MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

2001 HOUSE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS

нв 1373

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1373

House Political Subdivisions Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2-1-01

	Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
	2	XX		3001822
	2	XX		31453345
1		the mountains against a second as a second		
	Committee Clerk Signature	Pan D	90-C~	

Minutes: Chair Froseth opened the hearing on HB1373 relating to jury selection and voter lists.

Rep. Bob Skarphol (300)

I am the sponsor of this bill and support it. It came about when people talked to me and said they refused to vote because they did not want to be put on a jury list. I don't feel that the ability to vote should be influenced by a jury list. The poll books are one of the sources used to pick jury members. Jury service is a necessity in society and an obligation, but it should not be tied to ability to vote. Chief Justice told me this bill is unconstitutional. I think we should compile the jury list from the people that don't vote. Maybe that would increase voter turnout.

Rep. Delmore: In section 3, would this be other places you could get names?

Rep. Skarphol; Absolutely. With the age of technology, lists are easy to come by.

Rep. Eckre: You talked to the Chief Justice?

Rep. Skarphol: Yes, we are good friends. I told him to come and testify. He has had the opportunity to see this bill.

Page 2
House Political Subdivisions Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB1373
Hearing Date 2-1-01

Rep. Ekstrom: I think jury duty is a civic duty and it shocks me that people are so adamant against serving on a jury.

Rep. Tieman :(820) When you get to jury selection, don't you have an option of disqualifying yourself?

Rep. Skarphol: I believe that's right.

Greg Wallace, Assist. State Court Admin. for Trial Courts-ND Supreme Court: I am here in opposition to HB1373. (SEE ATTACHED TESTIMONY)

<u>Chair Froseth</u>: (1123) Might this be unconstitutional?

Greg Wallace: I believe what the Chief Justice was referring to was a persons right to trial by their peers. By eliminating this available list, you will eliminate a certain segment of population. This may be unconstitutional.

Rep. Delmore: Do you have access to tax records? Could this be a list?

Greg: This would probably be male biased. I don't know for sure if we have. I know we have property tax records.

<u>Vice-Chair Severson</u>: Even with all the lists as named in this bill, there is still a certain of population you don't reach.

Greg: We have looked at other lists. Most were male biases. We want a list that is equally balanced. Poll books are balanced. We get 10-15% names from poll books. Most of our names come from drivers license. We know we miss people that don't drive or don't vote. We may miss Native Americans. There is an image that jury duty is boring. We have tried to change that. We try to take them off the list once they serve, or if you are called three times you are off the list. If you are subject to 30 days service, you are off. We have surveys afterwards, and most

Page 3
House Political Subdivisions Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB1373
Hearing Date 2-1-01

jurors say it was a good experience. Loss of income is a big complain with jury duty, especially those who have to pay daycare

<u>Chair Froseth</u>: The way the bill is drafted, it doesn't say you can't use the poll book. If you need to, you still can use it.

Greg: That's correct. But the legislative intent will look like we should not use the books.

<u>Vice-Chair Severson</u>: (1720) How can we get more people to vote? I think this is the point of

this bill. You want some language for legislative intent. Do you have some suggestions?

Greg: I don't, but I can get our staff attorney to work on that.

<u>Chair Froseth</u>: Any more testimony for or against? Hearing none, hearing is closed.

(Action-Tape 2, side A (3145-3345) Chair Froseth: Take up HB1373.

Rep. Ekstrom: I move a DO NOT PASS.

Rep. Herbel: I second.

VOTE: 13 YES and 1 NO with 1 Absent. PASSED. Rep. Disrud will carry the bill.

Date: 2-1-0)
Roll Call Vote #: |

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1373

House POLITICAL SUBDIVISION	_ Committee				
Subcommittee on					<u> </u>
Conference Committee					
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	nber _			····	
Action Taken Do No) [PAS	3.2		
Motion Made By Rep. EKS	trom	Se By	conded Rop. Hous	el	* ***********************************
Representatives	Yes	Nσ	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Glen Froseth			Rep. Wayne W. Tieman		
Vice-Chair Dale C. Severson					
Rep. Lois Delmore					
Rep. Rachael Disrud					
Rep. Bruce Eckre					
Rep. Mary Ekstrom					
Rep. April Fairfield	A	B			
Rep. Michael Grosz		/			
Rep. Jane Gunter					
Rep. Gil Herbel					
Rep. Nancy Johnson					
Rep. William E. Kretschmar					
Rep. Carol A.Niemeier					
Rep. Andrew G. Maragos					
Total (Yes) 13		No			
Absent	1				L-1
Floor Assignment Rup I) 15rl		Paradalangan da ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	and the same of th	***************************************
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	¹ indicat	e intent			

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 1, 2001 4:20 p.m.

Module No: HR-18-2164 Carrier: Disrud Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1373: Political Subdivisions Committee (Rep. Froseth, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (13 YEAS, 1 NAY, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1373 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1373



State of North Dakota

OFFICE OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

January 30, 2001

SUPREME COURT
Judicial Wing, 1st Floor
600 E Boulevard Ave Dept 180
BISMARCK, ND 58505-0530
(701) 328-4216
(FAX) 701-328-4480

TO:

Members of the House Political Subdivision Committee

FROM:

Greg Wallace

SUBJECT:

<u>House Bill 1373</u>

I am Greg Wallace, Assistant State Court Administrator for Trial Courts, with the North Dakota Supreme Court. In those duties, I oversee the creation of the master jury wheel, which is the pool of potential jurors.

The judiciary wishes to express serious concern with H.B. 1373 as it will eliminate an important source of prospective jurors.

One of the goals of a good jury system is to be as inclusive of the citizens of a county as possible. That is, in order to accurately reflect the makeup of the citizens of the county, we strive to include as wide a variety of potential jurors as possible. Inclusion of voters adds important diversity to the makeup of the master wheel.

Under the North Dakota jury selection system, names which are duplicated on the driver's list are eliminated from the voter list. Thus, the only remaining potential names from the voters lists are those who do not have a driver's license. Those may include persons with visual impairments, persons who have a physical disability which prevents them from driving, persons who do not drive because of age, amongst others. This bill will eliminate these persons from potential jury service, denying certain segments of the population the opportunity to serve as jurors.

The bill suggests that names be taken from other lists, i.e., utility lists, motor vehicle registration lists, etc. We have, in the past, looked at including these lists as a source of names. They are, however, biased in favor of males. That is, most of these lists contain only one name, traditionally, the male of the household. Secondly, in many rural areas of the state, the mailing address of a resident is not in the same county of the physical residence, making the list very inaccurate.

The voter lists are necessary to ensure that all groups of citizens of a county have an opportunity to serve as jurors.

GW/cs