



North Dakota Association for Justice
PO Box 365
Mandan, ND 58554
The.Trial.Lawyers.of.North.Dakota

Jaclyn Hall, Executive Director
jaclyn@ndaj.org

Madam Chair Larson and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, my name is Jaci Hall, Executive Director of the North Dakota Association for Justice. I am here in support of SB2290.

Exemplary damages, also known as punitive damages, are financial compensation awarded to a plaintiff not just to compensate for their actual losses, but to punish the defendant for particularly harmful or egregious behavior and to deter others from engaging in similar actions. These damages go beyond the typical compensatory damages that cover direct harm, such as medical bills or lost wages.

Why should exemplary damages be awarded?

1. **Punishment:** They serve as a punishment to the defendant for outrageous, malicious, or grossly negligent behavior. For example, if someone intentionally caused harm or acted with extreme recklessness, exemplary damages may be seen as appropriate to show that such behavior is unacceptable.
2. **Deterrence:** The idea is to discourage the defendant and others from engaging in similar conduct in the future. By making an example of the defendant, it sends a message that wrongful acts will be penalized harshly, especially when the harm caused was severe.
3. **Justice for Victims:** In cases where compensatory damages don't fully reflect the severity of the defendant's actions, exemplary damages offer additional justice to the victim. They may help balance the scales in situations where the harm caused goes far beyond what could be captured by the actual damages alone.
4. **Public Policy:** Awarding exemplary damages can promote societal well-being by reinforcing legal norms and ethical behavior. When companies or individuals see that there are serious consequences for extreme misconduct, they may act more responsibly.



North Dakota Association for Justice
PO Box 365
Mandan, ND 58554
The.Trial.Lawyers.of.North.Dakota

Jaclyn Hall, Executive Director
jaclyn@ndaj.org

SB2290 will amend NDCC32-03.2-01 and align the definition of malice to the same definition a jury will get in their jury instructions. This will provide continuity with the law and the instructions in a trial.

In section 2, the legislation will amend 32-03.2-11, this is the statute when exemplary damages are awarded. The current statute will not give the jury the ability to award exemplary damages unless a DUI charge of the individual is the second charge in five years.

In most cases, the first DUI charge is usually pled down to a misdemeanor, so this provision could essentially require the third DUI in five years. As a mother, wife and provider of my family I strongly urge the committee to remove this provision. If the blood alcohol level or the level of drugs in an individual's system is high enough to impair their driving and cause an accident, it should not matter how many charges they have received. The jury should be able to decide if the amount is outrageous, malicious or grossly negligent. If they agree yes, they can decide whether to award exemplary damages.

Exemplary damages can increase an award, but when the offense falls inline with the requirements of exemplary damages, victims should be able to receive just and honest compensation from a jury of their peers. In North Dakota, a judge can reduce both the damages or the level of exemplary damages if they believe the award is too high. So, there is a checks and balance system within the judicial system to ensure a proper awards is given.

In closing, exemplary damages are typically awarded only in cases of severe misconduct. Courts usually reserve them for cases where the defendant's actions were not just negligent but grossly so, or where there is evidence of malice, fraud, or intentional harm. We ask the committee to support a Do Pass on SB 2290.

Thank you,