

1 Testimony Opposing HB 1306

- 2 Chairman Schauer and Members of the Committee I appreciate the opportunity to testify in
- 3 opposition to House Bill 1306. While transparency in government is a fundamental right, it
- 4 must be balanced against the responsible use of taxpayer dollars and the prevention of system
- 5 abuse. The proposed changes in this bill undermine those protections and open the door for
- 6 wasteful spending and circumvention of existing safeguards.
- 7 North Dakota has long been a champion of open government, but the current fee structures were
- 8 implemented to prevent excessive and frivolous requests that strain public resources. The
- 9 introduction of a free annual request of up to 40 hours per requester (Section g) creates an
- unfunded mandate on state and local entities, shifting the burden onto taxpayers.
 - A single request consuming up to 40 hours of labor at no charge is an unreasonable demand on public entities that already face staffing and budget constraints.
 - Public employees will be required to divert significant time from their primary responsibilities to fulfill these requests without any cost recovery.
 - Smaller government entities, such as rural school districts or county offices, will bear the brunt of this mandate, potentially reducing their ability to provide other essential services.
- 17 Ultimately, public employees and taxpayers will be forced to subsidize the research efforts of a
- select few individuals at the expense of the broader public.
- 19 The bill fails to address the risk of coordinated abuse, which was a primary reason why previous
- 20 legislatures implemented fees for high-volume requesters. Under HB 1306, a loophole exists that
- 21 allows individuals to bypass existing protections against excessive records requests.
- 22 The current statute was amended to include fee protections in 2015 precisely to prevent the
- 23 kind of abuse that this bill would enable. This law would reverse that law that was enacted
- 24 at that time

11

12 13

14

15

16

- 25 These provisions were carefully crafted to maintain public access while ensuring taxpayer dollars
- are not wasted. Removing these safeguards reverses hard-won legislative progress and
- 27 reintroduces the very inefficiencies that prior legislatures sought to eliminate.
- 28 While public records access is a fundamental right, it must be exercised responsibly. HB 1306
- 29 opens the floodgates to excessive, taxpayer-funded requests, creates opportunities for
- 30 coordinated abuse, and places undue burdens on public employees. The existing law already
- provides a balanced, transparent approach that ensures access while protecting public resources.
- 32 I respectfully urge the committee to reject HB 1306 in its current form. Let's maintain
- 33 responsible governance and protect taxpayers from unnecessary financial and administrative
- 34 strain. Thank you for your time and consideration.