

SB2144

House Energy & Natural Resources Committee

Presentation by Dave Dewald and Brian Schanilec

1. SB 2144

- a. House Natural Resource Committee Member
 - i. Two-year study
 - 1. Extensive study on electronic posting
 - 2. Hunter and angler access
 - 3. Landowner rights
 - 4. Criminal trespass
 - 5. Collaborative success as a good
- b. Pilot project in 3 counties
 - i. Hosted Game & Fish
 - ii. Participation
 - iii. Ease of project
 - iv. Very good support from Game & Fish
 - v. Simple to use
- c. Hunter & Angler Access
 - i. Same land available – people who don't post
 - ii. No action not posted
 - iii. Land has to be posted to deny hunting and angling
 - iv. Land can be posted by physical sign or electronic
 - v. Plot maps, apps and internet can be used
 - vi. Preplanning access can be easy
- d. Landowner rights
 - i. Complaint is cost and time of posting
 - ii. Ease of posting
 - iii. Fenced in properties is posted excluding lawful hunting and angling
 - iv. Enforceable

- e. Criminal trespass
 - i. Fenced in property is posted
 - ii. Endorsed by states attorney who had input on SB2144
 - iii. Good definitions
 - iv. Help prosecute DAPL type situations

- f. Collaborative success
 - i. Win win situation
 - ii. Lawful hunters and anglers keep access
 - iii. Ease of electronic posting
 - iv. Time and money spent on posting
 - v. Landowner rights broadened substantially
 - vi. Technology improved to accommodate the times
 - vii. Opportunity to put a trespass bill behind the legislative process and use the legislative resources toward the important needs during these challenging times.