

North Dakota Small Organized Schools

Mr. Michael Heilman Executive Director 3144 Hampton Street Bismarck, ND 58504 mheilmanndsos@gmail.com 701-527-4621

2

Mr. Brandt Dick President 1929 N. Washington Steet. Ste.A Bismarck, ND 58501 Brandt.Dick@k12.nd.us 701-415-0441 Mr. Steven Heim Vice-President PO Box 256 Drake, ND 58736 Steve.heim@k12.nd.us 701-465-3732

1 Testimony in Opposition to SB2104 – Michael Heilman, North Dakota Small Organized Schools

Chairman Beard and Members of the Senate Education Committee:

- 3 I want to begin by emphasizing that neither I nor the organization I represent oppose compliance with
- 4 state law. While we may not always agree with every law, rule, or regulation, we understand our
- 5 obligation to comply and strive to honor the intent of all statutes governing education.
- 6 Our opposition to SB2104 centers on the proposed processes and procedures outlined in the bill, as well
- 7 as the penalties for non-compliance.
- 8 Currently, when schools submit reports to the Department of Public Instruction (DPI), any compliance
- 9 issues are typically addressed through a collaborative process. DPI notifies the school in writing and
- 10 works to resolve the issue. Similarly, when parents have concerns—whether about instruction,
- curriculum, disciplinary measures, bullying, or other matters—these concerns are usually resolved at the
- lowest level possible. That process may involve a teacher, coach, building administrator, principal,
- 13 superintendent, or school board. Most complaints or concerns are addressed well before they escalate
- to the district level or reach the school board.
- 15 Even small schools manage numerous complaints weekly. SB2104 could drastically increase the volume
- of complaints filed directly with DPI, creating a significant burden. Without a fiscal note to provide
- additional staffing, it is unclear how DPI would manage this influx. A more effective approach is to
- 18 maintain the existing processes that allow complainants to follow district-established procedures to
- 19 resolve concerns at the local level by the officials hired and elected to address them.
- 20 The proposed penalty of a 2% reduction in state aid payments could have devastating consequences for
- 21 districts. For example, a district like Bismarck could face a funding reduction of nearly \$3 million, which
- is equivalent to dozens of teaching positions. Since school budgets are typically 75-80% allocated to
- salaries, such a penalty would almost certainly lead to staff reductions. While we hope most complaints
- 24 would be resolved before such penalties are imposed, the potential for financial harm to schools is
- 25 alarming.
- 26 Moreover, schools may face circumstances beyond their control that make compliance challenging. For
- instance, a school losing a counselor mid-year might struggle to find a qualified replacement. Penalizing
- 28 schools for situations they cannot resolve would be both unreasonable and harmful.
- 29 As a former school administrator, I have handled numerous complaints and compliance issues each
- 30 year. These issues, whether initiated by stakeholders or brought to my attention by DPI, were resolved
- 31 without the need for penalties or state government intervention. Schools already have processes in

Board of Directors Region 2

Region 1

Mr. Tim Holte, Supt. Stanley Mr. Kris Kuehn, Supt. Ray

Dr. Kelly Peters, Supt. Lakota Mr. Steven Heim, Anamoose & Drake Region 5

Mr. Rick Diegel, Supt. Kidder Co. Mr. Brandt Dick, Supt. Burleigh County

Region 3

Dr. Frank Schill, Supt. Edmore Mr. David Wheeler, Supt. Manvel

Region 4

Mr. Brian Christopherson, Supt. New Salem Mr. Russ Ziegler, Supt. Elgin-New Leipzig

Region 6

Mr. Mitch Carlson, Supt. LaMoure Dr. Steven Johnson, Supt. Ft. Ranson

- place to address complaints effectively. Allowing due process to occur at the local level is a better path forward than imposing state-level penalties.
- In summary, while we remain committed to compliance with state laws, we believe SB2104 introduces processes and penalties that could create undue burdens on schools and DPI alike. We urge you to
- 36 continue to support local resolution mechanisms rather than adopting this bill's provisions.
- 37 I will stand for questions.
- 38 Mr. Michael Heilman Executive Director
- 39 North Dakota Small Organized Schools
- 40 mheilmanndsos@gmail.com
- 41 701.527.4621

42