

- 1 Testimony in Opposition HB 1288
- 2 Chairman Heinert and Members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to provide
- 3 testimony in opposition to House Bill No. 1288. This bill presents significant concerns regarding
- 4 its fiscal impact, overreach of authority, and the undue burden it places on the State Board of
- 5 Public School Education (hereto after State Board).
- 6 First and foremost, the fiscal note associated with this bill is expected to be extraordinarily high.
- 7 The proposed requirement for the State Board and the Attorney General (hereto after AG) to
- 8 review and investigate school district compliance with state education laws would necessitate
- 9 substantial financial and personnel resources. This added responsibility would require significant
- staffing increases, legal consultations, and administrative support, all of which would create an
- unsustainable financial burden on the state.
- 12 Additionally, the provisions outlined in HB 1288 would impose duties on the State Board that
- are not within its powers under Century Code. The board's primary role is not to serve as an
- 14 enforcement or investigative entity. Tasking the board with reviewing compliance inquiries and
- 15 facilitating legal determinations fundamentally alters its intended function and oversteps its
- statutory authority. Furthermore, on the most basic level it ignores a most basic premise of
- 17 following the chain of command with grievances or complaints. When did it become so hard to
- talk to a teacher, a principal, a coach, or a superintendent? I can't even imagine the mess we'd be
- in if the AG must investigate every time a parent is upset that their kid didn't get enough play
- 20 time in the game, as an example.
- 21 It is also important to highlight the duplicative and excessive nature of this bill when compared
- 22 to SB 2104 which is also unworkable. HB 1288 goes even further in its demands, introducing a
- 23 layer of bureaucratic oversight that is not only unnecessary but also counterproductive. The AG's
- 24 office already has mechanisms in place to address legal compliance matters, and further
- 25 redundancy only serves to complicate existing processes.
- 26 Furthermore, this bill could lead to an influx of frivolous complaints, diverting valuable time and
- 27 resources away from critical educational priorities. Schools are already subject to numerous
- accountability measures, and additional oversight of this magnitude could hinder their ability to
- 29 focus on student learning and operational efficiency.
- 30 School districts have existing policies in place for all manner of concerns (curriculum, personnel
- 31 complaints, patron complaints, etc). Concerns are best addressed the closest to those concerns
- 32 and these policies already exist as recourse for concerns. HB1188 would circumvent these
- policies and pull the issue further away from the local entities hired/elected to address them.
- 34 HB 1288 represents an overreach in both fiscal and administrative capacities, imposing
- impractical and unsustainable expectations on the State Board. We urge the committee to oppose
- 36 this bill and instead focus on solutions that support our schools within their existing governance
- framework and their locally elected officials and highly trained professionals.