

My name is Charlene Nelson, from Casselton. I speak to you to day in support of HB1458.

I don't have any credentials in science, but I do have a degree in history, so my testimony is going to focus on how history should inform your vote on HB1458.

I'm guessing that until today many of you never heard of self-spreading vaccines. But until five years ago, how many of you had ever heard of gain of function? And look at the devastation that gain of function research has brought us.

Until 2020 no one ever imagined that their government would fund dangerous science experiments with the potential to harm virtually every human being. And yet they did. We can never forget that: Our government funded a science experiment that negatively impacted nearly every single aspect of human existence.

Don't you wish that someone from the future had come to you legislators in the 2019 session and said "By this time next year a dangerous virus and an even more dangerous medical response will be unleashed on the world. You need to do something now to protect the people of North Dakota"? How much better off would we have been if the 2019 legislature had passed something to protect citizens from the spread of a genetically manufactured virus and the even more dangerous medical response?

Because that is exactly where we are right now. Today, as we speak, our government is giving grants to corporations and laboratories to develop mutating self-spreading viruses and the technology needed to disperse these viruses on unsuspecting citizens.

I know it sounds like a crazy science fiction movie but the documents are there for you to read. Just like the documents for the gain of function studies were always there before 2019, we just didn't know we needed to look for them. Now that we've seen the documentation for self-spreading vaccines, we can't ignore what is being planned.

Going back to our hypothetical 2019 session, if had you acted on the warnings from this visitor from the future, who do you think would have opposed your legislation?

First would probably be those that couldn't imagine a bio-engineered pathogen being unleashed on people. They'd say you're nuts, why legislate against some phantom threat? My response would be: Why not just be safe? If no one develops gain of function viruses, we haven't hurt anyone. And if they do, then we're ready.

Then of course big industries like Pfizer and Moderna would have opposed your legislation. They wouldn't want to lose all those billions in profits. Would you really listen to those who would put profit over people's lives? I'm sure there will be industries that will oppose this bill today, those who prefer quick and easy profits over the well-being of their neighbors. Are you going to listen to them?

And then there will be the bureaucracies. Bureaucracies thrive on a crisis that brings in more funding and the power that goes with it. Managing problems is often prioritized over solving problems. Would you go ahead and feed the bureaucratic powers?

Because the threat is real. We don't know how imminent it is, but it is very real. DARPA, the very organization who brought us the genetically engineered SARS-CoV-2 virus, is also backing these new self-spreading, mutating vaccines. And the people who brought us the disastrous mRNA vaccines want

to take it a step further. The National Institute of Health says they are developing these technologies to (quote) “circumvent behavioral barriers”.

In other words, they intend to vaccinate people whether they want it or not. It’s like a universal date rape drug, a technology whose principle purpose is to take away our ability to say no.

So, how safe are these “inhalable therapies”? What could possibly go wrong with a man-made virus designed to self-replicate in your cells and to transmit without anyone’s knowledge or consent? According to the grants, these vaccines are designed to mutate and adapt to any other viruses it may encounter. Where does that mutation stop? What will be the end result of this technology? Your guess is as good as mine. But based on their track record of the past five years, I’d prefer not to find out.

So there you have my history-based argument on why we need to pass HB1458. You’ve heard the adage "If we don't learn from the past, we are doomed to repeat it." I don’t think I’m alone when I say we don’t want a repeat of the COVID disaster.

I urge you to vote Do Pass to protect North Dakotans from this dangerous new vaccine technology. Please don’t wait until it’s been unleashed on the public. Put in the protections we need right now, while we still have time to do something about it.

NIH’s plans: <https://reporter.nih.gov/project-details/10274188#description>

DARPA’s plans: <https://www.darpa.mil/research/programs/preventing-emerging-pathogenic-threats>

A lead scientist in the program discusses its importance:

<https://www.biocentury.com/article/647185/warp-speed-isn-t-fast-enough-the-need-for-variant-proof-therapeutics>

A synthesis of some of the documentation: <https://icandecide.org/press-release/ican-uncovers-a-potential-next-level-threat-inhalable-self-spreading-vaccines-that-spread-like-a-virus/>