I have attached Deloitte's analysis of the current version of HB 1233. As you can see, they maintain that the audit requirements within HB 1233 remain so broad that they will encompass parts or all of five different "typical" PBM audits. They provided information on what they believe is an accurate range of the market price for each of those types of audits. As with our initial fiscal note, we took the minimum from that range for each of the audits and added them together to get the \$375,000 figure. Since you did take out the audit requirements for our Part D providers, we eliminated the cost of auditing two additional providers. So the fiscal note went from \$1,125,000 to \$375,000.

You had also asked for information on the State's health plan premium spend, and the specific premium paid, for the past five bienniums. That information is below:

NDPERS State Health Plan Premiums

	Monthly State	Biennium Total	
<u>Biennium</u>	Premium	Premium	
2019-21*	\$1,426.74	\$495,238,575 * E	stimated
2017-19	\$1,240.82	\$429,581,811	
2015-17	\$1,130.22	\$411,419,294	
2013-15	5981.68	\$361,669,564	
2011-13	\$896.62	\$323,497,493	
2009-11	\$225,66	\$298,066,563	

You had also asked for some information on our deferred compensation and flex-comp programs, which is below.

Deferred Compensation Participating Employer

Count	
City	51
County	30
District Health Unit	15
Other Political Subdivisions	38
School District	31
State	98
	263

Note that only 227 of these employers have employees that are currently participating. Because of that, we only included the 227 count in our earlier information to you during the committee meeting last week.