

2001 HOUSE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS
HB 1123

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1123 A

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 01-18-01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
//2	X		877-2057
	anny garlannya ni aya di di diantsi alika i di anno. Majahkannia otsi anni diago di a ki fishaga hosa kannyaga una	Commissioning States (1985 Stat	with the territory of a section of the section of t
National Contract Con		and the state of t	kanak keli menerin. Maniferarinik semantunak kolika bul mateloid mahintun kata ata daran sa dalam da daran da
Committee Clerk Signat	ure Arbin o	8. Some	
Section 2 Action 2 Ac	(ation of the state	to be a series of the series o

Minutes:

REP. M. KLEIN called the meeting to order, all members were present.

In favor:

LINDA ENGMANN, DIRECTOR, CENTRAL SERVICES DIVISION

Please see attached testimony.

REP. DEVLIN states that he has some concerns about removing name brand specifics.

ENGMANN states that brand name specification can occur if, under the statute, that you can waive competition. Under certain circumstances. (Purchasing statute.)

REP. DEVLIN asks in 5444, will it cover all political subdivisions?

ENGMANN replies no that is state agency.

REP, DEVLIN talks about an amendment removing language from the bill.

REP, M. KLEIN states that there is concern that this will tie the hands for certain existing equipment. The association of counties seems to be quite upset, in not being able to purchase the

same equipment that would fit in with their existing equipment. Maybe we do need to amend that part. Let them have that option.

ENGMANN states that they are inserting this language for exemptions that are granted that would also apply to political subdivisions as well. ENGMANN says that they would also entertain amendments as well.

REP. GRANDE has concerns with the replacement of a system. The opening of bids. Could they be specific so they could retain local service?

ENGMANN replies that the bid writing specifications, you are able to insert that in your proposal. Yes, that is exactly what you can do.

REP. GRANDE so that is all addressed, would that be the counties waiver?

ENGMANN replies yes that would be the case. You need to write your specifications to get what you need and want, and we'll get what you want.

REP. DEVLIN reads from the century code to the committee.

REP. KLEMIN asks about the change in subsection 2. There really is no change in subsection 3. ENGMANN replies, yes that is correct.

REP, KLEMIN states that changing something that the bill here didn't propose to change. All they did was move this language basically out of subsection 1 and into subsection 3. They are not proposing any substance change in this part.

REP. KASPER asks about a code that would influence another part of the law. Why would we want to spell out in this particular area those exceptions. It is much simpler when people are looking in that area let them see that the exceptions are written in the bill? Why not put it in this bill? Down the road the legislature amends this section, we don't have that problem then.

Page 3
House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB ++26 A
Hearing Date 01-18-01 | 1123

REP. M. KLEIN asks ENGMANN if she would be willing to draw up an amendment, to satisfy

the county and the political subdivisions?

ENGMANN replies that yes they could do that.

REP. M. KLEIN states that they are very concerned that this bill is going to tie their hands.

SHERRY NEAS, OMB

NEAS states that there is no provision in the law that states that anyone to but a brand name.

No questions.

No opposition.

The hearing was closed on HB 1123, no action was taken on this day.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1123 B

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2/2/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	Χ		0-923

Minutes:

REP. M. KLEIN called the hearing to order. All members were present. REP. M. KLEIN would like to take action on this bill at this time.

There was proposed amendments, the committee then reviews them.

REP. HAAS states that he is not sure how line ten is going to read after the amendments.

REP, M. KLEIN then proceeds to explain the before and the after of the amendment. Talks about replacing the merit, then a new sentence.

General discussion.

REP, BRUSEGAARD moves to accept the amendments, seconded by REP, GRANDE.

REP. M. KLEIN then takes a voice vote, voice vote passes. REP. BRUSEGAARD then motions for a DO PASS AS AMENDED, seconded by REP. GRANDE. The roll call is taken with a 14-1, motion carries. The carrier of the bill is REP. KASPER.

Page 2
House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1123 B
Hearing Date 2/2/01

HB 1123-DO PASS AS AMENDED 14-1

CARRIER-REP. KASPER

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council 12/21/2000

Bill/Resolution No.:

HB 1123

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

At an inches and the state of t						
,,,«I ^(c) "		1 Biennium		3 Biennium	2003-200	
pronounce to the second to th	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	*	makenakenakenakenakenakenakenakenakenaken	higamusida, antique, corto, anglamino, at 40,470- halintas A.		,	
Expenditures					g ngagangang melatu. Pilipad hisilandah iku un mun dalam indu ada di dal	The county of
Appropriations		Parties to the second of the second s		project file the control of the property of the state of the control of the contr	generalized (financial standing perfect, and surveying Alexandry Stockershill perfect	a digam di manda ya magan kanjan ang maganaga ang ping panganan and a

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

i	199	9-2001 Blen	nlum	200	1-2003 Bieni	nlum	200	3-2005 Bleni	nlum	1
	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	
- [}

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

No fiscal impact

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
 - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

No fiscal impact

B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

No fiscal impacet

C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expanditures and appropriations.

Name:	Pam Sharp	Agency: (OMB
Phone Number:	328-4606	Date Prepared:	12/28/2000

Central Services Division January 26, 2001 Fifty-seventh Legislative Assembly Of North Dakota

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1123

Page 2, line 10, replace "merit," with "merit. When it is advantageous that the purchase"

Page 2, line 11, replace "waived under section 54-44.4-05." with "be of a particular brand of product or products of a particular manufacturer to the exclusion of competitive brands or manufacturers, the purchasing board or entity must document those circumstances and provide written justification for the proprietary specification or purchase. The purchasing board or entity must procure the proprietary product through a competitive process unless the needed product is available exclusively from one source of supply or other circumstances exist under which competition can be waived."

Renumber accordingly

VR 2/5/01

HOUSE AMENDMENTS TO HB 1123 HOUSE GVA 2/5/01

Page 2, line 10, replace ", or unless competition may be limited or" with ". When it is advantageous that the purchase be of a particular brand of product or products of a particular manufacturer to the exclusion of competitive brands or manufacturers, the purchasing board or entity must document those circumstances and provide written justification for the proprietary specification or purchase. The purchasing board or entity shall procure the proprietary product through a competitive process unless the needed product is available exclusively from one source of supply or other circumstances exist under which competition can be waived."

Page 2, remove line 11

Renumber accordingly

				Date: _	2-2-20	0/	ي مند به الويدوانيديديد
		į	Roll Cal	1 Vote #:		e description of the party of the section of the se	danskip fil (1981 - 1
2001 11					L CALL VOT VB 1123	ES	
House GOVERN	MENT AND V	ETERA	NS AF	FAIRS		Comi	nittee
Subcommittee or	n						
or Conference Con							
Legislative Council A	Amendment Nu	mber _		Appendig direct distincts sort have a solid dequinous to	and the speciments of the speciments are also as the strain that and such as a single	owns amagage of transitional value constitutions to	
Action Taken	accep	it I	he a	nendr	rerto	· Name of the contract of the	e di paggaran kampa paga-
Motion Made By —	Blusge	ud	Se By	conded (Frande		- L. W. 2004. W. W. W. V.
Represent	atives	Yes	No	Repi	resentatives	Yes	No
CHAIRMAN KLEI	N			REP KRO	EBER		
VICE CHAIR GRA	NDE			 			
REP BELLEW		<u> </u>					
REP BRUSEGAAR	.D			-,			
REP CLARK			\	10			
REP DEVLIN		Barrie	1 Y L				
REP HAAS		1010	1100	 			
REP KASPER	·	1-100	Me				
REP KLEMIN REP MEIER		1-1-					
FREE WIELER		1					
	D			<u> </u>		j j	
REP WIKENHEISE	R						
REP WIKENHEISE REP CLEARY	R						
REP WIKENHEISE REP CLEARY REP HUNSKOR	ZR .						
REP WIKENHEISE REP CLEARY	ER						

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

Floor Assignment

Total

Absent

			Date:	2-2-	200	
	1	Roll Call	Vote #:	8	h lat househit on his material in the dec	, get skrivere i to sa s
2001 HOUSE STANI BI			TEE ROLL ON NO. 46		S	
House GOVERNMENT AND V	'ETERA	NS AFI	FAIRS		Com	mittee
Subcommittee on						
or Conference Committee						
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	mber _					
Action Taken Lo /	Pass	Ns	Ameno	led		
Motion Made By		Sec	onded 1	arde		
Motion Made By	ud	Ву	92	ande		
Representatives	Yes	No	Represe	ntatives	Yes	No
CHAIRMAN KLEIN			REP KROEBI			1111
VICE CHAIR GRANDE						
REP BELLEW	V		والمستقب المستقب والمستقب			
REP BRUSEGAARD	V					
REP CLARK	V					
REP DEVLIN						
REP HAAS	V					
REP KASPER	V					
REP KLEMIN	V					
REP MEIER	V					
REP WIKENHEISER	V					
REP CLEARY	V					
REP HUNSKOR	V					
REP METCALF	V					
Total (Yes) 14		No	/_			
Absent						
	de					
Floor Assignment Leg	2.70	asper				
If the vote is on an amendment, briefly	v indicat	e intent				

Module No: HR-20-2355 Carrier: Kasper

Insert LC: 18133.0101 Title: .0200

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1123: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Rep. M. Klein, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 1 NAY, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1123 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 2, line 10, replace ", or unless competition may be limited or" with ". When it is advantageous that the purchase be of a particular brand of product or products of a particular manufacturer to the exclusion of competitive brands or manufacturers, the purchasing board or entity must document those circumstances and provide written justification for the proprietary specification or purchase. The purchasing board or entity shall product the proprietary product through a competitive process unless the needed product is available exclusively from one source of supply or other circumstances exist under which competition can be waived."

Page 2, remove line 11

Renumber accordingly

2001 SENATE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

HB 1123

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 11B 1123

Senate Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date March 2, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
	X		13.9-34.3
Committee Clerk Signa	nuro Acumen)	Rail	

Minutes: Chairman Krebsbach opened the hearing on HB 1123 which relates to preference to North Dakota bidders for services and specifying name brand merchandise. Appearing before the committee was Linda Engmann, director, Central Services Division. A copy of her written testimony is attached. Chairman Krebsbach inquired about the house amendments to the original bill. Ms. Engmann indicated that in the original bill there were objections and concerns that the section that deals with state procurement would become confusing because it was this particular section of statute that applies to items that refer back to another section. If one section had changed would they remember to refer back to it. So, what the amendment was, was to put the language from that section into this one for statute. Senator T. Mathern indicated on page 2 of the Engrossed Bill, when he was reading it through it seemed like the first sentence sort of set out all of these negatives and then it gets to the meat of it. Is that the correct order of this? I mean it's like even the way you explained it, said you could specify a brand name and when I read this it starts out with all of these reasons you can't. To him there's just not a simple read to

Page 2
Sonate Government and Veterans Affairs Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1123
Hearing Date March 2, 2001

really get the concept that you testified to. Ms. Engmann, indicated it is generally not because brand specifying, specifying a brand does limit competition. It is with competition that we get better pricing hopefully, that's the theory. It is generally not acceptable to do brand specification because it limits competition and that is what, without saying or equivalent. That is what the first sentence is saying. You can not specify a brand without saying equivalent because of the limiting of the competition pricing. There are circumstances under which it is advantageous to specify. So it moves from no, you can't do it unless you specify equivalent, however, when you need to do you can do it. If you document those it is acceptable. Senator T. Mathern inquired couldn't we just eliminate the first sentence and get at what you are trying to get at? Ms. **Engmann** indicated that this first sentence was just moved from section one of the original statute to this subsection. That has always been a requirement under statute that you can not specify a brand without stating or equivalent. That is not changed. What we have allowed under this is to allow specifying a certain brand under certain circumstances. That has not been in statute thus far. Senator T. Mathern once again inquired if we really need this. Ms. Engmann cited examples of why it needs to be in this bill. Senator Dever offered some comments on specifications. Discussion continued with comments, observations, and questions from Senators Dever, Krebsbach, and Ms. Engmann. Bill Wocken, City Administrator for the City of Bismarck appeared before the committee. A copy of his written testimony is attached. Questions were offered by Senators T. Mathern and Dever. Mr. Wocken responded. There was nothing further. Chairman Krebsbach closed the hearing on HB 1123. Senator Dever moved a Do Pass on HB 1123, seconded by Senator Kilzer. Roll Call Vote indicated 5 Yeas, 0 Nays, and I Absent or Not Voting. Senator Dever will carry the bill.

Date: 3/02/01 Roll Call Vote #: 1

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1123 (Engrossed)

Senate GOVERNMENT AND V	ETERAN	I'S AF	FAIRS	Com	mitte
Subcommittee on			ers igan makkanyaytirgan salam kaptisanosiyahdi iga romusud kilyani kuyo sala ifa myindi distiti saarsid 1900-	-	
or Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nu	mber				
Action Taken Do	Pas	5			
Motion Made By Sen. De	ver	Se By	seonded Sen. Kil.	<u> 207 </u>	Marilly are to the second second second
Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Senator Karen Krebsbach, Chr.	V		Senator Carolyn Nelson		
Senator Dick Dever, Vice-Chr.	V		Senator Tim Mathern	V	
Senator Ralph Kilzer	V				
Senator Rich Wardner					
	1				
6					
Total (Yes)	<u> </u>	No	0		
(100)		'			
Absent		l			
		<u>,</u>	Λ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Floor Assignment	<u>50</u>	n·	Dever		
If the vote is on an amendment, brief	ly indicat	e inten	t:		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 2, 2001 12:55 p.m.

Module No: SR-36-4699 Carrier: Dever Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1123, as engrossed: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Sen. Krebsbach, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (5 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1123 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1123

H.B 1123

Presented by: Linda Engmann, Director

Central Services Division

Before:

Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Matthew Klein, Chairman

Date:

January 18, 2001

TESTIMONY

Chairman Klein and members of the committee, my name is Linda Engmann. I am the director of Central Services Division of the Office of Management and Budget.

Subsection 1 of the statute addresses two topics – preference to North Dakota bidders and brand-name specification in bids. This bill moves the language regarding brand-name specification when purchasing goods, merchandise, supplies, or equipment from subsection 1 to a newly created subsection 3. No requirements under the law are changed.

Subsection 2 is rewritten to parallel the preference given for service contracts with that given for goods as specified in Subsection 1. In North Dakota, preference given in-state vendors is reciprocal to that given in the state of a non-resident bidder.

This concludes my testimony. I will be happy to answer any questions.

Testimony before the Senate Government/Veterans Affairs Committee Engrossed House Bill 1123 March 2, 2001

Good morning Madame Chairman and committee members. My name is Bill Wocken. I am City Administrator for the City of Bismarck. I am appearing in support of HB 1123 this morning.

The engrossed bill restates present state law to make more clear the state's position on local preference and on purchase of designated products. Although my city most often purchases via unrestricted bidding it is occasionally necessary to purchase a particular type of gauge or a brand name product. This bill sets out a logical process to arrive at this purchase. I would appreciate your favorable consideration of this bill.

Thank you for allowing me to speak to you this morning.

H.B. 1123

Presented by: Linda Engmann, Director

Central Services Division

Before: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Karen Krebsbach, Chair

Date: March 2, 2001

TESTIMONY

Chairman Krebsbach and members of the committee, my name is Linda Engmann. I am the director of Central Services Division of the Office of Management and Budget.

Section 1 of the bill moves language regarding brand-name specification when purchasing goods, merchandise, supplies, or equipment from subsection 1 of the statute to a newly created subsection 3. No requirements under the law are changed.

Section 2 of the bill parallels the preference given for service contracts with that given for goods as specified in Subsection 1. In North Dakota, preference given in-state vendors is reciprocal to that given in the state of a non-resident bidder.

Section 3 of the bill creates a new subsection 3 in the statute that allows OMB, any other state entity, and political subdivisions to specify a brand name when purchasing goods if the bidders are asked for a bid on equivalent goods. It also allows entities to bid a brand name without specifying an equivalent if it is advantageous for the entity to do so. For example, if a county has standardized on a particular brand of equipment, it only makes sense to buy replacement parts or accessory equipment from the same manufacturer. The county should be able to do that without having to consider other brands that they know will not meet their needs. All circumstances under which competition is limited or waived must be documented.

This concludes my testimony. I will be happy to answer any questions.