Margaret Winkler

From: "Beth Didier" <bdidier@barnescounty.us>
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 10:17 AM
To: "Arvid Winkler" <awinkler@bektel.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Fw: Jail Bond Resolution

Arvid,

At this point, I have not studiously researched the petition requirements and do not plan to until such time as it is necessary for me to process a petition. My apologies for a lack of answers, but until I have a petition in hand, I have other duties to attend to.

Beth M Didier
Barnes County Auditor
230 4th St NW Room 202
Valley City ND 58072
Phone: (701) 845-6666
Fax: (701) 845-8548

From: Arvid Winkler <awinkler@bektel.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 5:56 AM
To: Beth Didier <bdidier@barnescounty.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fw: Jail Bond Resolution

Beth,
I am told that there is a protest petition circulating in the area.

According to NDCC 21-03-07 (6), your office will end up with the petition.
I am concerned about dates, such as resolution passing, publishing, and filing of protest petitions.
Would signatures obtained before the publishing date be valid?
Or is the real control over when the completed petitions are filed with your office?

Will "any owner of taxable property" mean that on property with multiple owners any one signature would be sufficient?
Will copies of signing authority be required for estates and created entities such as partnerships and trusts?

Would a parcel number suffice as a description of the property?

Must signatures from absentee owners be notarized?

Hopefully some answers will be available on Tuesday.

Arvid

35°

From: "Arvid Winkler" <awinkler@bektel.com>
Date: Sunday, October 4, 2020 2:23 PM
To: "Bill Carlblom" <bcarlblom@barnescounty.us>
Attach: Taxation Without Representation.eml
Subject: Fw: Taxation Without Representation

Commissioner Carlblom,
Under what rock should I look to find the public record as to when the decision was made to go with option 5 rather than option 2 wherein the protest petition option was lost?

The comments of commissioner Froelich up at Cenex during the winter months would match that of an option 2 undertaking.

The comments of Scott Wegner to Jack Ertelt in the lobby of the courthouse after a commission meeting would match that of an option 2 undertaking.

What about a phone call between the July 21 am meeting and the July 21 pm meeting wherein the move forward motion was passed?

Perhaps this can be explained in the commissioner comments portion of Tuesday's meeting.

This ag land taxation with no voting representation reminds me of the comment, "I want to go shopping. Give me your credit card".

Arvid Winkler

House election winner must be a qualified elector,
Dead men don't count,
Elzer can win by write-in only.
Divine Providence interfered with the printing of this #*!? article for your benefit.