

1 Testimony in Support of HB 1475 - NDCEL

- 2 Chairperson and Members of the Committee, I stand before you today in **strong support of**
- 3 House Bill 1475, which seeks to provide healthy school meals for all students at no cost. This
- 4 legislation is **not just an investment in meals—it is an investment in student success.**
- 5 economic stability, and North Dakota's constitutional responsibility to provide a high-
- 6 quality education for all.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

7 I. The Impact of Hunger on Student Learning

- 8 Students cannot learn when they are hungry. Numerous studies confirm that food insecurity
- 9 negatively affects cognitive development, academic performance, and classroom behavior.
 - Academic Success: Research from the National Bureau of Economic Research and the American Psychological Association consistently shows that students who have access to consistent, nutritious meals score higher on standardized tests, have better attendance, and demonstrate improved focus and classroom behavior.
 - Chronic Absenteeism & Behavior Issues: Schools that provide free meals report a decrease in disciplinary actions and higher student engagement. Hunger leads to irritability, lack of concentration, and increased health issues, which directly impact student performance.
 - Long-Term Economic Benefits: Feeding students is not a short-term welfare measure—
 it is an economic investment. Studies indicate that for every dollar invested in school
 meal programs, the economy sees a return of up to \$2.50 in future earnings due to
 improved education outcomes and workforce readiness.
- 22 By ensuring every child in North Dakota has **consistent access to healthy meals**, we are
- 23 providing a fundamental tool for academic achievement and future workforce development.
- 24 II. Fiscal Responsibility: A Sound and Constitutional Investment
- 25 The proposed \$140 million investment in this bill is comparable to the cost associated with
- 26 Education Savings Accounts (ESA) proposals, yet it avoids the constitutional vulnerabilities
- 27 that ESA programs face.
- 28 A. The Constitutional Issues with ESA Programs
- 29 Recent legal decisions, including in **South Carolina**, **Kentucky**, and **Arizona**, have **struck**
- 30 down ESA programs due to constitutional conflicts related to public funding for private and
- 31 religious schools. North Dakota's Constitution (Article X, Section 18) explicitly prohibits
- 32 public funds from directly or indirectly supporting sectarian schools.



- ESA funds inevitably flow into private and religious institutions, making them legally vulnerable under North Dakota's strict constitutional provisions against public dollars funding private education.
 - ESA programs in other states have been blocked or significantly altered due to funding loopholes, lack of accountability, and concerns over misallocation of taxpayer dollars.
- 7 By contrast, HB 1475 is legally sound, aligns with North Dakota's constitutional duty to
- 8 fund public education, and directly benefits every student without legal risk.
- 9 B. Ensuring Public Funds Serve Public Interests
- The primary role of the state budget should be to support a high-quality public education system.
 - HB 1475 ensures that **state dollars directly enhance student success**, providing immediate benefits **without the risk of costly legal battles**.
- Rather than diverting public funds to private institutions, which creates inequities,
 this bill strengthens North Dakota's commitment to providing an equitable,
 effective, and constitutionally sound public education system.
- 17 III. Addressing Misinformation About "Universal Free Meals"
- Some may argue that **parents should be responsible for their child's meals**, but **our schools**already serve as critical nutrition providers for thousands of North Dakota families.
 - **More than 1 in 6 children** in North Dakota face **food insecurity**—meaning they regularly experience hunger due to financial hardship.
 - Many **working-class families** earn just above the threshold for free and reduced-price meals but still struggle to afford consistent, nutritious food.
 - Providing universal free meals removes the stigma for children receiving free lunches and eliminates administrative burdens on school districts, ensuring no child falls through the cracks.
 - This bill provides as much **support for a family's budget** as does ESA's in a way that we can all stand together and support and nobody is arguing, fighting, taking people to court, or damaging relationships in a time when we need to stand together for our kids.
- 30 This legislation allows all students, regardless of family income, to focus on learning rather
- 31 than hunger.

4

5 6

12 13

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

- 32 IV. Conclusion: Prioritizing Students Over Legal Battles
- House Bill 1475 offers a clear, effective, and constitutional approach to ensuring every child
- in North Dakota receives the nutrition they need to thrive academically.



- By passing this bill, the legislature chooses to invest in proven student success strategies
- 2 rather than risky, legally questionable ESA programs.
- 3 North Dakota has the **financial capacity** to do this, and **our children deserve nothing less.**
- 4 Let's make sure that every student in every school has the foundation they need to succeed.
- 5 I respectfully urge this committee to support House Bill 1475 and ensure that no child in
- 6 North Dakota goes hungry while trying to learn.
- 7 Thank you. I welcome any questions.