

2001 HOUSE JUDICIARY

HB 1103

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 118 1103

House Judiciary Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01-17-01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #		
Tape II	X		01 to 686		
Committee Clerk Signature Joan Diers					

Minutes: Chr DeKrey opened the hearing on HB 1103. Relating to judicial district, vacancies in the office of district judge and the election of presiding judges.

<u>Jim Ganje</u>: Staff Attorney, Office of State Court Administrator. (see testimony attached)

Rep Delmore: Doesn't the Supreme Court thru Administrative Rules, look at the number of judges and where they are placed?

Mr Ganje: The Supreme Court can.

Rep Delmore: Is there a need to add something to this bill to say that it needed to be studied?

Mr Ganje: I am not following the question.

Rep Delmore: You said something about adding to this bill the number?

Mr Ganje: That reference designated to numbers by rules, could transfer judgeship to where it was needed.

Chr DeKrey: If there are no further questions for Mr Ganje, I will close the hearing on HB 1103.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1103A

House Judiciary Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01-24-01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
TAPEII		X	4544 to 4930
			The state of the s
Committee Clerk Signa	ture from 1	J JUNA	

Minutes:Chairman DeKrey opened the hearing on HB 1103. Can I have a motion on this bill?

Vice Chairman Kretschmar: I move a DO PASS on HB 1103

Rep Maragos: I second the motion.

Chairman DeKrey: Is there any discussion on this bill. This bill is just cleaning up language of a bill. The clerk will call the roll on a DO PASS motion on HB 1103. The motion passes with 14 YES, 0 NO, 1 ABSENT. The floor assignment Rep Eckre.

Date: 0/_ 24-0/ Roll Call Vote #: /

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 14 13 -1/0.3

House JUDICIARY		***************************************		Com	mittee
Subcommittee on			nadara ta manatana manatana manatana a sa manatana manatana manatana manatana manatana manatana manatana manata	heterise toure Frankleshiespeise	erin layelana. Managar engan engan en
or					
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur					
Action Taken Do Par	s.s			a Marie and the state of the st	-
Action Taken Motion Made By Rep Kreto	ichma	ند Se	conded By Pep Man	agos	,
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
CHR - Duane DeKrey	1				
VICE_CHRWm E Kretschmar	1				
Rep Curtis E Brekke					
Rep Lois Delmore					
Rep Rachael Disrud	<i></i>				
Rep Bruce Eckre					
Rep April Fairfield	V				
Rep Bette Grande	V				
Rep G. Jane Gunter					
Rep Joyce Kingsbury	V				
Rep Lawrence R. Klemin					
Rep John Mahoney					
Rep Andrew G Maragos					
Rep Kenton Onstad	V				
Rep Dwight Wrangham	V				
Total (Yes)		No	Ø		
Absent Low Ed	018	***			
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	indicat	e intent			

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) January 25, 2001 8:29 a.m.

Module No: HR-13-1568 Carrier: Eckre Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1103: Judiclary Committee (Rep. DeKrey, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1103 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 SENATE JUDICIARY

нв 1103

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1103

Senate Judiciary Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 6th, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #		
		X	22.3-38.3		
Committee Clerk Signature					

Minutes: Senator Traynor, opened the hearing on HB 1103.

Jim Ganje, staff attorney Office of State Court Administrator, testifies for bil 1103. (testimony attached)

Senator Watne, what year did we abolish county courts?

Jim Ganje, 1895.

Senator Traynor, the number of 42 judges is working well?

Jim Ganje, at the present time it seems to be working well.

Senator Traynor, there isn't a long delay for the public to get their cases heard?

Jim Ganje, correct. We don't expect a problem with a delay.

Senator Nelson, how do you get away from that?

Senator Traynor, has the court changed the districts?

Jim Ganje, there has been a little change. Very minor modifications.

Senator Lyson, are you going to write a response to the e-mails we received?

Page 2 Senate Judiciary Committee Bill/Resolution Number 1103 Hearing Date March 6th, 2001

Jim Ganje, I can.

Senator Trenbeath, there is no present discussion of trial centers?

Jim Ganje, correct.

Senator Dever, is there a law that alleviates judges from stressful situations to handle special cases?

Jim Ganje, yes.

Senator Traynor, closed the hearing on HB 1103.

SENATOR WATNE MOTIONED TO DO PASS, SECONDED BY SENATOR NELSON.

VOTE INDICATED 6 YEAS, 0 NAYS AND 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING. SENATOR

WATNE VOLUNTEERED TO CARRY THE BILL.

Date: 3/6/0/ Roll Call Vote #: /

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. // 63

Senate Judiciary				Com	nunce
Subcommittee on					**************************************
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment	Number				
Action Taken	DP				
Motion Made By Watne	and Space and the space of the space	Se B ₃	conded Nelso-		******
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Traynor, J. Chairman	X		Bercier, D.		
Watne, D. Vice Chairman			Nelson, C.	-	
Dever, D.					
Lyson, S.	-+X				
Trenbeath, T.	17				
Total (Yes)6		No	0		
Absent			المعاقبة المستهولين والمسافر والمسافر والمسافرة والموافقة والمسافر والموافقة والمسافرة والمسافرة والمسافرة		
Floor Assignment	atre.				***************************************
If the vote is on an amendment lar	iefly indica	te inten	••		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 6, 2001 1:50 p.m.

Module No: SR-38-4908 Carrier: Watne

Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1103: Judiclary Committee (Sen. Traynor, Chairman) recommends DO PASS
(6 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1103 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1103

SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILL NO. 1103

House Bill 1103 amends NDCC Section 27-05-01 and 27-05-02.1 to reflect the culmination of court unification changes that began in 1991 with the enactment of House Bill 1517. The bill also amends NDCC Section 27-05-05 to make essentially a technical correction.

Section 1 of House Bill 1103 amends Section 27-05-01 to delete references to specific judicial districts. This change is consistent with that part of the statute that currently provides that districts are as provided by supreme court rule. Supreme Court Administrative Rule 7 now designates judicial districts and the judge chambers locations within each district. Amendments to Section 27-05-01 also provide that the *number* of judges in each district would be as provided by supreme court rule. This change is related to the authority retained by the Supreme Court under Section 27-05-02.1 to transfer a judgeship to a different location. The amendments also retain 42 as the maximum number of district judges in the state.

Section 2 of House Bill 1103 amends Section 27-05-02.1 to reflect the fact that the target number of 42 judges established under 1999 House Bill 1517 has been reached and, therefore, the authority to abolish judgeships is no longer available to the Supreme Court. Specifically, subsection 1 of Section 27-05-02.1 is amended to delete the abolition alternative formerly available to the Supreme Court in the event of a judicial vacancy. Subsection 2 of Section 27-05-02.1 is amended to delete the authority formerly available to the Supreme Court to abolish an existing judgeship and to delete the timeframes within which that authority could be exercised if the number of judges in the state exceeded a certain threshold number on a specific date. These provisions are no longer necessary as the mandated reduction to 42 judges, to which the provisions were integrally linked, has been achieved. Under Section 27-05-02.1, as amended, the Supreme Court would retain the authority to review district judgeship vacancies as they occur. Based on that review, the Court could order the judgeship filled in the manner provided by law, or could order that the judgeship be

transferred if it is determined the judgeship is needed in another location for purposes of effective judicial administration.

Section 3 of House Bill 1103 amends Section 27-05-05 to delete an obsolete reference to county judges.

Submitted by:

Jim Ganje, Staff Attorney
Office of State Court Administrator