HB 1553 –House Education Committee – By: Michelle Wagner, RD, SNS, Child
 Nutrition Program Director Bismarck Public School District, Legislative Chair
 North Dakota School Nutrition Association

4 Chairman Heinert and Members of the Committee:

My name is Michelle Wagner, and I am the Child Nutrition Program Director for
Bismarck Public Schools and the Legislative Chair for the North Dakota School
Nutrition Association.

Last week, I had the opportunity to highlight the economic advantages of 8 implementing free meals for all students. Today, I want to address a couple of other 9 issues, first being a potential decrease in meal applications resulting in a reduction 10 of federal funding. Many schools link other benefits to these applications, such as 11 reduced fees for activities, ACT/SAT exams, dual credit courses, afterschool 12 childcare, and even parks and recreation programs. While the USDA does not allow 13 districts to require all parents to complete a meal application, schools have the 14 flexibility at the district level to require all parents to complete a benefit form. This 15 benefit form would follow the same federal eligibility guidelines as the meal 16 17 application. If parents want to continue receiving other benefits, some form will have to be completed annually helping to secure federal funding. 18

Next, I want to shift the focus on a more personal and pressing issue: that children are still going hungry during the school day. I'm going to share real examples with you of ND students being excluded from the school meal programs due to the financial burden it places on families.

1

Recently, I received an email from a Bismarck Public Schools social worker stating:
We have a family of three here, lunch accounts are at negative 100 dollars each. The
kids **did not eat** today because dad told them he can't afford it. The next day, the
students were brought to school late without having breakfast and were again told
by dad they **could not eat**. The social worker acted quickly to get these children the
help they needed, but no child should ever have to endure such a situation.

In another school district, which asked to remain anonymous, stated two siblings 7 came to school, one of the siblings had a bruise on her face. When asked what 8 happened, the student stated they got in trouble because of their negative lunch 9 balance and was told if your sister doesn't need to eat, neither do you. In this same 10 district a guardian wrote a letter stating that due to the negative lunch balance, her 11 student would only be allowed a lunch from home. The lunches this child was 12 provided from home included only Oreo cookies; no other food was included. 13 Although the school district involved in these two incidents has requested to remain 14 unnamed, I want to make you aware that the House Representative from this district 15 is present in this committee hearing. 16

Even though the Anti-Lunch Shaming Bill has been passed, it hasn't eliminated the issue of students going hungry during the school day. Child nutrition programs continue to feed students regardless of their balance, but these examples show that when parents are financially strained, and they don't want their child's negative lunch balance to grow, it is ultimately the child that is left hungry and unable to learn.

I urge you to think about these children—think about the child who has been abused,
the children denied food at the parent's directive and the parents not providing

adequate nutrition for their children during the school day. Ask yourself if the AntiLunch Shaming Bill has done enough for the children of North Dakota, and whether
the potential loss of some federal funding is worth the cost of not providing free
meals to all children. This concludes my testimony, and I will stand for any
questions.