

2001 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION

IIB 1464

### 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

#### BILL/RESOLUTION NO. IIB 1464

House Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 30, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter#
		X	1,957
Committee Clerk Signa	nture Acanac	Stein	
	()		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Minutes:

**REP. AL CARLSON, CHAIRMAN** Opened the hearing and read the fiscal note.

REP, TOM BRUSEGAARD, DIST. 19. Introduced the bill as the prime sponsor. See written testimony.

**REP. RENNER** Currently, once a non-farm income exceeds forty thousand dollars, you lose your exemption on your residence, and you are proposing to increase that number?

**REP. BRUSEGAARD** I am proposing to eliminate that number.

If you are a farmer, you would not pay taxes.

**REP. CARLSON** This is about the third bill dealing with this particular issue. As a committee, we are going to take all of those at one time. Some adjust numbers, your's removes all numbers.

**REP. HERBEL** What would define a person being a farmer then?

REP. BRUSEGAARD Page 2, line 20.

Page 2 House Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number HB 1464 Hearing Date January 30, 2001

**REP. CARLSON** I noticed you took out the beginning farmer language, was there any reason for that?

**REP. BRUSEGAARD** The beginning farmer language is in the code, because they were not required to meet these requirements.

With no further testimony, the hearing was closed.

COMMITTEE ACTION 2-6-01, TAPE #1, SIDE B, METER #3992

After Rep. Carlson gave a review of the bill

**REP. CLARK** Made a motion for a **DO NOT PASS**.

**REP. WINRICH** Second the motion. MOTION CARRIED.

14 YES 0 NO 1 ABSENT

**REP. CLARK** Was given the floor assignment.

### **FISCAL NOTE**

## Requested by Legislative Council 01/23/2001

Bill/Resolution No.:

HB 1464

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	1999-200	2001-2003 Biennium				2003-2005 Blennium				
	General Fund	Other Funds	General I	Fund	Other	Funds	General	Fund	Other	Funds
Revenues		<u>ه به وروایه ۱۸ و کو در در داده در در این هماه در </u>			***************************************					
Expenditures						*****	j			
Appropriations			i		····					

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

[	1999-2001 Blennium		2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Blennium			
	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts	Countles	Cities	School Districts
ſ							to the month of the same of the same and the		

2. Narrative: Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

HB 1464, if enacted, will eliminate the income limitation for the tax exemption for farm residences which may result in a reduction of the total taxable value of political subdivisions. While HB 1464 could decrease the value of taxable property of counties, cities, and school districts, it does not mandate a decrease in property taxes. Any change in revenue will be the result of decisions as to the level at which local government services will be funded, and not as a result of the passage of HB 1464. This bill alone causes no fiscal effect to the counties, cities, or school districts. There will be an indeterminable decrease in property tax revenue for the one-mill state medical center levy.

- 3. State fiscal effect detail: For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:
  - A. Revenues: Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.
  - B. Expenditures: Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.
  - C. Appropriations: Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

Name:	Kathryn L. Strombeck	Agency:	Tax Department
Phone Number:	328-3402	Date Prepared:	01/29/2001

Date:

2-6-01

Roll Call Vote #:

# 2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. H 8 1444

House	FINANCE & TAXATI	ON			_ Com	mittee
Subo	committee on					
0	<u> </u>	<del></del>			<u> </u>	
Con	forence Committee					
Legislati	ve Council Amendment Nur	nber			and the forest particular was a fine of the first of the	
Action T	aken	)0	No		A Property of the Control of the Con	
Motion N	Tade By Ref. Cla	JK_	Se	conded By Rep. Wil	nrice	4
	Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
	ON, AL, CHAIRMAN	<u>                                   </u>		NICHOLAS, EUGENE	1	
	DAL, DAVID, V-CHAIR			RENNER, DENNIS		
The second secon	DENBURG, MICHAEL			RENNERFELDT, EARL	1 V	
	K, BYRON	V		SCHMIDT, ARLO		
	, MICHAEL	1		WIKENHEISER, RAY		<b></b>
HERBE	والمرابع والمناول والمنتون والمنتقل والمرابع والمرابع والمناور وال	V		WINRICH, LONNY	1	
KELSH	ومراجعها والمستقد والمراجع والمستقد والم والمستقد والمستقد والمستقد والمستقد والمستقد والمستقد والمستد	V				
	ER, JOE	V			<u> </u>	
LLOYD	, EDWARD	V				
<b>Total</b>	(Yes)		No	۵		
Absent			<u> </u>		-	
iloor Assi	gnment Red. C	las	K			
f the vote	is on an amendment briefly	indicat	e intent	•		

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 7, 2001 8:14 a.m.

Module No: HR-22-2547 Carrier: Clark Insert LC: Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1464: Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Carlson, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1464 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY

HB 1464

### HOUSE FINANCE AND TAX JAN. 30, 2001

Mr. Chairman and memebrs of the Committee:

My name is Rep. Tom Brusegaard. I represent district 19 (most of rural Grand Forks County). I have brought HB 1464 for discussion, debate, and hopefully a DO PASS recommendation.

1464 is a bill I sponsored on behalf of a constituent. To breifly explain it, most farmers receive a property tax exemption on their farm house. In order to qualify under current law, that farmer must recieve 50% of income from the farm, and the farmer and spouse's combined off farm income must not exceed \$40,000.

Simply put, our code picks "good" and "bad" farmers and rewards or punpishes them accordingly. This legislation would treat all farmers as farmers, no matter who they marry. I think this is a fairness issue. Please help me in level ing the playing field. Thank you.

Rep. Tom Brusegaard