1999 HOUSE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR

HB 1088

### 1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1088

House Industry, Business, and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 11, 1999

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #			
1	X		16-34.7			
		1				
Committee Clerk Signature						

#### Minutes:

CHAIRMAN BERG OPENED THE HEARING ON HB 1088; A BILL RELATING TO THE ELECTRICIAN UNDERTAKING FUND.

DON OFFERDAHL, Executive Director of the North Dakota Electrical Board introduced HB 1088. (See attached testimony).

REP. KLEIN questioned the Class B licenses? How many are there and how many new ones are coming in?

OFFERDAHL said that they had tried to eliminate Class B licenses because of the increased knowledge needed to do the electrical work on the farmstead. This was defeated. There are currently 40 contracting Class B licensees in North Dakota. It is being phased out.

REP. KLEIN further asked about the number of licensed masters and journeymen?

OFFERDAHL said that there are about 600 licensed contracting masters, and 1,400 journeymen.

REP. KOPPELMAN asked if this occurs very often - where electrical contractors do not complete the work.

OFFERDAHL said that over three years, \$8,100 has been spent on those electricians who walked away from their work or refused to do their corrections.

REP. KLEIN continued to question any penalties or corrective action incurred by this.

OFFERDAHL replied that the board does have additional fees for this.

CHAIRMAN BERG questioned the bond for \$50 per master, \$40 per Class B - does that need to be submitted prior to each contract they have.

OFFERDAHL replied that is an overall fee - before they get into the business of contracting at all. It is a one time fee. He confirmed Chairman Berg's concerns about if it would be charged again if money needed to be raised. The board would have to create new legislation to do that. There is no authority to go back and increase those fees.

GREG HOLZER, President of Edling Electric appeared in support of HB 1088 for the Dakota Chapter of the National Electrical Contractors Association. He stated that they support the bill and are willing to make the contribution to protect the consumer in the event of default.

AL WOLF testified on HB 1088. He noted the question of what was there before 1983? How did this fund come about in the order that it did? Before that, there was a law that required a surety bond to be purchased that cost \$75, and is up to \$300 now. Even though no one ever had any claim against them, they paid the premium. The inspectors had to make the corrections.

This fund helped pay for problems. Why the increase is a good question? In the last four years there were several problems? He gave an example of a contractor walking off the job.

REP. FROSETH noted that this fund is not intended to replace the bonding process.

Page 3 House Industry, Business, and Labor Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1088 Hearing Date January 11, 1999

WOLF said that it was not to displace the bonds. There are two other things, the performance bond to the contractor, the architect - that's still required. Secondly, the flat building insurance is still required.

REP. KEISER questioned the increase.

WOLF said that they are increasing from \$30,000 to \$50,000.

RENEE PFENNING, North Dakota Electrical Workers Council. They are in support of the bill.

CHAIRMAN BERG CLOSED THE HEARING ON HB 1088.

January 12, 1999

COMMITTEE ACTION

REP. KLEIN moved a DO PASS on HB 1088. REP. BREKKE seconded the motion. The motion carried.

ROLL CALL - 14 YEA, 0 NAE, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING.

FLOOR ASSIGNMENT - REP. KLEIN

		FIS	CAL NOTE			62723	REOFILE
(Return original ar	nd 10 copies)					792	E ELEGIBLES
Bill/Resolution No.	.: <u>H</u> E	1088	Amen	dment to: _		100	BOJAO "ILAL
Requested by Leg	gislative Council		Date	of Request:	12-29	9-98	30591817137
Please estima funds, countie	te the fiscal impa s, cities, and sch		nounts) of the	e above me	asure for st	ate gene	ral or specia
Narrative:							
	funds will taking Fund						
2. State fiscal ef						04 00 D	
	1997-99 Bie General Fund	nnium Special Funds	1999-2001 General Fund	Biennium Special Funds	l Gen		Special Funds
Revenues:	None	None	None	\$5000.	. Noi	ne	\$5000.
Expenditures:	None	None	None	\$5200.	. Noi	ne	\$5200.
3. What, if any, i	is the effect of thi f 1997-99 bienniu		the appropri	ation for yo	ur agency o	r departn	nent:
	999-2001 bienniu		generate	added :	funds to	be us	ed in
	001-03 biennium:	Unde	rtaking F as above	und acco	ount.		
4. County, City	, and School Dis	strict fiscal eff	ect in dollar a	amounts:	200	4 02 Diam	
1997-99	Biennium School	1999	-2001 Bienniı	ım School	200	1-03 Bien	School
Counties Ci	ties Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts
None N	one None	None	None	None	None	None	None
If additional space			Signed Nam	Janel Dai	Aylar nald Off	JJ erdahl	
			Typed Nan	ne DO.	naid OIL	cruant	

Department <u>ND State Electrical Board</u>

Date Prepared: 1-7-99

Date: _	1-12	-99
Roll Call	Vote #:	1

### 1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. $\underline{/\mathcal{O} \ 8 \ \%}$

House Industry, Business and Lab	or			Com	mittee
Subcommittee on or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				
Action Taken do pa	35				
Motion Made By  Klein	,	Se By	conded Brekke		
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chair - Berg	/		Rep. Thorpe		
Vice Chair - Kempenich					
Rep. Brekke					
Rep. Eckstrom					9
Rep. Froseth					
Rep. Glassheim					
Rep. Johnson					
Rep. Keiser					
Rep. Klein					
Rep. Koppang					
Rep. Lemieux					
Rep. Martinson					
Rep. Severson		3			
Rep. Stefonowicz		4			
Total (Yes) /4/ Absent		No	0		
Floor Assignment //ein  If the vote is on an amendment, briefly			··		
m the vote is on an amendment, onem	y muncai	C IIICII	t.		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 15, 1999 9:27 a.m.

Module No: HR-09-0665 Carrier: Klein Insert LC: Title:

### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1088: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Rep. Berg, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1088 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

1999 SENATE INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR

HB 1088

### 1999 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1088

Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 8, 1999

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #				
No Tape	14	Side B	IVICIEI #				
	0						
Committee Clerk Signature							
Minutes:							

Senator Mutch opened the hearing on HB1088. All senators were present.

Don Offerdahl testified in support of HB1088. His testimony is included. Senator Heitkamp asked him what the recourse is for the guy who takes the job and doesn't finish it. Mr. Offerdahl said that it would depend on what the job entailed. They would pay \$25 now and \$50 later if he finishes the job and does so correctly. If he does not finish the job he would be subjected to fees of up to \$5000. Senator Mathern asked Mr. Offerdahl if they run into many situations where someone leaves and the fund must be used. His reply was that in the last 3 years the fund has been used 6 times totaling \$8,000. Senator Krebsbach asked him why they wanted to go from \$15 to \$40 when they are already ahead on money and they just doubled the others. Mr. Offerdahl said that they were trying to balance it out.( The difference in the number of class b and class a electricians.)

Page 2 Senate Industry, Business and Labor Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb1088 Hearing Date February 8, 1999

Al Jorde, State Electric Board, testified in support of HB1088. His testimony is included.

Ken Tupa, National Electrical Contractors of North Dakota, testified in support of HB1088.

Renee Phenning, North Dakota Electric Workers Counsel, testified in support of HB1088.

Senator Mutch closed the hearing on HB1088.

Senator Sand motioned for a do pass committee recommendation. Senator Mathern seconded his motion. The motion for a do pass on HB1088 carried with a 7-0-0 vote.

Senator Mathern will carry the bill.

Date:  $\frac{3}{8}$ 

## 1999 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. ~~ HB ~ $\sim$

Senate INDUSTRY, BUSINESS AND LABOR COMMITTEE					Committee	
Subcommittee on						
Or Conference Committee						
Legislative Council Amendment Num	nber _					
Action Taken Do Pass	>					
Motion Made By		Sec By	conded ATNEL	V		
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No	
Senator Mutch .	X					
Senator Sand	X					
Senator Klein	X					
Senator Krebsbach	X					
Senator Heitkamp	X					
Senator Mathern	X					
Senator Thompson	X					
Total (Yes)		No	$\bigcirc$			
Absent						
Floor Assignment MATHER	N			,		
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indica	ate inten	t:			

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 8, 1999 2:02 p.m.

Module No: SR-25-2192 Carrier: D. Mathern Insert LC: Title: .

### REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1088: Industry, Business and Labor Committee (Sen. Mutch, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1088 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

1999 TESTIMONY

HB 1088

### NORTH DAKOTA HOUSE BILL 1088

Chairman Berg, Vice Chairman Kempenich, and members of the Committee.

My name is Don Offerdahl. I am the Executive Director for the North Dakota State Electrical Board. I come before you today with House Bill 1088. This bill covers the Undertaking Fund for Master and Class B electricians in North Dakota.

This section covering the Undertaking Fund became law in the early 1980's. At that time a Master electrician deposited \$25.00 and a Class B electrician deposited \$15.00 into this fund in lieu of a surety bond for the faithful performance of all electrical work undertaken by him. When the fund reached \$30,000 deposits were waived for Master and Class B electricians renewing their license.

In the event that the Master or Class B electricians left the jobs unfinished and refused to correct code violations on their work, this fund would be used to hire another electrical contractor to correct those pertinent safety hazards left behind by that electrician up to an amount of \$3,000 for a Master electrician and \$2,000 for a Class B electrician.

The North Dakota State Electrical Board is asking to increase that Undertaking sum from \$3,000 to \$5,000 for a Master electrician and from \$2,000 to \$4,000 for a Class B electrician due to the increased costs of material and labor in the last 16 years. There has been some instances of jobs that the fund has not covered expenses to correct all pertinent safety hazards.

The bill states when the funds reach \$50,000 the same language of waiving the deposit for each year to renew their Class B and Master license still stands.

I am happy to inform you today that this fund has reached over the sum of \$50,000 and there will be no increased cost to the current licensed Master and Class B electricians, only new





### NORTH DAKOTA ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSN. HCO 2 BOX 11 WATFORD CITY, ND 58854 DECEMBER 28,1998

Donald Offerdahl Executive Director North Dakota State Electrical Board PO Box 857 Bismarck, ND 58502

Dear Don;

The North Dakota Electrical Contractors Association, Inc. at their board meeting on the 12th of December, 1998 voted to support your proposed legislation to amend and reenact Section 43-09-14 of the North Dakota Century Code relating to the Undertaking Fund for Masters and Class B Electricians.

If we can be of any help with this Legislation please let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Roos

**Executive Director** 

# TESTIMONY BY ALBERT AL WOLF SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL BEFORE HOUSE INDUSTRY BUSINESS AND LABOR COMMITTEE

### HB 1088

Chairman Berg and members of the Committee.

HB 1088 is an update of legislation that took place in 1983. Before 1983, all Master and Class B electricians in North Dakota were required to purchase a surety bond at the approximate cost of \$75.00 a year and deposit the bond with the State Electrical Board in the sum of \$3,000 for a Master electrician and \$2,000 for a Class B electrician conditioned on the faithful performance of the electrical work undertaken.

The 1983 legislation, SB 2190, repealed the requirement for a surety bond and required in its place an "Undertaking" in the same amount for the same purpose. In addition, a deposit was required to be made with the State Electrical Board in the amount of \$25.00 by a Master electrician and \$15.00 by a Class B electrician in lieu of the surety bond. The deposit of this payment would be kept in a special fund to be used for the completion of installations abandoned by electricians and was not to exceed the \$3,000 and \$2,000 amounts for each electrician.

The provision also required that the State Electrical Board waive the deposit for a renewal of license by electricians who have made an initial deposit under this section if, at the beginning of the renewal year, the Fund exceeds \$30,000.

Incidently, the statute continued to require liability insurance in order to contract for installation of electrical wiring and installation of electrical equipment, which was for the protection of any persons who may be injured or damaged by virtue of defective electrical work. That requirement is unrelated to the Undertaking which is involved in HB 1088, and provides some funds to correct primarily hazardous conditions left by an electrician where he has abandoned a job and corrections need to be made very quickly for the benefit and safety of the property owner whether it be a household or a business location.

This amount of the Undertaking does not limit the liability of the electrician to those amounts, however, but the property owner who has been required to expend additional funds beyond what the Fund would provide to make corrections is entitled to recovery in a separate action between the property owner and the electrician. However, the initial repair work that an electrical inspector deems necessary for the safety and utilization of the property is intended to be repaired by the Fund maintained

by the State Electrical Board. The Undertaking then requires electricians to reimburse the Fund to the extent that funds were expended to make the corrections of that electrician's work.

Don Offerdahl, Executive Director of the ND State Electrical Board, will have addressed the need for increasing these amounts as they have not been increased since they were established in 1983. The Board has experienced several occasions where the Fund was exhausted with one or several projects underway at the same time which required emergency repair work in a timely manner at the direction of the State Electrical Board usually by other electrical contractors who are called in to correct and complete the project.

The Fund has served the needs of the electricians well, while it also served the needs of the public well. It provides a source of payment in a very timely manner for the corrections to be made by another electrician. It also provides a procedure for recouping from the defaulting electrician the amounts advanced from the Fund to make the corrections or complete the projects.

Examples can be given to demonstrate exactly how this works but in the 16 years since it was established, it has worked well and all related parties have been well served by this procedure at a much lower cost. Prior to 1983 everyone connected with this business lamented the fact that the contractors were paying annual premiums for coverage which 95% or more of them never used.

The present procedure allows accumulation of funds to deal with those emergency situations while maintaining public liability insurance in place for other liabilities of the contractor, and the property owners are still able to pursue the contractor for additional costs to correct a project.

HB 1088 merely increases the amounts established in 1983 to meet the present needs and the increased costs of making these corrections while preserving a system that has worked well.

### NORTH DAKOTA HOUSE BILL 1088

Chairman Mutch and members of the Committee.

My name is Don Offerdahl. I am the Executive Director for the North Dakota State Electrical Board. I come before you today with House Bill 1088. This bill covers the Undertaking Fund for Master and Class B electricians in North Dakota.

This section covering the Undertaking Fund became law in the early 1980's. At that time a Master electrician deposited \$25.00 and a Class B electrician deposited \$15.00 into this fund in lieu of a surety bond for the faithful performance of all electrical work undertaken by him. When the fund reached \$30,000 deposits were waived for Master and Class B electricians renewing their license.

In the event that the Master or Class B electricians left the jobs unfinished and refused to correct code violations on their work, this fund would be used to hire another electrical contractor to correct those pertinent safety hazards left behind by that electrician up to an amount of \$3,000 for a Master electrician and \$2,000 for a Class B electrician.

The North Dakota State Electrical Board is asking to increase that Undertaking sum from \$3,000 to \$5,000 for a Master electrician and from \$2,000 to \$4,000 for a Class B electrician due to the increased costs of material and labor in the last 16 years. There has been some instances of jobs that the fund has not covered expenses to correct all pertinent safety hazards.

The bill states when the funds reach \$50,000 the same language of waiving the deposit for each year to renew their Class B and Master license still stands.

I am happy to inform you today that this fund has reached over the sum of \$50,000 and there will be no increased cost to the current licensed Master and Class B electricians, only new

electrical contractors will be submitting an additional \$25.00 into this fund if this bill became law.

I am also submitting a letter from the North Dakota Electrical Contractors Association supporting this bill.

I thank you for allowing me to present this information. If there is any questions I will be happy to answer them now. If questions arise, please don't hesitate to contact us.