. Perhaps the wording can be amended to clarify

how this bill is an effort to protect North Dakotans from rather large corporations inside and outside of ND from using ND residents in a research experiment without their informed consent.

Moving forward and after the pandemic it is important we are clear on what surveillance is.

Testing is good and useful and is not used to research on

the population experimentally. Surveillance signifies something quite different. The terminology of surveillance is being used to enter humans into a research experiment without informed consent. This act of surveillance crosses over into the area of using humans for experimental research. This is not only illegal, it is unethical, as established by the Nuremberg Code, Belmont

Report, and the legal requirements of ethical research outlined in the Research act of 1974.

Testing: researches water.

Surveillance: researches the Humans. It is an ongoing and repeated testing which is then

entered into an artificial intelligence software that uses humans beings for experimental research and behavior modification campaigns by targeting the humans who are experimentally being researched on. This data is then used to market to and or scrutinize the population being surveilled. Industry leaders are all ready gearing up to push forward with

surveillance of humans beings through their waste even still while in the throws of Covid 19. Surveillance can include, but is currently not limited to biological make up potentially including DNA, chemical composition, disease of all kinds, medications just to mention a few things planned for the humans that have now been entered into this experimental research study.

Research experiments involving humans, even in the context of a population is federally restrained by mandatory informed consent of participating humans who are being targeted for research.

During a pandemic we recognize that sometimes laws get broken and federal offenses happen. But this practice of surveillance, clearly needs to be scrutinized and recognized for what it is. It is using humans within the towns being surveilled and automatically entering the humans into the research experimental study. Currently those humans are neither

informed nor consenting. The current population has NOT been fully educated on what waste surveillance really is and the plans that are in the works for the towns who volunteered and continue on in using surveillance for other matters.

If you have any questions regarding the history of waste

water surveillance or the industry leaders who are now backing this unethical use of humans for their own research agendas, I will be available for questions and would be thankful, that as our elected officials, you are caring enough about our future to ask how this will impact us if not restrained legally. HB 1348 is making a good faith effort to put legal protections in place for the

humans who have now become a part of experimental research without their consent, until appropriate and legal informed consent of each individual is initiated, we need this Bill to protect us from rather large corporations who do not care about how the experimental research and outcomes can potentially affect those who have unwittingly been included in

their experimental research efforts.

Respectfully, Margo Knorr

