1999 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION

HB 1474

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1474

House Finance and Taxation Committee

□ Conference Committee

Hearing Date February 2, 1999

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	Х		Did not record
Committee Clerk Signa	iture Jami	u Stein	
	U		

Minutes:

<u>REP. BELTER</u> Opened the hearing.

<u>REP. CAROL NIEMEIER, DIST. 20, BUXTON</u>, Introduced the bill. See written testimony. She also submitted a handout showing the fiscal impact this bill would have together with a resolution from the 1998 Statehouse Conference on Aging. See attached copies.

<u>REP. WINRICH</u> Stated it looked like the numbers for a joint return are all doubled, but on page 1, the figures used were twenty thousand and thirty five thousand, is there a reason for this? <u>REP. NIEMEIER</u> The numbers on page 2, show joint returns, so they just doubled the figures. However, if one earns fifty thousand dollars, it is not always definite that the second will earn

that amount.

<u>REP. RON NICHOLS, DIST.4</u> Testified in support of the bill. He felt we needed a bill like this to keep family members at home as long as possible. We all know the cost of nursing homes.

Page 2 House Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1474 Hearing Date February 2, 1999

<u>REP. JIM KERZMAN, DIST. 35</u> Testified in support of the bill. He called this bill a Thank You bill. He felt it was a tremendous savings to the state, if family members are able to be cared for at home.

<u>BONNIE PALACEK, BISMARCK</u>, Testified in support of the bill. Gave an account of her own experience taking care of her parents. Related to the cost of taking care of them versus sending them to a nursing home.

MICHAEL J. GILLEN, ADMINISTRATOR, ANNE CARLSEN CENTER FOR CHILDREN, Submitted testimony for the committee. See attached copy.

With no further testimony, the hearing was closed.

COMMITTEE ACTION 2-2-99, Tape #1, Side B, Meter 25

In discussion, committee members felt the bill should be amended on page 2, line 20 from fifty to thirty five thousand also page 2, line 22 from "fifty" to "thirty five thousand" then on page 3, line 4, from "one hundred" to "seventy five" and again on page 5, "one hundred" to "seventy five". They also felt the language should refer to a joint return.

<u>REP. WINRICH</u> Offered to have the amendments drafted by the legislative council.

COMMITTEE ACTION 2-3-99, Tape #2, Side A, Meter #18.9

<u>REP. WINRICH</u> Stated that in visiting with Rep. Niemeier, there was no rationale on the numbers on the tax table. It was just a matter of choosing the number you wanted. He recommended that page 2, line 20 and line 23, be changed from "fifty thousand" to "thirty five thousand", and page 3, line 4 and line 5, be changed from "one hundred" to "seventy" <u>REP. WINRICH</u> Made a motion to adopt the amendments.

Page 3 House Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1474 Hearing Date February 2, 1999

<u>REP. GROSZ</u> Second the motion. MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE.

<u>REP. SCHMIDT</u> Made a motion for a DO PASS AS AMENDED.

<u>REP. CLARK</u> Second the motion. MOTION CARRIED

13 Yes 0 No 2 Absent

<u>REP. CLARK</u> Was given the floor assignment.

FISCAL NOTE

Return original and 14 copies)				
II / Resolution No.:	Amendment to:		HB 1474	
Requested by Legislative Council	Date of Request:	02/16/99		

1. Please estimate the fiscal impact (in dollar amounts) of the above measure for state general or special funds, counties, cities, and school districts.

- Narrative: HB 1474 broadens and clarifies the allowable income threshold relating to the income tax credit for expenses of caring for qualified family members. The impacts of HB 1474 are unknown. The Department can not reasonably estimate the number of individuals who will utilize the tax savings and eliminate the need for a relative to enter a nursing facility or those individuals who will enter a nursing facility even with the tax savings. In addition, the Department can not estimate the number of individuals that would not enter a nursing facility even without the tax savings. Nursing home rates are based on occupancy, consequently any decrease or increase in occupancy may result in a higher or lower nursing home reimbursement rate in future years (up to the limit rate.) The affects of the change on nursing home expenditures is unknown. It is also unknown how many other services will be utilized by the individual in the family setting, such as SPED, Expanded SPED and home health which are paid for by the Department. The Tax Department information shows that for the 1997 returns, 12 taxpayers utilized this credit, claiming a total of \$9,763.
- 2. State fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

	1997-1999			1999-2001			2001-2003		
	Bienn	ium		Bienr	ium		Bienr	nium	
	General	Special	Gen	eral	Special		General	Special	
	Fund	Funds	Fur	nd	Funds		Fund	Funds	
Revenues:									
Expenditures:	-0-			Unkn	own		Unkn	own	

3. What, if any, is the effect of this measure on the appropriation for your agency or department:

а.	For rest of 1997-99 biennium:	-0-
b.	For the 1999-01 biennium:	Unknown
C.	For the 2001-03 biennium:	Unknown

4. County, City, and School District fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

	1997-1999			1999-2001			2001-2003		
	<u>Biennium</u>	School		<u>Biennium</u>	School		<u>Biennium</u>	School	
Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	Counties	Cities	Districts	

-0-	-0-	2 -0- //
f additional space is needed,	Signed	Dunda M. Weisz
attach a supplemental sheet.		\land
	Typed Name	Brenda M. Weisz
Date Prepared: February 22, 1999	Department	Human Services
	Phone No.	328-2397

FISCAL NOTE

turn original and 14 copies)

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1474

Requested by Legislative Council

Amendment to: ______ Date of Request: _____1/20/99

 Please estimate the fiscal impact (in dollar amounts) of the above measure for state general or special funds, counties, cities, and school districts. Please provide breakdowns, if appropriate, showing salaries and wages, operating expenses, equipment, or other details to assist in the budget process. In a word processing format, add lines or space as needed or attach a supplemental sheet to adequately address the fiscal impact of the measure.

Narrative: HB 1474 broadens and clarifies the allowable income threshold relating to the income tax credit for expenses of caring for qualified family members. The fiscal impact of these changes is unknown (Note: On 1997 returns, 12 taxpayers utilized this credit, claiming a total of \$9,763.)

2. State fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

b.

	1997-99 H	Biennium	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-03	Biennium
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures						

3. What, if any, is the effect of this measure on the budget for your agency or department:

a. For rest of 1997-99 biennium: _

(Indicate the portion of this amount included in the 1999-2001 executive budget:)

For the 1999-2001 biennium:

(Indicate the portion of this amount included in the 1999-2001 executive budget:)

c. For the 2001-03 biennium:

4. County, city, and school district fiscal effect in dollar amounts:

19	97-99 Bienniu	ım	199	9-2001 Bienr	nium	20	01-03 Bienniu	um
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

Signed: Hulling J Sturrick

Typed Name: Kathryn L. Strombeck

Department: Tax

Date Prepared: February 1, 1999

If additional space is needed

attach a supplemental sheet.

Please type or use black pen to complete

DO NOT USE HIGHLIGHTER ON ANY FORMS

Date	2.2	3-99
Roll call	vote #	1

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO HR 1474

House HOUSE FINAN	NCE & TAX			Co	mmittee
Subcommittee on				Identify or	
Conference Commit	tee			<pre></pre>	9
Legislative Council Amendment	Number				
			Pare 05	One	mada
Action Taken		1	1055 45	- Cerrie	नाब्एव
Action Taken Motion Made By Rep. So	hmidt		Pass as Seconded By Rep.	Clark	
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
BELTER	V		WINRICH		
RENNERFELDT	L				
CLARK	V				
FROELICH	F T				
GRANDE	L				
GROSZ	V				
HERBEL	L				
KROEBER	V				
MICKELSON	f î				
NICHOLAS	V				
RENNER	V				
SCHMIDT					
WARNER	V				
WIKENHEISER	V				
Total 13 0 (Yes) (No)					
Absent	N (212			

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1474: Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Belter, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (13 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 2 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1474 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 2, line 20, replace "fifty" with "thirty-five"

Page 2, line 23, replace "fifty" with "thirty-five"

Page 2, line 28, replace "fifty" with "thirty-five"

Page 3, line 4, replace "one hundred" with "seventy"

Page 3, line 5, replace "one hundred" with "seventy"

Renumber accordingly

1999 SENATE FINANCE AND TAXATION

HB 1474

1999 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1474

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

□ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2-15-99

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
HB 1474	Х		2780-END
Committee Clerk Signa	ature Shiila	Wald	

Minutes:

Sen Urlacher - opened the hearing on HB 1474, a BILL RELATING TO THE INCOME TAX

CREDIT FOR EXPENSES OF CARING FOR CERTAIN FAMILY MEMBER, AND

PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Repr. Carol Niemeier - Presented testimony and it is attached. She also distributed testimony and that is also attached from Carol Watrel, and Michael Gillen.

Sen. Schobinger - Do elderly person in nursing home receive Medicare payments?

Repr. Carol Niemeier - some services are covered.

Sen Stenehjem - How about the fiscal note?

Muriel Peterson - Federal matched dollars on in home services per person if they qualify for nursing home care. We do have 300 some people a month that we provide payment . It is restricted in terms of payment t o family members. The objective is the cost effective way of Page 2 Senate Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1474 Hearing Date 2-15-99

delivering services in nursing home care. About 20% of people in nursing homes today, are at the lowest case mixed level. If we had services like we are developing now, at the time of their admission, they may not have needed to go into the home at that point in time. Would be put back into their home setting. The fiscal part is looking at it in a broad picture. How much did the State save? In reality were are reducing costs, not building dollars over here. What kind of reduction of expenditures does this make? That is what is happening.

Donnita Wald - No requests for fiscal and we can't determine the amount anyway.

Sen Stenehjem - Do you know what it would be if we raised these exemptions? If we change the criteria, wouldn't there be a lot of people who would fall under this that hadn't been in this before?

Sen Kinnoin - 20% was mentioned before of this category that could be in a home setting. Sen Christmann - The guidelines currently are lost, only 11 people applied for this? Are you proposing to raise this income limits from 15 50 20 thousand \$, and then add in people who file jointly at 35,000 dollars.

Howard Snortland - AARP. Survey made of our people in the State, and we endorse this bill. Shelly Peterson - ND Long Term Care Association. Strong support of this bill. We found that the number one issue, that people entered nursing facilities, was the breakdown of family support system. They could not provide the care 24 hours.

Joe Becker - Unable to ascertain a fiscal impact as it was introduced. If 11 people benefit from this, the highest it can go is \$22,000.

Sen Stenehjem- If we pull 10 people out of nursing home and put in home care, going by these numbers there has to be cost saving. It should be addressed.

Page 3 Senate Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1474 Hearing Date 2-15-99

Sen Christmann - Raising income standards, is this helpful for Tax Dept.

Joe Becker - We asked for it because two care givers may report it on each income tax return &

we need to check each tax return.

Donnita Wald - Request the Leg. Council for a fiscal note.

Sen Urlacher closed the hearing.

DISCUSSION 2-24-99 MOTION MADE TO DO PASS BY SEN. WARDNER AND

SECONDED BY SEN KINNOIN, 5-2-0, CARRIER SEN. WARDNER. TAPE B 1730 -

1850.







	Po		Date:	-24-99	0	
	R0		ote ≠:		\mathbb{U}_{-}	
1999 SENATE STANI BI			TEE ROLL IN NO. 14		5	
Senate Senate Finance and Taxatic	n				Comm	ittee
Subcommittee on					•	
Or Conference Committee						
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	nber					
Action Taken Als Pa						
Motion Made By Sew. Ward	ner	Seco By	nded	en. Kis	ind	'n
Senators	Yes	No	Sen	ators	Yes	No
Senators	103	1.10	UCII		103	
SENATOR URLACHER					103	
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN						
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN		No				
SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN SENATOR SCHOBINGER SENATOR STENEHJEM SENATOR WARDNER SENATOR KINNOIN SENATOR KROEPLIN		No	2 L L L			

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:



REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1474, as engrossed: Finance and Taxation Committee (Sen. Urlacher, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (5 YEAS, 2 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1474 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar. 1999 TESTIMONY

HB 1474

TESTIMONY Introduction of HB 1474

Prepared by Representative Carol A. Niemeier

Tuesday, February 2, 1999

Chairman Belter and Members of the House Finance and Taxation Committee. For the record, I am Representative Carol Niemeier, District 20, Buxton. I am here to present HB 1474 which allows an income tax credit for vouchered expenses incurred in the care of certain family members. The original bill was passed into Code in the 1997 session, and this amended version seeks to improve its usage and administration by the Tax Department.

The need is significant and will also be attested to by the testimony to follow.

1. We have an aging population who would prefer to remain in their homes as long as possible.

2. Family guardians are often fully employed and hire community services for care-giving.

3. Nursing home costs may exhaust estate resources and residents require Medicaid benefits.

4. Long-term care is the largest part of the human services budget. Over fifty percent of nursing home residents (3,700) are on Medicaid.

5. Families may maintain their autonomy and control of decision-making.

Please note the amended areas. Section I raises the taxable income by \$5,000 for a single or married family member to permit more qualifiers. In the last year, eleven applicants were allowed.

In Section I, IV, and V, the change includes filing a joint return.

In subsection 5.c, a waiver of secrecy provisions is included, addressing the prorating requirement.

Uncompensated care is being provided by families across our state. This bill impacts sons, daughters, and spouses who bear the time, expense, and effort of allowing a loved one to remain in the comfort and familiar surroundings of their home.

1999 is the designated International Year of Older Persons. An incentive for community-based care is one way to honor them.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted by:

Representative Carol A. Niemeier

FISCAL IMPACT OF HB 1474

Tuesday, February 2, 1999 Representative Carol A. Niemeier

Breakdown of Medicaid Nursing Facility Rate

Federal Share: State Share: Average Recipient Share: \$59.63 70% \$25.55 30% \$<u>12.50</u> \$97.68 Average daily cost

Savings to General Fund for Delayed Institutionalization of Medical Recipients

State Medicaid Cost - One Year	\$9,325.75
State Cost for 200 Medicaid Recipients	\$1,865,150.00
Taxpayers Loss for 200 at Full Value	\$400,000.00
Potential Savings to General Fund	\$1,465,150.00

New Table (Table 2) for Married Filing Joint (and Married Filing Separate*):

If the amount on Line 6 (Federal Taxable Income) is:

Over	But Not Over	Decimal Amount Is:
\$ 0	\$50,000	.30
50,000	52,000	.29
52,000	54,000	.28
54,000	56,000	.27
56,000	58,000	.26
58,000	60,000	.25
60,000	62,000	.24
62,000	64,000	.23
64,000	66,000	.22
66,000	68,000	.21
68,000	No limit	.20

*50% of decimal amount

1998 STATEHOUSE CONFERENCE ON AGING RESOLUTION NO. 2

A resolution supporting the expansion of home and community-based service availability.

WHEREAS, North Dakota is in the process of reforming the long-term care system to better respond to current as well as future service needs with the goal of providing options that will allow individuals to make choices that foster independence; and

WHEREAS, home and community-based services provide an opportunity to reduce the reliance on the use of institutional care by offering quality services in an alternative system; and

WHEREAS, the availability of in-home care providers in rural areas may be limited and potential providers may lack the skills necessary to meet required competency standards and training opportunities are limited which may require individuals to choose between relocating to access services or going without necessary services; and

WHEREAS, expanded training of in-home care providers could enhance the availability and improve the quality of home and community-based services; and

WHEREAS, adequate funding is needed to support the home and community-based service delivery system;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE 1998 NORTH DAKOTA STATEHOUSE CONFERENCE ON AGING:

That the 1999 North Dakota State Legislature support the expansion of home and community-based services through continued exploration of options to provide services in all areas of the state and options for training additional in-home care providers, as well as provide adequate funding to support the home and community-based service delivery system.

Dated this 9^{+-} day of October, 1998

Carole Watrel

Carole Watrel, Chair Governor's Committee on Aging



Anne Carlsen Center for Children

Making a difference in the lives of children with special needs

Testimony in regards to House Bill No. 1474

Chairman, Sub-Committee Members and distinguished guess. My name is Mike Gillen, Administrator of the Anne Carlsen Center for Children. The Anne Carlsen Center for Children is a licensed ICF/MR and serves children and adults with a wide variety of Medical, Behavioral, Educational and Developmental needs.

The Center has served individuals with disabilities for almost 60 years. Over those 60 years an on-going fallacy has been supported again and again by legislators, government agencies, providers, and civic leaders. That fallacy is that disabled people cannot care for themselves and need programs to support them throughout their daily lives. This is not only a fallacy but a counter-productive attitude that promotes independence on programs and supportive services.

I firmly believe and have seen many times that people with disabilities can function very well in society without programs, but with resources that they choose. House Bill 1474 gives them several things resources to choose and use at their discretion and to the level they choose. House Bill 1474 also gives them the right to care for themselves in a manner they choose. Respect, dignity and independence are values each of us expect every day of our lives, it should be no different for people with disabilities.

House Bill 1474 is well written and the guidelines will prevent subsequent abuses that exist within some entitlement programs. I am in support of house Bill 1474 and would request each of you to support it as well.

Sincerely,

Mital J Bille

Michael J. Gillen Administrator Anne Carlsen Center for Children

301 7th Ave. N.W. Jamestown, ND 58401 (701) 252-3850 (800) 568-5175

...

TESTIMONY Introduction of HB 1474

Prepared by Representative Carol A. Niemeier

Monday, February 15, 1999

Chairman Urlacher and Members of the Senate Finance and Taxation Committee. For the record, I am Representative Carol Niemeier, District 20, Buxton. I am here to present HB 1474 which allows an income tax credit for vouchered expenses incurred in the care of certain family members. The original bill was passed into Code in the 1997 session. It's intent being to preserve the dignity of the individual, protect the fabric of families, and provide assistance for caregiving while being fiscally responsible. This amended version seeks to improve its usage and administration by the Tax Department.

The need is significant and will also be attested to by the testimony to follow.

- 1. We have an aging population who would prefer to remain in their homes as long as possible.
- 2. Family guardians are often fully employed and must hire community services for care-giving.
- 3. Nursing home costs may exhaust estate resources and residents require Medicaid benefits.
- 4. Long-term care is the largest part of the Human Services budget. Over fifty percent of nursing home residents (3,700) are on Medicaid.

Please note the amended areas. Section I raises the taxable income by \$5,000 for a single or married family member to permit more qualifiers. In the last year, eleven applicants were allowed.

In Section I, IV, and V, the change includes filing a joint return.

In subsection 5.c, a waiver of secrecy provisions is included, addressing the prorating requirement.

Uncompensated care is being provided by families across our state. These people are heros. The elderly and handicapped are heros for their courage and endurance. This bill impacts sons, daughters, and spouses who bear the time, expense, and effort of allowing a loved one to remain in the comfort and familiar surroundings of their home.

1999 is the designated International Year of Older Persons. An incentive for community-based care is one way to honor them.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted by:

Representative Carol A. Niemeier

FISCAL IMPACT OF HB 1474

Monday, February 15, 1999 Representative Carol A. Niemeier

Breakdown of Medicaid Nursing Facility Rate

Federal Share: State Share: Average Recipient Share: \$59.63 70% \$25.55 30% \$<u>12.50</u> \$97.68 Average daily cost

Savings to General Fund for Delayed Institutionalization of Medical Recipients

State Medicaid Cost - One Year	\$9,325.75
State Cost for 200 Medicaid Recipients	\$1,865,150.00
Tax Loss for 200 at Full Value	\$400,000.00
Potential Savings to General Fund	\$1,465,150.00

1	998	9
•	550	

IMPORTANT

Π

Revisions based on HB1474 (1st engrossment)



North Dakota Schedule FC Schedule For Computing Family Member Care Credit

		Attach to Forn	n 37-S or Form 37				
shown on return					Social sec	urity numbe	r
If you paid qualit qualifying family	member	e than one qualifying	nt of, the credit. family member, you must c ions of qualifying family n				
Part 1 - Do you	qualify for the cree	dit?					
nswer the questions b	elow (by checking either YES	or NO) to see if you q	ualify for the family member c	are credit.			
Qualifying fan	nily member criteria					YES	NO
1. Is the family me	mber related to you by blood	or marriage?				1	
2. Is the family me	mber at least 65 years old or o	letermined to be disabl	ed by the Social Security Adm	inistration?		2	
3. If the family me	mber is not married, is the fan	nily member's federal t	axable income equal to or less	than			
-> \$15,000? If the	family member is married, is	the total federal taxable	e income of the family member	r and the			
	s spouse equal to or less than		TE Mannied FiliNA	Joint is fee	leral	3	
Townower's fo	ے deral taxable income limi	₹ <i>36,000</i>	A Married Filing Haxable income	less than \$	72,000 ?		
4 If your Filing st	atus (on the front of Form 37	S or Form 371 is Sing	le of Married filing joint of H	ead of household	or		
Oualifying wide	w(er), is your federal taxable	income less than \$52.0)00?" If your Filing status is M	larried filing sept	irately,		
is your separate	federal taxable income for No	orth Dakota purposes le	ess than \$26.000?	000		4	
 If you answe 	red YES to ALL of the quest	ions, go to Part 2 - Co	mputation of the credit.				
 If you answe 	red NO to any of the question	is, you are not eligible	for the credit. Do not complet	e the rest of this	schedule.		
	utation of the credit						
ame of qualifying fam			5	Social security num	iber of quali	fying family	y member
Qualified care	expenses paid by you during	the tax year (for the qu	alifying family member identifi	ied above)			
(Attach a statem	ent listing and identifying the	e qualified care expense	es you paid)		(FA) 5		
6. Your federal tax	able income (from line 39, F	orm 1040 or line 24, F	orm 1040A or line 6,				
Form T040EZ o	r line J, TeleFile Worksneel)	Silina status is Marria	(FE I filing separately, enter one I	alf of the			
7. Decimal amoun	in the white t	ming similar is married			(FC) 7		·
	<u> </u>		If the amount on	Decimal			
BLE 1 -	If the amount on line 6 is:	Decimal amount is:	line 6 is:	amount is:	50	attack	hed
ingle, Head of forsehold, and fralitying Widow	Over But not c		Over But not ov		-C	New ta	hle
ausehold, and	$\begin{cases} s & 0 & s & 25.0 \\ 25.000 & 27.0 \end{cases}$	000 .30 000 .29	\$ 35,000 \$ 37.0 37,000 39,0		701	New In	-
intificia Wirtz	27.000 29.	000 .28	39,000 41.0	.22	a pf	Nica ble rried F Marri parate	- 10
any ying moo	29.000 31.	.27	41,000 43.0 43,000 No lii		Ma	ried F	TING
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	000 .26 000 .25	45,000	.20	anc	Mar	ied hi
					Sef	parate	Y.
8. Multiply line 5	by line 7				(FD)	S	
9. Maximum credi	t allowed per qualifying fami	ly member. Enter \$2,0	000 if Single or Married filing	joint of Head of	(FF)		
household or Q	ualifying widow(er) OR \$1	R F 70,000 if Married Juing	separately Narried Filing Junit		(FF)	0	
10. Enter smaller of	income limit. Enter \$50,000	if Single or Married 4	iline joint of Head of				I
11. Federal taxable household or ()	ualifying widow er OR	5.000 if Married filing	separately	G) 11			
	from line 6 (If less than -0-,				(FH) 1	2	
 13. Tentative famil 	v member care credit (Subtra		(If less than -0-, enter -0-) If	zero, you are not			
	, member care crean (enorm		ay enter on your return		(EI)	3	

You may not be able to claim the full amount of the credit shown on line 13 if either or both of the following apply:

You completed a Schedule FC for more than one qualifying family member. ٠

• One or more other taxpayers are claiming this credit for the same qualifying family member.

If either or both of the above apply, check the appropriate box(es) below and see "Limitations on credit" in the instructions. Otherwise, enter the amount from line 13 in the Schedule FC box on line 11 of Form 37-S or on line 7, Tax Computation Schedule, Form 37.

Check this box if you've completed and attached more than one Schedule FC Π

Check this box if one or more other taxpayers are claiming this credit for the same qualifying family member

1998 Schedule FC instructions

Eligibility for credit

If you paid qualified care expenses for a qualifying family member during the tax year, be able to take the family member care it ax credit. See **Qualified care expenses** and **Qualifying family member** below. If you qualify for the credit, you must complete this schedule and attach it to your return.

This credit is allowed on Form 37-S (Short Form) and Form 37 (Long Form).

You must attach a statement listing and identifying the qualified care expenses you paid during the tax year. In the case where the expense is for services, you must also identify the person or organization that performed the services.

If you paid qualified care expenses for more than one qualifying family member, you must complete a separate Schedule FC for each qualifying family member.

Qualified care expenses

Qualified care expenses means expenses for 1 home health agency services, companionship 2 services (*see below*), personal care attendant services, homemaker services, adult day care, respite care, health care equipment and supplies, 3 and other expenses for goods and services which are: **\$20,000**

cessary to avoid the placement of a alifying family member in a long-term are facility;

- Provided to or for the benefit of (or needed by the taxpayer to care for) a qualifying family member;
- 3. Provided by an organization or individual not related to the taxpayer or the qualifying family member; and
- 4. Not compensated for by insurance or a federal or state assistance program.

Companionship services. Companionship services means services that provide fellowship, care and protection for a person who is unable to care for his or her own needs because of advanced age or a physical or mental disability. These services include household work directly related to the care of the aged or disabled person, such as meal preparation, bed making, washing clothes and other similar services. These services may also include household work not directly related to the care of the aged or disabled person if the time it takes to do this work during any week does not exceed 20% of the total hours worked during that same week.

Companionship services *do not* include services which require and are performed by trained personnel, including a registered or practical nurse, or services to care for and protect infants and children who are not physically or mentally disabled.

Qualifying family member

A qualifying family member is a person who:

- 1. Is related to you by blood or marriage;
- 2. Is either at least 65 years old or disabled as determined by the Social Security Administration; and
- 3. Has a federal taxable income equal to or less than:

a. \$15,000. if not married: or b. \$30,000. if married (both spouses incomes must be included.)

The taxpayer and the qualifying family member may not be the same person.

Limitations on credit

You may not be able to claim the full amount of the family member care credit that you compute on this schedule if:

1. You completed a Schedule FC for more than two qualifying family members (see

More than two qualifying family members below), or

2. One or more other taxpayers are also claiming the family member care credit for the same qualifying family member (see *Multiple taxpayers below*).

More than two qualifying family members.

The maximum credit that may be claimed is \$2,000 per qualifying family member, up to a maximum credit of \$4,000 for two or more qualifying family members. Therefore, if you pay qualified care expenses for more than two qualifying family members, the maximum credit you may claim is \$4,000. (If you are married and are required to file a separate North Dakota return, the maximum credit that you may claim is \$1,000 per qualifying family member, up to a maximum credit of \$2,000 for two or more qualifying family members.)

Multiple taxpayers. If, in addition to yourself. one or more other taxpavers are also claiming the family member care credit for the same qualifying family member. you must complete the Multiple Taxpayer Schedule (below) to identify the other taxpayers and, if necessary, recompute the amount of credit that you are allowed. If the total credits separately computed by you and the other taxpayers with respect to the same qualifying family member exceeds \$2,000, the separately computed credits must be disregarded, and the amount of credit allowable to each taxpayer must be determined by multiplying \$2,000 by the ratio that each taxpayer's payments for qualified care expense bears to the total payments for qualified care expenses made by all taxpayers. If more than two taxpayers are claiming the credit for the same qualifying family member, attach additional schedules as needed.

If you are required to complete the Multiple Taxpayer Schedule below, attach the schedule to your return.

Multiple Taxpayer Schedule

Ι.	Name of qualifying family member			
2.	Social security number of qualifying family member			
		Taxpayer 1	Taxpayer 2	Total
3.	Name of taxpayer			
4.	Social security number of taxpayer			
5.	Amount of family member care credit computed by taxpayer (from line 13, Schedule FC)			
	 If the total of the credits (on line 5) for all taxpayers is equisis allowed to claim the amount of the credit computed on the If the total of the credits (on line 5) for all taxpayers exceed allowed to each taxpayer. 	he taxpayer's separate Sched	lule FC.	
	alified care expenses (from line 5, Schedule FC)			
7.	Percentage (Divide each taxpayer's expenses on line 6 by the total expenses of all taxpayers on line 6)	%	%	100%
8.	Allowable family member care credit (Multiply \$2,000 by each taxpayer's percentage on line 7)			\$2,000

New table for *Married filing joint*:

If the amount on				
line 6 is:	Decimal			
Over	But not over	amount is:		
\$ 0	\$ 35,000	.30		
35,000	37,000	.29		
37,000	39,000	.28		
39,000	41,000	.27		
41,000	43,000	.26		
43,000	45,000	.25		
45,000	47,000	.24		
47,000	49,000	.23		
49,000	51,000	.22		
51,000	53,000	.21		
53,000	No limit	.20		