

Good morning, Chairman Heinert and members of the House education committee, my name is Mike Lefor, and I serve District 37 -Dickinson in the House of Representatives, this morning I bring House Bill 1188 to you for your consideration.

HB 1188 is a bill being brought forward after the Career and Tech academies across the state and the state agency the North Dakota department of career and technical education have met and are requesting some adjustments to century code.

Under current law, career and tech academies are required to assess their operational costs based on school membership and not on actual utilization or ability to access center programs. Using the Southwest Career and Tech academy as an example, the Killdeer school district makes up about 10% of the high school participation of the academies partner schools and Dickinson Public Schools makes up at 71% of the total number of high school students.

At the present time, fees are assessed on the student population in each school and not on utilization. In a large geographic area as the SW Academy serves this provides an unrealistic cost to smaller school's miles away. This hinders the ability for the schools to participate and prices them out of participation.

This bill would allow the centers to still assess fees based on student population but gives the center board the ability to assess remaining costs based on utilization, geography or whatever the local board determines that best works for them.

The centers across the state a different in the areas they cover, the programs offered and facilities they operate. Under current law, it isn't cost effective for Beach, Bowman, Killdeer and others outside of 25 miles from Dickinson to pay the higher cost as their utilization is lower, this bill gives the local board the flexibility to assess fees based upon utilization.

The bill breaks down school districts into two categories, first, "participating" school districts which are assessed a membership fee based upon their high school enrollment as compared to the total high school enrollment of all participating

districts in the area and the new language on page 1, lines 13 and 14 states they "may assess fees based upon access and use of programs."

The second category is the non-participating school districts, can allocate quote "as nearly as possible" on line 17, based on utilization of these school districts. Further, on page 1 - lines 21 through 24 and page 2 lines 1 through 4 eliminates current statutory language pertaining to mobile units as no career and tech academy has a mobile unit and does not anticipate having mobile units so this language is not necessary.

Small schools which are miles away want to be member schools and have a seat on the CTE board, however the excessive cost of being assessed on their total high school enrollment makes it unreasonable. If we want to create access and drive enrollment at our CTE centers from all schools, local boards need to have the ability to assess their fees that make sense for their partners.

The 13 CTE Center expansion projects all look very different. Some serve a small geography of only 20-30 miles while others need to serve schools over 90 miles from the center. Some operate with only three schools, while others serve 10-15. This bill gives local control, which is crucial for that elected board, responsible to their constituents to make the decisions on what is best for their budgets and students.

In conclusion, this bill provides a path toward allowing more students to participate in career and technical education without having their school district having to pay a disproportionate amount to do so. I would ask your committee for a "do pass" recommendation. Thank you and I would stand for any questions the committee might have.