HB 1344

Rep. Ben Koppelman-Testimony

Madame Chairman and Members of the Committee.

Thank You for the opportunity to introduce HB1344 to you today.

I introduced this bill to protect the administrative rules process by ensuring that rules follow legislative intent as well as hold rulemaking to a standard of reasonable and necessary. This is safeguarded by allowing the Administrative Rules Committee the tools necessary to void a rule or portion of a rule if it is determined to be too burdensome.

In North Dakota, we have delegated a narrow portion of our policy making authority to various agencies, boards, and commissions within the executive branch.

However, with that delegation comes oversite by the legislative branch, as it should, through the Administrative Rules Committee. Since administrative rules have the "weight of law" once they are adopted, it is imperative that we can stand as a gatekeeper of the policy that we pass, rather than to have it undermined by de facto law through the rule-making process.

The Administrative rules committee is a standing statutory committee made up of legislators which meets quarterly or as often as is necessary.

Currently the Administrative Rules Committee can void rules if there is:

- An absence of statutory authority
- 2) An emergency relating to public health, safety, and welfare
- 3) A failure to comply with express legislative intent or to substantially meet the procedural requirements of this chapter for the adoption of the rule
- 4) A conflict with state law
- 5) Arbitrariness and Capriciousness
- 6) A failure to make a written record of its consideration of written and oral submissions respecting the rule under section 28-32-11.

Traditionally, I had always thought that Arbitrariness and Capriciousness was the "catch-all" for objections by the committee due to overregulation. However, there has been some disagreement as to whether those terms would truly be able to be used to overturn such regulation overreach. Here are the definitions that I could find for those terms.

According to USLegal.com, 'A rule is arbitrary if it is not supported by logic or necessary facts; a rule is capricious if it is adopted without thought or reason or is irrational'. Black's Law Dictionary defines Arbitrary: 'Willful and unreasoning action, without consideration and regard for facts or circumstances presented...bad faith or failure to exercise honest judgement' and defines Capricious: 'Subject to whim; impulsive and unpredictable and defined Arbitrary and Capricious: 'A willful and unreasonable action without consideration or in disregard of facts or law or without determining principle".

ThelawDictionary.org defines arbitrary as 'Not supported by fair, solid, or substantial cause, and without reason given'; it goes on to define capricious as 'Given to sudden and unaccountable changes of mood or behavior'.

One problem could be that rules may be arbitrary but not capricious. Another could be that the rules have been promulgated using one set of facts without weighing out the consequences of such regulations to figure out if the harm the rule may cause would outweigh the benefit. So, in order to figure out a solution, I worked with legislative council to come up with easily understandable terms that could be used by the Administrative Rules Committee for this purpose or safeguarding the public against overregulation. That is why I am seeking to add the following to the list:

- 1) An absence of necessity
- 2) An absence of reasonableness.

Many of you may have heard of the SCOTUS case North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v. Federal Trade Commission where the court emphasized the need for the legislative branch to exercise oversight over those it delegated its policy making authority to. In North Dakota, this is the Administrative Rules Committee. In order to properly oversee those activities, the committee needs these tools.

Since the constitutional authority to make policy is vested in the legislative branch of government, it is only reasonable that we safeguard our citizens against the temptation of overreach by the executive branch when executing the very power that we delegated to them. Madame Chairman and members of the committee, I request that you give this bill a Do-Pass recommendation. I would be happy to attempt to answer any questions that you may have.