

Testimony Opposing House Bill 1389

Mark Jorritsma, Executive Director
Family Policy Alliance of North Dakota
March 15, 2021

Good morning Madam Chair Bell and honorable members of the Senate Finance and Taxation Committee. My name is Mark Jorritsma and I am the Executive Director of Family Policy Alliance of North Dakota. We respectfully request that you render a “DO NOT PASS” on House Bill 1389.

Online poker is not gambling. This bill says so itself, and more importantly, in August of 2012, a federal judge in New York ruled in *US v. Dicristina* that internet poker was not gambling.¹ So that is settled.

But is it really? While online poker does not appear to meet the legal definition of gambling, consider these facts.

- *In the same ruling referenced above, Judge Weinstein acknowledged that state courts that have ruled on the issue are divided as to whether poker constitutes a game of skill, a game of chance, or a mixture of the two.*²
- *Online poker, which allows players to play multiple tables at once, resulting in almost constant action, is a fertile ground for developing addiction. Some sites allow a player to open as many as eight tables at a time, and the speed of play is three times as fast as live poker.*³
- *...online poker has a rather addictive nature that often affects younger generations. College age students are especially apt to developing online poker addictions.*⁴
- *Deposit options will vary depending on the state, but the most popular method is to play poker for money with credit card. Visa and MasterCard deposits are instant and come with no fees.*⁵ In other words, you can bet with money you don't have – a huge problem that only feeds those with gambling addictions.

- *As of July 2020, players in New Jersey, Nevada, Pennsylvania, and Delaware enjoy access to legal online poker sites. Michigan and West Virginia have passed legislation to make online poker legal, but the launch of products is pending in those states. On the other side, online gambling in Indiana is expressly outlawed⁶ and participating in online gaming in Washington state is a felony⁷. The dearth of states stepping up to legalize this form of gaming must be for some underlying reason outweighing the revenue gains, and having a very liberal state like Washington make it a felony also gives one pause.*
- *Online venues may be more vulnerable to certain types of fraud, especially collusion between players.⁸*
- *There has been a deleterious online poker impact of COVID-19 to casino and other in-person gaming. The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a massive increase in online poker traffic. The pandemic is believed to have directed both professional and recreational players who normally prefer live poker to online platforms due to the indefinite closure of most casinos and other live gaming venues worldwide, with even many unlicensed venues shutting down.⁹*
- *College age students are prone to thinking that they are smarter than the average player ... Online poker is truly a form of “psychological warfare,” and those who are in the prime of their mental enhancement feel they have the psychological edge (Kerkstra, 2006). Those who fail to win often fail to realize that their scholarly intelligence will not always translate into gambling success.¹⁰*
- *When playing traditional poker, people do not usually take their entire bankroll to the casino. Rather, they take only the portion that they are willing to lose. But with online poker, your entire bankroll is just a mouse-click away.¹¹*

This list of negative effects from online poker could go on. Sure, online poker will bring in revenue to use toward education and the general fund, as written up in this bill. However, like all forms of gaming, it comes with a price.

It is up to you whether that price is worth another form of gaming for North Dakota. Is there an outcry for online poker from North Dakotans? Does it align with their values and beliefs? I can tell you that the thousands of families and individuals across our state that Family Policy Alliance of North Dakota represents certainly don't think so.

For these reasons, Family Policy Alliance of North Dakota respectfully asks that you please vote House Bill 1389 out of committee with a “DO NOT PASS” recommendation. Thank you for the opportunity to testify and I will now be happy to stand for any questions.

¹ <https://www.nbcnewyork.com/news/local/poker-federal-court-ruling-skill-chance-gambling-new-york-judge/1955229/#:~:text=By%20Larry%20Neumeister%20%E2%80%A2%20Published,millions%20of%20dollars%20from%20gambling.>

² <https://casetext.com/case/united-states-v-dicristina>

³ <https://www.cardschat.com/poker-addiction.php>

⁴ <https://www.ocf.berkeley.edu/~brianz/theAddictionOfInternetPoker.html>

⁵ <https://www.pokerwebsites.com/faq/>

⁶ <https://upswingpoker.com/us-online-poker-sites-update/>

⁷ <https://www.legaluspokersites.com/state-laws/washington/#:~:text=Online%20poker%20is%20specifically%20prohibited,law%20and%20considered%20a%20felony.>

⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Online_poker

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹ Ibid.