- North Dakota School Study Council Testimony in Opposition to HB 1540 -
- **2 Education Saving Accounts**
- 3 Chairman Beard and Members of the Senate Education Committee,
- Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on HB 1540. My name
- is Paul Stremick and I represent the North Dakota School Study Council. I
- am here today to express my strong opposition to HB 1540, which
- 7 proposes the establishment of Education Savings Accounts (ESA). While
- 8 the concept of school choice may appear beneficial on the surface, this bill
- 9 presents significant concerns regarding financial accountability, fairness,
- and the fundamental principles of North Dakota's education system.
- First and foremost, HB 1540 lacks any substantial accountability measures
- for the \$36 million (which is expected to double in the next biennium) in
- public funds that would be diverted to private education. As responsible
- stewards of taxpayer dollars, we must ensure that public funds are used
- effectively, transparently, and with rigorous oversight. This proposal does
- not align with North Dakota's conservative values of fiscal responsibility
- and accountability. Public schools operate under strict regulations to ensure
- that funds are spent wisely and in the best interest of students. ESA funds,
- 19 however, would be distributed without the same level of scrutiny, leading to
- 20 potential misuse and inefficiencies.
- 21 Furthermore, HB 1540 creates unfair competition for public schools. While
- private and alternative educational institutions would benefit from these
- funds, they are not held to the same standards as public schools. Public
- 24 schools must comply with state laws, educational benchmarks, special
- education mandates, and standardized assessments to ensure quality
- education for all students. In contrast, private schools and other
- educational entities benefiting from ESA funds would not be required to
- follow these same rules, creating an uneven playing field that
- 29 disadvantages public education while using public money to support
- institutions that may selectively admit students. During my time in private
- education, I questioned the superintendent about why our school
- performed slightly better than our public school counterparts, despite
- having similar student populations. His response was clear: parents who
- actively choose to send their children to private schools tend to be more

35	involved in their child's education. This level of engagement contributes
36	significantly to student success.

- Another significant issue is that several school districts in North Dakota 37 already receive no state funding through the current funding formula, yet 38 they must still adhere to all state education laws and mandates. HB 1540 39 exacerbates this inequity by redirecting additional state resources to private 40 education while failing to address the disparities in funding for public 41 schools. If the state mandates compliance with educational regulations, it 42 must also ensure that adequate funding is provided to all public districts, 43 rather than diverting essential resources to unregulated private entities. 44
- Public schools are the foundation of our communities, serving all children regardless of background, ability, or socioeconomic status. HB 1540 risks undermining this critical institution by prioritizing unaccountable private education funding over the needs of our public school system. North Dakota students deserve a well-funded, transparent, and equitable education system that supports all learners, not just those who can access alternative schooling options.
- I urge you to reject HB 1540 and instead focus on strengthening our public education system to ensure accountability, fairness, and responsible stewardship of taxpayer dollars. Thank you for your time and consideration. I am happy to answer any questions or provide further information as needed.

57 faul II

58

Dr. Paul Stremick