1999 HOUSE EDUCATION HB 1254

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1254

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1-25-99

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #				
#1	X		39.1 to end				
#1		X	0.9 to 5.6				
Committee Clerk Signature							

Minutes:

Those present Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair Drovdal, Rep Brandenburg, Rep Brusegaard,

Rep. Haas, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Nelson, Rep. Nottestad, Rep. L. Thoreson, Rep. Grumbo,

Rep. Hanson , Rep. Lundgren , Rep. Mueller , Rep. Nowatzki , Rep. Solberg .

<u>Chairman R. Kelsch</u>: Open the hearing on HB 1254 and ask the clerk to read the title.

Rep Hoffner: Cosponsor of bill HB 1254. HB 1254 represents a 60 per cent funding level. We are just above the 40 per cent now.

<u>Rep. Nelson</u>: Looking at the fiscal note, it is over 200.5 million dollars. How do you suggest we pay for this?

<u>Hoffner:</u> The floor leader would sign on, on tax bills and the bill would pass, I don't know why that wouldn't work now.

<u>Rep. Nelson</u>: You feel that there is fluff in the current budget?

Hearing Date 1-25-99

<u>Hoffner:</u> As we move through the session, we feel that we need to do more in this area, that we could move in that direction.

Rep Brusegaard: In raising taxes, do you feel we have the public support?

<u>Hoffner:</u> In a state wide survey, property taxes are too high and the funding for schools is too low.

Rep. L. Thoreson: What king of taxes are you talking about?

Hoffner: I can't say, but the two most likely sources would be income and sale tax.

Rep. Nowatzki: As cosponsor of HB 1254, I think it is important for this assembly to discuss the whole area of state support for elementary and secondary education and how we are going to pay for it.

Rep Brusegaard: Do you know how much increase in the state would take to make up the 200 million.

Rep. Nottestad: No, I don't have the per cent age in front of me.

Rep. Mueller: There is a study going on now that is a 3 per cent increase which would be 81 million per year.

Rep. Nottestad: I'm not saying we should take it all from the income tax.

Ron Torgeson: From the North Dakota Council of Education Leaders. Appeared in favor of HB 1254.

Nancy Sand: Representing NDEA and appeared in support of HB 1254.

<u>Jim Menak:</u> Coordinator for the Commission of the Future of Agriculture. The commission is in support of education and property tax relief.

<u>Deb Neilson:</u> North Dakota School Board Association. They are neutral on this bill.

Page 3 House Education Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1254 Hearing Date 1-25-99

Chairman R. Kelsch: Anyone else wishing to be heard in opposition. Hearing none. Close the

hearing on HB 1254.

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1254-2-9-99

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1-27-99

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #				
Tape #3	X		4.1 to 13.0				
Committee Clerk Signature Jan Diess							

Minutes:

COMMITTEE ACTION

Those present and voting Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair Drovdal, Rep Brandenburg, Rep

Brusegaard, Rep. Haas, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Nelson, Rep. Nottestad, Rep. L. Thoreson, Rep.

Grumbo, Rep. Lundgren, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nowatzki, Rep. Solberg.

Chairman R. Kelsch: What are the wishes of the committee on HB 1254?

<u>Vice-Chair Drovdal</u>: Move a Do Not Pass.

Rep. Nelson: Second.

Chairman R. Kelsch: discussion. Ask the clerk to call the roll.

9 Yes, 5 No 1 Absent - motion of DO NOT PASS passes Floor assignment Rep Brusegaard.

Chairman R. Kelsch: Close the hearing on HB 1254.

FISCAL NOTE

(Return original and 14 copies)										
Bill/	Resolution No.:	HB 1254		Ame	endment to:					
Red	Requested by Legislative Council				Date of Request: 01-13-99					
1.	Please estimate the fiscal impact (in dollar amounts) of the above measure for state general or special funds, counties, cities, and school districts.									
	Narrative: This bill change for the second million dollars with that amount of	year of the 199 was appropriate	99-2001 bier	nium. Und	er the execut	ive recomm	endation, S	\$475.9		
	Increasing the bill is estimated	rates to the am d at \$200.5 mill	nounts identi ion dollars (fied in this t \$676.4M - \$	oill will require 3475.9M).	\$676.4. TI	ne fiscal im	npact of this		
2.	State fiscal effe	ect in dollar am	ounts:							
		1997-99 Bio General Fund	ennium Special Fund	1999 Gener Fund		cial (2001-03 E General Fund	Biennium Special Funds		
Rev	/enues:			200,500	000	200	0,500,000			
Exp	enditures:									
3.	What, if any, is	the effect of th	nis measure	on the appr	opriation for y	our agency	or departr	ment:		
	a. For rest of	f 1997-99 bienr	nium:				•			
	b. For the 19	99-2001 bienn	ium:					200,500,000		
	c. For the 20	01-03 bienniur	n:				1	200,500,000		
4.	County, City,	and School Di	i strict fiscal	effect in do	lar amounts:					
	1997-99 Bier		199	9-2001 Bien		20	01-03 Bien			
Co	unties Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts 200,500,000	Counties	Cities	School Districts 200,500,000		
	lational space i on a supplemen			Signed _	Jery	(stem)	.41			
				Typed Nar	rie	Jerry	Coleman			
Dat	e Prepared:	01-13-99		Departmen	nt <u> </u>	D Dept of P	ublic Instru	uction		
				Phone Nu	mber					

Date: /-27-99 Roll Call Vote #: /

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1254

House										
Subcommittee on										
or										
Conference Committee										
				3 w						
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _									
Action Taken	Pas	s								
Action Taken Not Not Pass Motion Made By Rep Nelson By Rep Nelson										
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No					
Rep. ReaAnn Kelsch-Chairperson	V		Rep. Dorvan Solberg		~					
Rep. David Drovdal-Vice Chair	V									
Rep. Michael D. Brandenburg	1									
Rep. Thomas T. Brusegaard	/									
Rep. C. B. Haas	/				1					
Rep. Dennis E. Johnson	V									
Rep. Jon O. Nelson	V									
Rep. Darrell D. Nottestad	V	5 V								
Rep. Laurel Thoreson	V									
Rep. Howard Grumbo		V								
Rep. Lyle Hanson										
Rep. Deb Lundgren		1								
Rep. Phillip Mueller		V								
Rep. Robert E. Nowatzki		V								
Total (Yes) 9		No	5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Absent										
Floor Assignment Rep B	use	gaa	rd	-						
If the vote is on an amendment, briefl		0								

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 28, 1999 9:15 a.m.

Module No: HR-18-1344 Carrier: Brusegaard Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1254: Education Committee (Rep. R. Kelsch, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (9 YEAS, 5 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1254 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

1999 TESTIMONY HB 1254

Historical Per Pupil Expenditure	, Pupil Payment, T	uition Apportionment and	d Mill Deduct Data.
Ulatorical Let Labit Exbengitate	,		

				Pupil Expenditur	Actual Per				Mill	Net Per Pupil	Net Percent
	Actual Per	Statutory	Actual Per	m tit	Pupil Payment and Tuition	Mill Levy			Deduct/	and Tuition	from Pupil
School	Pupil	Per Pupil	Pupil	Tuition			Taxable Value	ADM	ADM	Apportionment	
Year	Expenditures	Payment	Payment		Apportionment 571	0.020	658,235,947	138,076	(92)	- 479	58%
1973-74	831	540	540	31	582	0.020	671,209,499	133,625	(99)	483	52%
1974-75	938		u. 4H-1540		678	0.020	714,225,794	132,737	(101)	577	53%
1975-76	1,097	640	640	38	737	0.020	735,673,642	130,121	(110)	627	52%
1976-77	1,212	690	690	47	822	0.020	775,281,443	126,254	(117)	705	51%
1977-78	1,376	775	775		903	0:020	803,767,456	122,126	(127)	776	50%
1978-79	1,544	850	7.1	53 (a) - 11 (25) (25) 80	983	0.020	830,442,532	118,574	(136)	847	49%
1979-80	1,741	903	The second second	60 80 106		0.020	869,904,028	117,313	(142)	934	48%
1980-81	1,957	970		98	1,523	0.020	917,920,637	117,185	(148)	1,375	
1981-82	2,392	1,425			-	0.020	938,686,969	117,320	(156)	1,355	
1982-83	2,477	1,591	7	176			951,779,114	117,444	(160)	1,416	55%
1983-84	2,577	1,400		202			998,380,663	118,090	(161)	1,391	49%
1984-85	2,851	1,350		202			980,108,754	118,024	(169)	1,465	49%
1985-86	2,960	1,425		1: 216			976,761,047	117,981	(166)	1,417	48%
1986-87	2,949	1,455	and the second second				973,962,097	118,376	(165)	1,450	48%
1987-88	3,041	1,400	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Draw of the ACT TRACE			•	118,536	(164)	1,427	44%
1988-89	3,213	1,412	the first of the second of the				962,760,380	118,097		1,439	42%
1989-90	3,427	1,525		77.				118,883	the second second second	1,500	44%
1990-91	3,425	1,540		The first policy of the first				119,509	(176) 1,573	43%
1991-92		1,552	Co. M.S. and	A Company of the property of the party of th	774			119,955	(173) 1,572	42%
1992-93	3,701	1,608	1.34	17 day 1 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	a d			120,411	and the state of t) 1,588	42%
1993-94								120,440	Alt Annual Education) 1,689	9 44%
1994-95			100		11'			120,538		1	2 43%
1995-96					1 4°			119,895	2.5		6 43%
1996-97		1,862		1 Hall 1 M. 1 . 1 . 20.	2,071	0.032		,			
1997-98		1,954				0.032					
1998-99		2,032	2			0.032	•				

Oep of Public Instruction











The schedule shows the relationship of net state per pupil aid (base student payment plus tuition apportionment minus the mill deduct per student) to the total cost of education per pupil. It does not consider all state aid for schools (e.g., transportation, summer school or special education).

Source: Summary of Facts; School Finance Facts, the North Dakota Century Code and Session Law

Actual Per Pupil Expenditures - Includes regular, federal, special education, vocational education, administration and plant operation costs divided by total average daily membership (finance ADM - 120,538 for 1995-96). This is the standard educational cost per student calculation.

Statutory Per Pupil Payment - The per student payment rate specified in the North Dakota Century Code 15-40.1-06.

Actual Per Pupil Payment - The actual per student payment rate made for the school year (foundation aid is paid on weighted pupil units - 122,612 for 1995-96).

Tuition Apportionment - The payment rate used to distribute tuition apportionment funds (6-17 public and private school age census is the basis for the tuition apportionment distribution - 120,966 for 1995-96.)

Actual Per Pupil Payment and Tuition Apportionment - Actual Per Pupil Payment plus Tuition Apportionment.

Local Share Mill Levy Deduct - The local mill levy deduct specified in the North Dakota Century Code 15-40.1-06.

Taxable Value - The taxable property valuation for the local school district. Taxable value listed is the taxable value used for the local property tax. Taxable value used for the foundation aid mill deduct is one year behind the taxable for the local property tax.

ADM - Average Daily Membership includes ALL students educated in the district for regular, special education, district supervised home school education and summer school programs. This ADM is referred to as finance ADM.

Mill Deduct/ADM - Local share mill levy deduct multiplied by taxable value [for foundation aid] divided by ADM.

Net Per Pupil and Tuition Apport Pmt - Actual Per Pupil Payment plus Tuition Apportionment minus Mill Deduct/ADM.

Net Percent from Pupil and Tuition - Net Per Pupil and Tuition Apportionment divided by Actual Per Pupil Expend.

2/2