

Testimony HB1423

Sam Wagner
Ag and Food Field Organizer
Dakota Resource Council
1720 Burnt Boat Dr. Ste 104
Bismarck ND 58503
Testimony in Opposition for HB1423

To the Honorable Chairman and the members of the Committee, we submit these remarks on behalf of the Dakota Resource Council. Dakota Resource Council is North Dakota's only family farm and conservation organization and we have more than 600 members statewide.

We oppose this bill for the following reasons.

1. This bill takes away local control.

HB1423 would take away the last real remaining vestige of township power in North Dakota. In a hearing held in Casselton North Dakota on October 23, 2022 the North Dakota Department of Air and Water Quality heads told us that North Dakota law is set up to ensure that local control will keep out the most egregious projects in our state, but that will not be the case if there is no local control allowed.

This law is trying to be a cookie cutter solution when there are parts of North Dakota that would require special protections that are not required elsewhere. For example: we probably don't want a CAFO being put a few miles outside of Medora or on the banks of Devils Lake. Sure, maybe private entities or a county rich enough to afford to defend their case will be able to fight back against a bad project, but almost everyone else is not going to be able to afford attorney fees if they lose.

2. No Accountability.

HB1423 further removes the ability for a township or county to hold a company responsible for their actions. The North Dakota way to do business is to have these discussions in a township or county board meeting and come to agreements that are fair to all parties. Asking for them to accept responsibility or charging a reasonable fee for the county to deal with these expenses is a reasonable compromise to the inevitable damage that an industry can cause. We have to ask why it is necessary to place the burden of cleanup and road expenses on the county and townships with no incentive for the livestock operation to act responsibly?

3. The Task Force is stacked against considering any environmental regulation

15 people will be on this task force as written only 1 person on this list has the environment at the focal point of their interest. Respectfully we understand that farmers care for the environment, but we also know that they're farmers first and environmentalists second. It's very possible that at least 5-7 people in this room

testifying are eligible to participate on this board and we know exactly how they would vote for these zoning requirements. There are thousands of non-farmers in small towns across North Dakota that will have to live with these setback regulations made by a small minority of well connected agricultural interests making zoning decisions for them without stopping to consider environmental or social impacts of their decisions. We would respectfully ask that a third party environmental group be given a seat at the table.

4. What does “validly” mean? Who determines what is valid?

This bill states that only valid complaints would be heard by the ag commissioner. We’re under the impression that a court is the body that determines if a complaint is valid or not. If a government body outside of the courts can decide what can and can’t be put in court, then what we would have is one branch of government overstepping its boundaries by acting as the judicial branch of government.

5. Takes away a township’s ability to function as a government entity.

If this law is passed, what is the point of having a township? This is definitely not “small government” in any way and the township would just be there to charge taxes on roads and rubber stamp development projects. At this point you might as well just get rid of them if you are going to gut them this badly.

We would like to conclude this testimony by saying this bill goes against local control and small government and we recommend a DO NOT PASS.