1999 HOUSE EDUCATION
HB 1427

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1427

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2-2-99

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #						
Tape # 2		X	5.1 to 44.3						
Committee Clerk Signa	Committee Clerk Signature Van Dies								

Minutes:

Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair Drovdal, Rep Brandenburg, Rep Brusegaard, Rep. Haas,

Rep. Johnson, Rep. Nelson, Rep. Nottestad, Rep. L. Thoreson, Rep. Grumbo, Rep. Hanson,

Rep. Lundgren, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nowatzki, Rep. Solberg.

Chairman R. Kelsch: Open the hearing on HB 1427 and ask the clerk to read the title.

Rep Stefonowicz: District 2 (see written attached).

<u>Vice-Chair Drovdal</u>: We are spending the money and we have no way to raise it. Is this putting the cart before the horse.

Stefonowicz: Something has to be acted on first, we could wait and raise the taxes first.

Chairman R. Kelsch: There is a fiscal note attached to the bill and the chair read the note.

Discussion was held on the fiscal note.

Rep Brandenburg: We are putting in 450 million in k-12, we are looking at going on record that we are more than doubling the state funding. We will have to find a way to generate more money.

Stefonowicz: We will have to raise 112 million over the next four years.

<u>Rep. Nelson</u>: The increase in state funding would be off set by property tax relief. Is that the intention? There is no new money going into this plan.

<u>Stefonowicz:</u> The language is confusing, but the net effect would be if you raised the foundation aid and less money coming from the local sources.

Rep. Nelson: In line 15, we would shifting state funds, in fact we are raising revenue for our schools. Property tax relief is a part of this, would you expect at the end of the four biennium's we would still be experiencing some property tax relief?

<u>Stefonowicz:</u> It is hard to predict where cost of education would go.

Rep. Solberg: I need clarification on the additional millions.

<u>Chairman R. Kelsch</u>: The addition millions would be 156.9 million over the next years.

Rep. Solberg: Additional millions, looking for them.

<u>Chairman R. Kelsch:</u> That would be what the total appropriation would be over the two biennium's, above the Governors budget.

<u>Vice-Chair Drovdal</u>: We usually fund by per pupil payment, this language puts it into a per centage, if we send out the per cent, then we realize we have not sent out enough, at the end of the biennium are we going to get a bill from the school?

<u>Stefonowicz:</u> The Implementation won't be on a per centage.

Rep. Nowatzki: The dollars were they expenditures over and above current spending or over and above what is currently recommended?

Chairman R. Kelsch: Currently in the executive budget.

<u>Max Laird:</u> President of NDEA, we're confused. For the record the executive budget is low and other numbers presented are high, if the level would be seventy per cent, we would be happy.

Mary Splichal: North Dakota Association of University Women. (see written attached).

Ron Torgeson: ND Association Education Leaders: appeared in a neutral position.

<u>Chairman R. Kelsch</u>: They have increased the governors recommendation, a total appropriation of 522 million.

<u>Jerry Coleman:</u> That is correct, but Ron is also correct in the governors recommendation I did not take out transportation. The fiscal note would be 36 million higher for each year.

<u>Chairman R. Kelsch</u>: So on the total I gave you before you would have to add 72 million for four years.

<u>Torgeson:</u> If you do remove lines 13 through 16, that makes a difference in our support of the bill.

<u>Dean Bard:</u> This bill does not appropriate any money for education. As legislative assembly, we cannot bind the next session to do something. This bill just recognizes what is going on.

<u>Chairman R. Kelsch:</u> Jerry Coleman with DPI was called back to give some clarification on the bill and how numbers were arrived at. Chairman asked for a new fiscal note for HB 1427.

We will close the hearing on HB 1427.

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1427-2-15-99

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2-3-99

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #				
Tape #3		X	29.9 to 33.4				
Committee Clerk Signature							

Minutes:

Chairman R Kelsch, Vice Chair Drovdal, Rep Brandenburg, Rep Brusegaard, Rep Haas, Rep johnson, Rep Nelson, Rep Nottestad, Rep L Thoreson, Rep Grumbo, Rep Hanson, Rep Lundgren, Rep Mueller, Rep Nowatzki, Rep Solberg.

<u>Chairman R Kelsch:</u> We will take up HB 1427. What are the wishes of the committee?

Vice Chair Drovdal: I move a DO NOT PASS as amended.

Rep Haas: Second.

Rep Mueller: I move an amendment. Strike lines 13, 14, 15.

Rep Hanson: Second.

Chairman R Kelsch: discussion. voice vote on the amendments. carried

<u>ChairmanR Kelsch:</u> Discussion. We have a DO NOT PASS as amended motion and will ask the clerk to read the roll. The DO NOT PASS as amended motion passes 9 YES 6 NO

Page 2 House Education Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1427 -2-15-99 Hearing Date 2-3-99

0 Absent Floor assignment - VIce Chair Drovdal.

FISCAL NOTE

(Re	eturn original and	d 14 copies)							
Bill	/Resolution No.:			_ Amendmen	t to: _F	HB 1427	427		
Re	quested by Legis	slative Council		Date of Red	quest: _(02/08/99			
1.	Please estimat special funds,			ar amounts) of the above measure for state general or labeled					
	Narrative:								
	This bill declares legislative intent to support elementary and secondary education at 48% of the educational cost per student in the 1999-2001 biennium, 54% in the 2001-03 biennium, 57% in the 2003-05 biennium, 60% in the 2005-07 biennium. The educational cost per student was \$4,464 for the 1997-98 school year. The language does not specify what should be included in state support. This purposes of this note, state support is computed as the per student aid plus tuition apportionment minus the mill deduct per student. Education costs and taxable valuation were increased 2% each year and enrollments were decreased 1.5% each year in line with state estimates. Based on these assumptions, the per student payment rates would be established at \$2,335 and \$2,387 for the 1999-01 biennium and \$2,730 and \$2,790 for the 2001-03 biennium. At these payment levels, \$520.2 million and \$588.5 million would be required for the 1999-01 biennium and 2001-03 biennium, respectively.								
2.	The executive budge State fiscal effe								
		1997-99 Bid General Fund	ennium Special Fund	1999-2001 B General Fund	iennium Special Funds		3 Biennium Special Funds		
Re	venues:								
Ex	penditures:			44,300,000		112,600,0	00		
3.	What, if any, is	the effect of the	nis measure o	on the appropriatio	n for your	agency or depa	artment:		
	a. For rest of	1997-99 bienr	nium:						
	b. For the 19	99-2001 bienn	ium:				44,300,000		
	c. For the 20	01-03 bienniur	n:				112,600,000		
4.	County, City,	and School D	istrict fiscal e	effect in dollar amo	unts:				
	1997-99 Bier	nnium School	1999	-2001 Biennium		2001-03 Bi			
Co	unties Cities		Counties	Cities Distr 44,300	icts Co	ounties Citie	School S Districts 112,600,000		
	dditional space is ach a supplemen	,		Signed	y Color	ງ Jerry Colema	n		
Da	te Prepared:	02/08/99		Department	ND D	ept of Public Ins	struction		
				Phone Number		328-4051			

FISCAL NOTE

	(Return original and 14 copies)										
	Bill/	/Resolution	No.:	HB 1427		Ame	Amendment to:				
	Red	quested by	Legisla	itive Council		Date	e of Request	01/20/9	9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	1.	Please es special fu	stimate nds, co	the fiscal impunties, cities	pact (in dolla s, and school	r amounts) (I districts.	of the above	measure fo	or state ge	neral or	
	2.	Narrative: This bill declares legislative intent to support elementary and secondary education at 48% of the educational cost per student in the 1999-2001 biennium, 54% in the 2001-03 biennium, 57% in the 2003-05 biennium, 60% in the 2005-07 biennium. The educational cost per student was \$4,464 for the 1997-98 school year. The language does not specify what should be included in state support. This purposes of this note, state support is computed as the per student aid plus tuition apportionment minus the mill deduct per student. Education costs and taxable valuation were increased 2% each year and enrollments were decreased 1.5% each year in line with state estimates. Based on these assumptions, the per student payment rates would be established at \$2,335 and \$2,387 for the 1999-01 biennium and \$2,730 and \$2,790 for the 2001-03 biennium. At these payment levels, \$520.2 million and \$588.5 million would be required for the 1999-01 biennium and 2001-03 biennium, respectively. The executive budget recommendation is \$475.9 M. State fiscal effect in dollar amounts:									
			_	1997-99 Bi			2001 Bienni			Biennium	
			G	Seneral Fund	Special Fund	Genera Fund		ecial nds	General Fund	Special Funds	
	Rev	/enues:									
	Exp	enditures:				44,300,0	000	11	12,600,000)	
	3.	\Mhat if a	ny ie th	ne effect of th	nie measure		opriation for				
	Ο.			997-99 bieni		on the appro	opriation for	your agenc	y or depar	iment.	
				9-2001 bienn				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		44,300,000	
				I-03 bienniur					H	112,600,000	
	4	County. (Citv. an	d School D	istrict fiscal	effect in doll	lar amounts:		8		
			9 Bienni			9-2001 Bienr		20	001-03 Bieı	nnium	
	Соц		Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts 44,300,000	Counties	Cities	School Districts 112,600,000	
		dditional sp eer a supple				Signed	Ly	Glim			
_						Typed Nan	ne (Jerry	Coleman		
	Dat	e Prepared	l:(01/21/99		Departmen	nt	ND Dept of F	Public Insti	ruction	
						Phone Nur	nher		220 4054		

Historical Per Pupil Expenditure, Pupil Payment, Tuition Apportionment and Mill Deduct Data											
				*	Actual Per Pupil					Net Per	Net Percent
	Actual Per		Actual Per	Tuition	Payment and	Share Mill			Mill	Pupil and	from Pupil
School	Pupil	Per Pupil	Pupil	Apportion	Tuition	Levy	Taxable		Deduct/	Tuition	and Tuition
Year	Expenditures	Payment	Payment	ment	Apportionment	Deduct	Valuation	ADM	ADM	Apport. Pmt	Apport.
1973-74	831	540	540	31	571	0.020	658,235,947	138,076	(92)	479	58%
1974-75	938	540	540	42	582	0.020	671,209,499	133,625	(99)	483	52%
1975-76	1,097	640	640	38	678	0.020	714,225,794	132,737	(101)	577	53%
1976-77	1,212	690	690	47	737	0.020	735,673,642	130,121	(110)	627	52%
1977-78	1,376	775	775	47	822	0.020	775,281,443	126,254	(117)	705	51%
1978-79	1,544	850	850	53	903	0.020	803,767,456	122,126	(127)	776	50%
1979-80	1,741	903	903	80	983	0.020	830,442,532	118,574	(136)	847	49%
1980-81	1,957	970	970	106	1,076	0.020	869,904,028	117,313	(142)	934	48%
1981-82	2,392	1,425	1,425	98	1,523	0.020	917,920,637	117,185	(148)	1,375	57%
1982-83	2,477	1,591	1,353	158	1,511	0.020	938,686,969	117,320	(156)	1,355	55%
1983-84	2,577	1,400	1,400	176	1,576	0.020	951,779,114	117,444	(160)	1,416	55%
1984-85	2,851	1,350	1,350	202	1,552	0.020	998,380,663	118,090	(161)	1,391	49%
1985-86	2,960	1,425	1,425	209	1,634	0.020	980,108,754	118,024	(169)	1,465	49%
1986-87	2,949	1,455	1,367	216	1,583	0.020	976,761,047	117,981	(166)	1,417	48%
1987-88	3,041	1,400	1,400	215	1,615	0.020	973,962,097	118,376	(165)	1,450	48%
1988-89	3,213	1,412	1,385	206	1,591	0.020	962,760,380	118,536	(164)	1,427	44%
1989-90	3,427	1,525	1,411	199	1,610	0.021	959,536,307	118,097	(171)	1,439	42%
1990-91	3,425	1,545	1,480	198	1,678	0.022	956,278,185	118,883	(178)	1,500	44%
1991-92	3,676	1,552	1,552	197	1,749	0.022	943,144,462	119,509	(176)	1,573	43%
1992-93	3,701	1,608	1,542	198	1,740	0.022	941,390,009	119,955	(173)	1,567	42%
1993-94	3,772	1,570	1,570	198	1,768	0.023	958,547,588	120,411	(180)	1,588	42%
1994-95	3,850	1,636	1,682	198	1,880	0.024	995,155,293	120,440	(191)	1,689	44%
1995-96	4,016	1,757	1,757	207	1,964	0.028	1,030,810,153	120,538	(231)	1,732	43%
1996-97	4,223	1,862	1,862	209	2,071	0.032	1,107,165,252	119,895	(275)	1,796	43%
1997-98	4,464	1,954	1,954	216	2,170	0.032	1,148,999,564	118,190	(300)	1,870	42%
1998-99	4,553	2,032	2,032	220	2,252	0.032	1,189,838,388	117,008	(314)	1,938	43%
1999-00	4,644		2,335	224	2,560	0.032	1,213,635,156	115,253	(330)	2,229	48%
2000-01	4,737		2,387	229	2,616	0.032	1,237,907,859	113,524	(342)	2,274	48%
2001-02	4,832		2,730	233	2,964	0.032	1,262,666,016	111,821	(354)	2,609	54%
2002-03	4,929		2,790	238	3,028	0.032	1,287,919,336	110,144	(367)	2,661	54%
2003-04	5,027		3,002	243	3,245	0.032	1,313,677,723	108,492	(380)	2,865	57%
2004-05	5,128		3,068	248	3,316	0.032	1,339,951,278	106,864	(393)	2,923	57%
2005-06	5,230		3,293	253	3,546	0.032	1,366,750,303	105,261	(407)	3,138	60%
2006-07	5,335		3,365	258	3,623	0.032	1,394,085,309	103,683	(422)	3,201	60%

90707.0102 Title.0200

Adopted by the Education Committee February 3, 1999

VR 214/99

HOUSE

AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1427 Edu 2-04-99

Page 1, line 13, remove "For the purpose of determining the general fund levy limitation"

Page 1, remove lines 14 and 15

Renumber accordingly

Date: 2-3-99 Roll Call Vote #: /

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1427

House Education	,			_ Com	nittee
Subcommittee on			· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nun	nber _				
Action Taken Do No	f P	ass	as amende	d	
Motion Made By	lal	Se By	conded //		
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Rep. ReaAnn Kelsch-Chairperson	V		Rep. Dorvan Solberg		W
Rep. David Drovdal-Vice Chair	V		· ·		
Rep. Michael D. Brandenburg	V				
Rep. Thomas T. Brusegaard	V				
Rep. C. B. Haas	V				1
Rep. Dennis E. Johnson	V				1
Rep. Jon O. Nelson	V			1	
Rep. Darrell D. Nottestad					
Rep. Laurel Thoreson					
Rep. Howard Grumbo					
Rep. Lyle Hanson		V			
Rep. Deb Lundgren		W		1	
Rep. Phillip Mueller		V			
Rep. Robert E. Nowatzki		V			
Total (Yes) 9		No	6		
Absent					
Floor Assignment	vda	l	•		

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Module No: HR-23-1911 Carrier: Drovdal

Insert LC: 90707.0102 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1427: Education Committee (Rep. R. Kelsch, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO NOT PASS (9 YEAS, 6 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1427 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 13, remove "For the purpose of determining the general fund levy limitation"

Page 1, remove lines 14 and 15

Renumber accordingly

1999 TESTIMONY

HB 1427

Testimony for House Bill 1427

Before the House Education Committee Pioneer Room, ND State Capitol Tuesday, February 2, 1999, 1 PM

Chairperson Kelsch and Members of the House Education Committee, I am here to urge your support of House Bill 1427.

For the record I am Representative Bob Stefonowicz from Legislative District Two. I am farmer in the Wildrose area. I was a teacher of science at Dickinson High School until 1995. While a teacher I served as a member of the Board of Directors of North Dakota Education Association for twelve years, four as the vice president.

I come before you today as a citizen requesting that you do everything in your power to increase the per pupil payment to schools. I am sure that you have heard much testimony about why the per pupil payments must be raised. I know that the property tax paying public is about to take some radical steps to get control of the rising property taxes.

At the 1997 North Dakota Farmers Union Convention a motion was made to have the North Dakota Farmers Union initiate a measure that would decouple expenditures for public schools and human services from property taxes. Some of us referred that motion to the Board of Directors of the North Dakota Farmers Union for them to study and report back. Farmers Union President Bob Carlson reported at the 1998 convention that they would not be initiating such a measure. I tell you this to let you know the serious nature of this debate. We have to act or the people will act.

I will leave it up to others more knowledgeable than me to give you the specific numbers for this bill. I urge your favorable recommendation on House Bill 1427.

I will be glad to answer any questions you may have.

Testimony on HB1427 House Education Committee February 2, 1999

Madam Chairman

Mr. Chairmen, members of the Education Committee, my name is Mary Splichal and I represent the North Dakota Association of University Women, also known as AAUW.

AAUW is well know for it's support of education. The ND AAUW has approximately 400 members. Last year at our state convention, we passed a resolution saying that we will work with the 1999 legislature to increase per pupil funding to the 1981-1982 level of 63.7% of the total costs per pupil. HB1427 increases the funding gradually and comes close to our goal, therefore AAUW supports this bill.

The ND AAUW members feel the reduction in per pupil funding has resulted in a crisis in our schools. In some districts the crisis is evident with deteriorating buildings, outdated textbooks and maps, differences in access to technology and training and low salaries for our teachers. These low salaries create difficulties in recruiting and retaining teachers in many communities and a declining quality education for those students.

Last week we heard testimony on a house bill that said the beginning wages for the teachers in Underwood, ND are less than \$14,000 a year plus benefits. That's below the poverty level! Today on the editorial page, in the Bismarck Tribune, Dale Christensen, a math teacher from White Shield School in Roseglen, wrote, "Our children are able to make the same salary that we receive by working at the local fast-food restaurant. Why would we advise them to follow us into the teaching profession?". Yesterday, I heard testimony on a house bill that said by the year 2011 over 5,000 active teachers and/or administrators will be eligible for retirement. Who are we going to hire to replace these people? I heard a Representative ask if it wasn't the idea of living in an isolated, rural community, that kept teachers from applying for some of the teaching positions. Well, perhaps that is true, however - if we offer them experience and a respectable wage, those jobs will be filled!

The ND Association of University Women are proud of our teachers and proud of the quality of education provided in our state. It is very upsetting to hear we have the lowest paid teachers in the nation! Our teachers and our children deserve better. We urge you to pass this bill.