

## 1 Testimony in Opposition to Senate Bill No. 2303

- 2 Chairperson and Members of the Committee Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I'm
- 3 here representing NDCEL and your school administrators and I strongly oppose SB 2303 due to
- 4 its detrimental impact on public education, its unconstitutional redirection of public funds, and
- 5 its lack of oversight and accountability.

### **6 Unconstitutional Use of Public Funds**

- 7 This bill violates the North Dakota Constitution, which explicitly prohibits public funds from
- 8 being appropriated to private or sectarian schools (Article VIII, Sections 1 and 5). The creation
- 9 of education savings accounts (ESAs) diverts taxpayer dollars from public schools to private
- institutions, effectively undermining the state's obligation to provide free and equitable public
- education. Public funds should be invested in strengthening our public schools, not subsidizing
- private choices that operate outside of state oversight. The fiscal note diverts almost \$250M not
- just from public schools but from every sector funded by the state. This comes with a cost to
- continue in future years which poses additional fiscal concerns for the future.

# 15 Diverting Public Funds Without Accountability

- Public schools are held to rigorous accountability measures, including open records laws,
- standardized testing, and non-discrimination policies—requirements that private schools and
- education service providers benefiting from ESAs do not have to meet. This bill allows taxpayer
- money to flow to private entities without ensuring they meet the same academic, financial, or
- 20 ethical standards as public schools. The absence of clear oversight creates significant risks of
- 21 fraud, mismanagement, and inequitable educational outcomes.

# 22 Negative Impact on Public School Funding

- 23 SB 2303 reduces state aid to public schools by siphoning funds to education savings accounts.
- 24 As public schools lose funding, they face budget cuts that harm students, teachers, and programs.
- 25 The funding formula outlined in the bill means that local school districts will receive less per-
- 26 pupil funding, exacerbating disparities between well-funded and underfunded districts. At a time
- 27 when public schools are already facing financial pressures, this bill further weakens their ability
- 28 to provide quality education for all students.

### 29 Lack of Protections for Students and Families



- Private schools and education service providers participating in the ESA program are not
- 2 required to adhere to the same academic and civil rights protections as public schools. This
- 3 means they can deny admission based on academic ability, special education needs, or other
- 4 criteria, potentially leaving vulnerable students without adequate educational options.
- 5 Additionally, the bill does not provide sufficient safeguards to ensure that funds are spent
- 6 appropriately, increasing the risk of waste and abuse.

## 7 Undermining Rural Schools

- 8 The bill disproportionately harms rural school districts, where private school options are limited
- 9 or nonexistent. Because public schools in these areas rely heavily on state funding, any reduction
- in per-student funding can be devastating. Students in rural communities deserve well-funded
- schools with robust educational opportunities, not policies that divert resources away from them.

## 12 Significant Cost to Taxpayers

- 13 The proposed ESA program comes with a substantial financial burden, shifting public dollars to
- private entities with little return on investment. Rather than addressing issues within the public
- school system, this bill creates an expensive and inefficient program that weakens public
- education while failing to provide meaningful oversight of taxpayer dollars. I'm guessing if we
- polled North Dakota citizens, they'd prefer \$250M in property tax relief over funding for
- education outside of public schools. In fact recent polling shows that to be true with 70% of
- 19 those polled.

### 20 **Conclusion**

- Public education is a fundamental public good that must be preserved and strengthened. I
- strongly urge the committee to reject this bill and instead focus on policies that enhance, rather
- 23 than dismantle, our public education system.
- 24 Thank you for your time and consideration. I welcome any questions the committee may have.